

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Brady, James E. (1994). *General Chemistry Principle and Structure*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Chan-Choong Foong dan Esther G. S. Daniel. 2010. Assessing students' arguments made in socio-scientific contexts: the considerations of structural complexity and the depth of content knowledge. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Science*. 9: 1120-1127
- Chang, R. (2005). *Kimia Dasar: Konsep-konsep inti Jilid 1 Edisi ketiga*. Jakarta: Erlangga. Hal 940
- Deane, P., & Song, Y. (2014). *A case study in principled assessment design: Designing assessments to measure and support the development of argumentative reading and writing skills*. *Psicología Educativa (Journal of Educational Psychology)*, 20(2), 99-108.
- Demircioğlu, T., & Ucar, S. (2012). *The Effect of Argument-Driven Inquiry on Pre-service Science Teachers' Attitudes and Argumentation Skills*. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, ( 2012 ), 5035 – 5039.
- Driver, R., Newton, P., & Osborne, J. (2000). *Establishing the norms of scientific argumentation in classrooms*. *Science Education*, 84, 287– 312.
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2014). *Close reading and writing from sources*. Newark: International Reading Association.
- Fischer, F., Goldman, S. R., Hmelo- Silver, C. E., & Reimann, P. (2018). Taylor dan Francis Taylor and Francis Not for distribution. In *Evolution of Research in the Learning Sciences*.

- Ginting, A. 2008. *Esensi Praktis Belajar dan Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Humaniora.
- Handayani, P., & Sardianto, M. S. (2015). Analisis Argumentasi Peserta Didik Kelas X SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Palembang Dengan Menggunakan Model Argumentasi Toulmin. *Jurnal Inovasi dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 2(1), 60-68.
- Johnstone, A.H. 1993. *The Development of Chemistry Teaching: A Changing Response to Changing Demand*. Journal of Chemical Education. Vol 70. 701.
- Keenan, W., Charles. (1992). *Kimia Untuk Universitas Jilid 1*. Erlangga. Jakarta.
- Kelly, G. J., & Takao, A. (2002). *Epistemic levels in argument: an analysis of uni- versity oceanography students' use of evidence inwriting*. Science Education, 86(3), 314e342.
- Kultuca, A. Y., Cetin, P. S., & Dogan, N. (2014). Effect of CContent Knowledge on Scientific Argumentation Quality: Cloning Context. *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 8 (1): 1-30.
- Lie, A. (2007). *Kooperatif Learning (Mempraktikkan Cooperative Learning di Ruang-ruang Kelas)*. Jakarta: Grasindo.
- Made, Wena.(2011). *Strategi Pembelajaran Inovatif Kontemporer: Suatu Tinjauan. Konseptual Operasional*. Jakarta: PT. Bumi Aksara.
- McNeill, K. L., & Krajcik,J.(2006). *Supporting students construction of scientific explanations by fading scaffolds in instructional materials*. Journal of the Learning Sciences,15(2),153191.
- McNeill, K. L. 2011. *Elementary students' views of explanation, argumentation, and evidence, and their abilities to construct*

*arguments over the school year*. Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 48(7): 793-823

Kate, McNeil., Boston College, and Pam Pelletier. "Supporting Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning Across The Grades and Curriculum," *Boston Public School*, diakses dari <http://www.katherinemeneill.com>, pada tanggal 25 april 2017.

Miles, B.B. & A. M. Huberman. 1992. *Analisa Data Kualitatif*. UI-Press Oxtoby, 2001. *Prinsi-prinsip Kimia Modern Edisi Ke Empat Jilid 1*. Jakarta: Erlangga.

Rufiana, Devi., Mulyadi. (2017). *Using Think-Pair-Square-Share Strategy To Improve Students' Speaking Ability for Indonesian Senior High School Students*. Journal of Applied Linguistics and Literature, Vol. 2 No. 1, January-June 2017.

Sadler, T.D., & Donnelly, L.A. (2006). *Socioscientific Argumentation : The effects of Content Knowledge and Morality*. International Journal of Science Education

Sandoval, W. A., dan Millwook, K. A. 2005. *The quality of students' use evidence in written scientific explanation*. *Cognition and Intruccion*. 23(1): 23-25

Sanjaya, Wina. 2012. *Strategi Pembelajaran Berorientasi Standar Proses Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Kencana.

Sirhan, G. 2007. *Learning Difficulties In Chemistry : An Overview*. Journal of Turki Science Education, 2-40.

Suprijono, A. 2011. *Model-Model Pembelajaran*. Jakarta: Gramedia Rustaka Jaya

Suwedi, N. 2005. Upaya Pencegahan dan Penanggulangan Pemanasan Global. P3TI-BPTP

- Tasker, R., & Dalton, R. (2006). *Research Into Practice : Visualization of the Molecular World Using Animations. Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 141-159.
- Taylor, E. & Taylor, P.C. (2009). *Dilemmas Stories*. Diakses melalui [www.dilemmas.net.au](http://www.dilemmas.net.au).
- Taylor, E., Taylor, P. C., & Hill, J. (2020). Ethical dilemma story pedagogy a constructivist approach to values learning and ethical understanding. *Empowering Science and Mathematics for Global Competitiveness*, December, 118-124. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429461903-18>
- Treagust, D. F., Chittleborough, & Mamiala. 2003. *The Role of Submicroscopic and Symbolic Representations In Chemical Explanations*. *International Journal Science Education*, 1353-1368.
- Toulmin, dkk., 1979. *An Introduction to Reasoning*. New York: Macmillan Publishing
- Wojdak JM. 2010. An Attention-Grabbing Approach to Introducing Students' to Argumentation In Science. *Bioscience education* 15.
- Yeh., & She. (2010). *On-line Synchronous Scientific Argumentation Learning : Nurturing Students' Argumentation Ability and Copceptual Change in Science Context*. Institute of Education, National Chiao Tung University.
- Zohar, A. & Nemet, F. (2002). *Fostering Students' Knowledge and Argumentation Skills Through Dilemmas in Human Genetics*. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*.