

TABLE OF CONTENT

Lembar Pengesahan.....	i
Lembar Pernyataan	ii
Lembar Pernyataan Publikasi	iii
Abstract.....	iv
Abstrak	v
Acnowledgement	vi
Table of Content	vii
List of Table	x
List of Abbreviation	xi
I. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Research Question.....	4
1.3 Purpose of the Study.....	4
1.4 Scope of the Study.....	4
1.5 Significance of the Study.....	5
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Argument.....	6
2.2 Toulmin's Approach.....	9
2.3 Elements in Toulmin' Approach.....	10
2.3.1 Claim.....	10
2.3.2 Data.....	11

2.3.3 Warrant.....	12
2.3.4 Backing.....	13
2.3.5 Qualifier.....	14
2.3.6 Rebuttal.....	14
2.4 Debate and The Benefit of Debate.....	17
2.5 EDCO 2014.....	18
III. METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Research Design.....	21
3.2 Subject of the Study.....	22
3.3 Time and Place of The study.....	22
3.4 Data and Source.....	22
3.5 Instruments.....	23
3.5.1 Questionnaire	23
3.6 Data Collection.....	23
3.7 Data Analysis Procedure.....	24
IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Data Description.....	26
4.2 Findings.....	27
4.2.1 Result of Closed Questionnaire.....	31
4.3 Discussions.....	37
V. CONCLUSION	
5.1 Conclusion.....	49
5.2 Suggestion.....	50

REFERENCES.....	52
APPENDIX.....	56

LIST OF TABLE

Table 2.1 The example of deductive and inductive reasoning	8
Figure 2.1 Toulmin' diagram of argument	16
Table 3.1 Table of analysis	25
Table 3.2 Results of analysis	25
Table 4.1 The analysis of elements constructed by 28 debaters of EDCO	27
Figure 4.1 Data percentage of elements in argument	28
Figure 4.2 Data percentage of type of data in argument	29
Figure 4.3 Data percentage of types of warrant in argument	30
Figure 4.4 Respondents' perception on the definition of argument	31
Figure 4.5 Respondents' perception on the element of claim in an argument	32
Figure 4.6 Respondents' perception on the element of data in an argument	33
Figure 4.7 Respondents' perception on the typeof data in an argument	33
Figure 4.8 Respondents' perception on the warrant in an argument	34
Figure 4.9 Respondents' perception on the backing in an argument	35
Figure 4.10 Respondents' perception on the qualifier in an argument	35
Figure 4.11 Respondents' perception on the rebuttal in an argument	36

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

F: Fact

I: Inference

J: Judgement

C: Citation

MW: Motivational Warrant

AW: Authoritative Warrant

SW: Substantive Warrant