

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This chapter shows the conclusion and suggestion of the study after finding out the results. The explanation is discussed below.

5.1 Conclusion

According to Toulmin's approach, there are 6 elements that have to be identified in the argument constructed, they are: claim, data, warrant, backing, qualifier, and rebuttal. The study showed that there are 151 arguments constructed by 28 debaters in EDCO 2014. Each debater could construct more than one argument related to the motion of the debate. The total amount of claims were 151 claims, each debater could construct a claim in an argument that will lead to another elements. The total number of data that constructed by the debaters are 245 data. There are divided into 4 types of data with the total of 52 facts and 22%, 39 inferences and 16%, 154 judgements and 62% also 1 citation. Judgements as the most data used by the debaters showed that the debaters mostly give the data based on their perspective on values and beliefs from surroundings.

The debaters had less warrant in constructing their argument. It can be seen from the total of 63 warrants in their 151 arguments. The debaters' warrant is divided into 6 of motivational warrants, 31 of authoritative warrants, and 26 of substantive warrant. The lack of warrant indicates the lack of backing because

backing appears to support the warrant when it can not give enough information. There are only 6 backings that out of 151 arguments of 28 debaters. The lack of warrant and backing describe the lack of insights of debaters because they could not explain and fill the gap between claim and data. There were 6 qualifiers out of 151 arguments. It means that the claims that have been constructed by the debaters are stated in absolute rather than possible claim. Meanwhile, there were only find 9 rebuttals insert in the debaters' arguments. The rebuttal could be stated in an argument as long as it is related to the motion of the debate.

From the questionnaire, the debaters showed their understanding the elements in arguments that 32% strongly agreed, 54% agreed, and 14% doubtful about the perception of warrant as the explanation to fill the gap between the claim and data in arguments. However, though they have known about the existence of warrant as the element that give explanation to their arguments, they still have lacked of warrants. This results shows, the lack of knowledge and competencies of debaters about the given topic in the debate competition, EDCO 2014.

5.2 Suggestion

After conducting the study, the writer suggests that the next debaters of EDCO 2014 need to be aware and enhance their knowledge to the given topic. Since the topic had delivered before the competition, except for the final round. Therefore, the debaters should have more preparation to search for the related information about the topic and to practice for delivering their argument using English

language in front of the judges. After analyzing the elements arguments, the next researcher could identify other problems occurring in arguments, for instance the fallacy in arguments.