REFERENCES

- Ainsworth, A. (2008). 75 Arguments: An Anthology. New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies.
- A Review of Descriptive Data Analysis. (2005). NY . Retrieved on June 6,2014, fromhttp://www.sagepub.com/frankfortnachmiasstudy5/resources/review/Frankfortreview1.
- Baird, J. E. (1981). *Speaking for results: Communication by objectives*. New York: Harper & Row publisher.
- Bizup, J. (2009). *The uses of Toulmin in compositional studies*. The National Council of Teachers of English. Retrieved on June 6, 2014, from http://www.ncte.org/library/nctefiles/resources/journals/ccc/0611-sep09/ccc0611uses.pdf
- Cottrell, S. (2003). *The Study Skills Handbook, second edition*. New York: Palgrav Macmillan.
- Crusius ,T. W. & Channel ,C. E. (2003). *The aims of argumentation, a text and reader*. New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Darby, M. (2007). Debate: a teaching-learning strategy for developing competence in communication and critical thinking. *Journal of dental hygiene*.USA: American Dental Hygienist' Association.
- Gerber, P. L. 1959. Effective English. New York: Random House.
- Greenstreet, R. (1993). Academic Debate and Critical Thinking: A look at the Evidence. East Central University.

- Griswold, L. S. (1999). Debate as teaching strategy. *The American Journal of Occupation Therapy*.
- Hall, D.(2011). Debate: Innovative teaching to enhance critical thinking and communication skills in healthcare proffesionals (electronic journal). The Internet Journal of Allied Health Science and Practice. New York
- Halvorsen, A. (2005). Incorporating critical thinking skills development into ESL/EFL courses. *The Internet TESL Journal*vol XI No.3. Retrieved on July 8 ,2014, from http://iteslj.org/Techniques/Halvorsen-Critical Thinking.html.
- Hatch, Z & Hatch, S. (2012). *GMAT for Dummies*. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Jewel, T. & Ford, S. C. (2005). Parliamentary debate topics for the highly educated college student.
- Karbach, J. (2010). Using Toulmin' model of argumentation.
- Lynch, C. Pinkwart, N. Ashley, K. & Aleven, V. (2008). What do argument diagrams tell us about student aptitude or experience. California
- Mitchell, G. R .(1998). Pedagogical possibilities for argumentative agency in academic debate. University of Pittsburgh
- Mitchell, J.V. Jr. (1998). *The ninth mental measurements yearbook. Lincoln*. NE: The Buros Institute of Mental Measurements.
- Moore, B. N. & Parker, R. (2009). *Critical thinking 9th edition*. California: Humanities and Social Sciences.
- Ratna, K. (2004). *Teori, metode dan teknik penelitian sastra*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.

- Rieke, R. D. & Silliars, M. O. (1993). *Argumentation and critical decision making*3rd edition. USA: Harper Collins College Publishers.
- Semlak, W.D. and Shields, D.C. (1977). The effect of debate training on
- students participating in the Bicentennial Youth Debates. *Journal of the American Forensic Association*.
- Seyler, Dorothy. U. (1999). *Read, reason,write*. Boston: The McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Sheckels Jr., T.F. (1984). *Debating: Applied rhetorical theory*. New York: Longman.
- Shurter, R.L. & Pierce, J.R. (1966). *Critical thinking its expression in argument*.

 New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Snider, A. & Schriner, M. (2006). Many sides debate across the curriculum. New York: International debate education association.
- Toulmin, S. (2003). *The Uses of Argument updated edition*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- The Toulmin Method. (2014, March 30). Colorado State University.Retrieved April 31, 2014, from http://writing.colostate.edu/guides/guide.cfm?guideid=58.
- Trimmer, J. F. & Sommers, N. I. (1984). Writing with a purpose. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Wallace, J. (2004). *Debate: A cross cultural perspective*. Retrieved on April 21, 2014, from http://www.parlidebate.org/pdf/vol1no1.pdf.