

**THE USE OF HEDGING DEVICES IN STUDENTS' ORAL  
PRESENTATIONS**



**Siti Navila**

**2215106384**

**A Thesis Written in Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for the Degree of**  
***“Sarjana Pendidikan”***

**ENGLISH DEPARTMENT  
FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND ARTS  
STATE UNIVERSITY OF JAKARTA  
2014**

## **LEMBAR PENGESAHAN**

Skripsi ini diajukan oleh :

Nama : Siti Navila  
No. Registrasi : 2215106384  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Jurusan : Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris  
Fakultas : Bahasa dan Seni  
Judul Skripsi : **The Use of Hedging Devices in Students' Oral Presentation**

Telah berhasil dipertahankan di hadapan Dewan Penguji, dan diterima sebagai persyaratan yang diperlukan untuk memperoleh gelar Sarjana pada Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni Universitas Negeri Jakarta.

## **DEWAN PENGUJI**

Pembimbing

Ketua Penguji

Dr. Darmahusni, M.A

Siti Wachidah, Ph.D

NIP. 195807251987032001

NIP. 195710041989032001

Penguji Materi

Penguji Metodologi

Dr. Sri Sumarni, M.Pd

Dra. Zuharty, M.Si

NIP. 196005201985032001

NIP. 195203171976022001

Jakarta, Juli 2014  
**Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni**

Dr. Aceng Rahmat, M. Pd

NIP. 19571214 1990031 001

## **LEMBAR PERNYATAAN ORISINALITAS**

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini :

Nama : Siti Navila  
No. Registrasi : 2215106384  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Jurusan : Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris  
Fakultas : Bahasa dan Seni  
Judul Skripsi : **The Use of Hedging Devices in Students' Oral Presentation**

Menyatakan bahwa benar skripsi ini adalah hasil karya sendiri. Apabila saya mengutip dari karya orang lain, maka saya mencantumkan sumbernya sesuai dengan ketentuan yang berlaku. Saya bersedia menerima sanksi dari Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni Universitas Negeri Jakarta, apabila terbukti saya melakukan tindakan plagiat.

Demikian saya buat pernyataan ini dengan sebenarnya.

Jakarta, 8 Juli 2014

Siti Navila  
No. Reg. 2215106384

## **LEMBAR PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAN UNTUK KEPENTINGAN AKADEMIS**

---

Sebagai civitas akademik Universitas Negeri Jakarta saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Siti Navila

No. Reg : 2215106384

Fakultas : Bahasa dan Seni

Jenis Karya : Skripsi

Judul : **The Use of Hedging Devices in Students' Oral Presentation**

Demi pengembangan ilmu pengetahuan, saya menyetujui untuk memberikan kepada Universitas Negeri Jakarta Hak Bebas Royalti Non-Eksklusif (Non-Exclusive Royalty Free Right) atas karya ilmiah saya. Dengan Hak Bebas Royalti Non-Eksklusif ini, Universitas Negeri Jakarta berhak menyimpan, mengalihmedia/formatkan, mengelolanya dalam bentuk pangkalan data (database), mendistribusikannya, dan menampilkan/mempublikasikannya di Internet atau media lainnya untuk kepentingan akademis tanpa perlu meminta ijin dari saya selama tetap mencantumkan nama saya sebagai penulis/pencipta dan sebagai pemilik Hak Cipta. Segala bentuk tuntutan hukum yang timbul atas pelanggaran Hak Cipta dalam karya ilmiah ini menjadi tanggung jawab saya pribadi.

Demikian pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sebenarnya.

Dibuat di Jakarta

Pada tanggal 8 Juli 2014

Yang menyatakan,

Siti Navila

No. Reg 2215106384

## ABSTRAK

**Siti Navila.** 2014. *The Use of Hedging Devices in Students' Oral Presentation*. Jakarta. Jurusan Bahasa Inggris, Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni, Universitas Negeri Jakarta.

*Hedging* sebagai jenis kompetensi pragmatis merupakan satu bagian penting untuk mencapai tujuan dalam komunikasi, khususnya dalam wacana akademik dimana proses berbagi pengetahuan terjadi. Wacana akademik menuntut kelayakan dan kesederhanaan seorang penulis maupun pembicara dalam menyatakan argumen, diantaranya dengan memperhatikan kesopanan, berhati-hati dan tentatif, dan membedakan opini pribadi dan fakta yang mana aspek tersebut dapat dicapai melalui *hedging*. Penelitian ini dilakukan untuk menemukan *hedging devices* yang digunakan oleh mahasiswa juga untuk menganalisa bagaimana mahasiswa menggunakan *hedging devices* pada presentasi lisan. 23 presentasi lisan dari mahasiswa 11 Dik B pada ujian akhir mata kuliah Academic Presentation direkam dan diteliti secara bentuk dan fungsinya berdasarkan klasifikasi *hedging* oleh Meyer (1994). Penelitian menunjukkan bahwa hedging devices yang paling sering digunakan oleh mahasiswa adalah kategori *shields* yang mencapai sekitar 63.18% dari semua kategori *hedging devices* yang diteliti dan mahasiswa umumnya menggunakannya ketika mereka memberikan *suggestion* (saran), menyatakan suatu klaim, memberikan opini untuk memberikan jawaban yang memungkinkan namun tetap valid, dan ketika menawarkan solusi. Peneliti menyarankan *hedging* dapat diperkenalkan dalam pembelajaran karena konflik potensial yang biasa muncul ketika menyampaikan gagasan dalam konteks akademik seperti ketidaksetujuan, kritik, dan penilaian pribadi dapat dikurangi dengan penggunaan *hedging*. Hal ini juga bermanfaat bagi siswa dalam meraih kompetensi akademik dengan kemampuan menyampaikan gagasan secara tepat dan dapat lebih diterima dalam wacana akademik.

**Keywords:** Hedging, hedging devices, lexical hedges, Meyer classification, academic discourse.

## ABSTRACT

**Siti Navila.** 2014. *The Use of Hedging Devices in Studens' Oral Presentation*. Jakarta.  
English Department, Faculty of Language and Art, State University of Jakarta.

Hedging as a kind of pragmatic competence is an essential part in achieving the goal in communication, especially in academic discourse where the process of sharing knowledge among academic community takes place. Academic discourse demands an appropriateness and modesty of an author or speaker in stating arguments, to name but few, by considering the politeness, being cautious and tentative, and differentiating personal opinions and facts in which these aspects can be achieved through hedging. This study was conducted to find the hedging devices used by students as well as to analyze how they use them in their oral presentation. 23 oral presentations from 11 Dik B students on their Academic Presentation course final test were recorded and explored formally and functionally according to hedging classification proposed by Meyer (1994). It was found that the most frequent hedging devices used by students were shields with approximately 63.18% of all hedging devices that students commonly used when they showed suggestion, stated claims, showed opinion to provide possible but still valid answer, and offered the appropriate solution. The researcher suggests that hedging can be familiarized in learning since potential conflicts that is likely to occur while delivering ideas in academic context such as disagreement, criticism, and personal judgment can be reduced with the use of hedging. It will also benefit students in achieving the academic competence with an ability to demonstrate their ideas appropriately and more acceptable in academic discourse.

**Keywords:** Hedging, hedging devices, lexical hedges, Meyer classification, academic discourse.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In the name of Allah, the most gracious, the most merciful...

All praises and thanks to Allah, the King of the Kings, the Lord of the world, the Master of the day after, the Creator of everything in this universe. The One gives me this precious life, health, and grace so that I could have a taste of learning a small part of His greatest knowledge, until I pass my long journey in English Department, State University of Jakarta, and finish this thesis.

Bless and peace may always be granted to the prophet Muhammad, the last messenger of Allah, who had brought mu'miniin and mu'minaat from the darkness to the lightness.

In this opportunity, I would like to express my gratitude towards the following people who keep me spirit in passing through all these complicated yet memorable moments:

- My thesis advisor and Head of English Department State University of Jakarta, Mrs. Dr. Darmahusni, M.A, for all her kind guidance, time, and willingness given to me in finishing my skripsi
- My beloved parents and family for all the love, hard work, supports, and never ending prayers up till now so that I can stay strong and feel blessed no matter how difficult the things are
- My thesis examiners, Mrs. Siti Wachidah, Ph.D, Mrs. Dr. Sri Sumarni, M.Pd, and Mrs. Dra. Zuharty, M.Si for all the input and suggestions given to improve my skripsi
- Mrs. Dra. R. Helena Damongilala, M.Pd for her permission to conduct the observation and video recording during her class

- Members of 11 Dik B class for all your willingness to be observed, especially for Mutiara, Zatta, Risma, and Wildan that have helped me a lot in my critical time, sure you guys would succeed in your skripsi!
- My partners in skripsi, Eka Nur Rizki, Yoga Putra Alam, and Andi M. Yusuf Ridwan for all supporting each other in passing the skripsi moments
- My beloved friends 10 Dik MDR, my second family in the campus, for all the prayers and beautiful memories during these four years. Finally we are S.Pd!!
- My best friend since my childhood till now and forever, Nayyirotul Huda, for her supports and prayers, thank you for always listening and becoming a place for me to share everything, sadness and happiness for this fifteen years.
- My secret motivator, without your knowing, your amazing achievements always inspire me to reach my dream higher and higher
- EDF and EDDC that made my life in college more meaningful with debate competitions and practices
- All lecturers and staffs of English Department

Finally, to all people that I could not mention one by one, for all the supports and prayers, thank you very much. May Allah bless us here and hereafter. Jazakumullah khairan katsiran.

Best Regards,

SN

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

<b>LEMBAR PENGESAHAN .....</b>	i
<b>LEMBAR PERNYATAAN ORISINALITAS .....</b>	ii
<b>LEMBAR PERNYATAAN PUBLIKASI .....</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK .....</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	v
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT .....</b>	vi
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT.....</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF CHARTS .....</b>	xi

## **CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION**

1.1 Background of the Study .....	1
1.2 Focus and Subfocus of the Study .....	7
1.3 Purpose of the Study .....	8
1.4 Significance of the Study .....	8

## **CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1 Hedging Devices .....	9
2.1.1 Definition of Hedging .....	9
2.1.2 General Classification of Hedging.....	11
2.1.3 Hedging Classification in Academic Discourse .....	16
2.1.4 The Relevance of Hedging in Academic Discourse .....	18

2.1.5 Functions of Hedging in Communication .....	21
2.2 Oral Presentation .....	24
2.3 Theoretical Framework .....	26

### **CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Research Purposes .....	29
3.2 Research Method and Design .....	29
3.3 Scope of the Study .....	30
3.4 Time and Place of the Research .....	30
3.5 Data and Data Source .....	30
3.6 Research Procedures .....	30
3.7 Data Collection Techniques .....	32
3.8 Data Analysis Techniques .....	33

### **CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1 Description of the Data .....	34
4.2 Findings .....	34
4.2.1 The Overall Number of Lexical Hedges .....	34
4.2.2 The Distribution of Hedging Devices .....	35
4.2.3 The Students' Use of Hedging Devices .....	39
4.2.3.1 Shields .....	40
4.2.3.2 Approximators .....	48
4.2.3.3 Author/Speaker's Personal Doubt and Direct Involvement ....	53

4.2.3.4 Emotionally-Charged Intensifier .....	54
4.2.3.5 Compound Hedges .....	55

## **CHAPTER V CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION**

5.1 Conclusion .....	57
----------------------	----

5.2 Recommendation .....	59
--------------------------	----

<b>REFFERENCES .....</b>	<b>60</b>
--------------------------	-----------

<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>66</b>
-------------------------	-----------

## **LIST OF CHARTS**

Table 1.	The Overall Use of Hedging Devices by Students	35
Table 2.	The Distribution of Hedging Devices by Its Forms	36
Table 3.	The Frequently Used Lexical Hedges	37
Figure 1.	The Overall Number of Hedging Devices Used According to Meyer Classification	38
Table 4.	The Forms Classified to Shields	41
Table 5.	The Forms Classified to Approximators	48