

REFERENCE

- Anthony Laurence. (2012). *Developing AntConc for a New Generation of Corpus Linguists*. Tokyo, Japan: Waseda University.
- Biber Douglas. (2015). *Corpus-Based and Corpus-Driven Analyses of Language Variation and Use*, 1-31. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199677078.013.0008
- Bellés-Fortuño, B. (2016). Popular Science Articles vs. Scientific. *A Tool for Medical Education: New Insight into the Analysis of Medical discourse in Professional, Academic and Popular Settings*. (pp 65-88).
- Carlo G. S. D. (2014). The role of proximity in online popularizations: The case of TED talks. *Discourse Studies*, 16 (5), 591 - 606. DOI: 10.1177/1461445614538565.
- Chang Y. J. & Huang H. T. (2015). English Teaching & Learning. *Exploring TED Talks as a Pedagogical Resource for Oral Presentation: A Corpus-Based Move Analysis*, 39,4 (2015), 29-62. DOI: 10.6330/ETL.2015.39.4.02
- Flowerdew. (2015). Corpus based research and pedagogy in EAP: from lexis to genre. *Language Teaching*, 48, (01), 99-116. DOI: 10.1017/S0261444813000037
- Flowerdew. (2015). Using corpus-based research and online academic corpora to inform writing discussion section of a thesis. *Journal for English for Academic Purpose*, 20, 58 - 68.
- Hyland K. (2005). Stance and engagement: A model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 7(2), 173 – 179.
- Hyland K. (2010). Constructing proximity: Relating to readers in popular and professional science. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 9, 116-127.
- Hyland K. (2008). Stance and Engagement: a model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 7(2), 173 - 192.
- Hyland K., & Jiang F. K. (2016). “We must conclude that...”: A diachronic study of academic engagement.
- Hin & Subramaniam. (2014). Challenges Facing Developing Countries in Communicating Science to Public. *Communicating Science to Public*. DOI 10.1007/978-94-017-9097- 0_13.
- Landrum A., Olshansky A., Richard O. (2019). Differential susceptibility to misleading flat earth arguments on YouTube, *Media Psychology*, DOI: 10.1080/15213269.2019.1669461
- Liao, M. H. (2011). Interaction in the Genre of Popular Science. *The Translator*, 17(2), 349– 368. Doi:10.1080/13556509.2011.10799493
- Muñoz, V. L. (2015). The vocabulary of agriculture semi-popularization articles in English: A corpus-based study. *English for Specific Purposes*, 39, 26-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2015.04.001>
- Pelger S., & Nilsson P. (2015). Popular Science Writing to Support Students’ Learning of Science and Scientific Literacy. DOI 10.1007/s11165-015-9465-y
- Qiu X., & Jiang F. K. (2021). Stance and Engagement in 3MT Presentations: how student communicate disciplinary knowledge to a wide audience. *Journal of English for Academic Purpose*, 51, 1 – 12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2021.100976>
- Scharrer et all. (2016). When Science Becomes too Easy: Science Communication Inclines Lay People to Underrate Their Dependence on Experts. *Public Understanding of Science*, 1-6. DOI: 10.1177/0963662516680311