

REFERENCES

- Berkenkotter, C., & Huckin, T. (1993). Rethinking genre from a sociocognitive perspective. *Written Communication*, 10(4), 475-509.
- Berkenkotter, C., & Huckin, T. N. (1995). *Genre knowledge in disciplinary communication-cognition/culture/power*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Bhatia, V. (1993). *Analyzing genre: Language use in professional settings*. New York: Longman.
- Bhatia, V. (2004). *Worlds of written discourse: A genre-based view*. London: Continuum.
- Can, S. (2016) *Structure of Moves in Research Article Abstracts in Applied Linguistics*. MDPI Publications.
- Connor, U., Davis, K., & De Rycker, T. (1995). Correctness and clarity in applying for overseas jobs: a cross-cultural analysis of U.S. and Flemish applications. *Text*, 15(4), 457-476.
- Connor, U., & Mauranen, A. (1999). Linguistic analysis of grant proposals: European union research grants. *English for Specific Purposes*, 18(1), 47-62.
- Cortes, V. The purpose of this study is to: Connecting lexical bundles and moves in research article introductions. *J. Engl. Acad. Purp.* 2013, 12, 33-43.
- Ding, H. (2007). Genre analysis of personal statements: Analysis of moves in application essays to medical and dental schools. *English for Specific Purposes*, 26(3), 368-392.
- Dudley-Evans, T., & St. John, A. M. J. (1998). *Developing English for specific purposes: A multi-disciplinary approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Flowerdew, J., & Wan, A. (2010). The linguistic and the contextual in applied genre analysis: The case of the company audit report. *English for Specific purposes*, 29(2), 78-93.
- Freedman, A., & Medway, P. (1994). Locating genre studies: antecedents and prospects. In *Genre and the new rhetoric*. Bristol, PA: Taylor & Francis.

- Henry, A., & Roseberry, R. L. (1997). An evaluation of a genre-based approach to the teaching of EAP/ESP writing. *TESOL Quarterly*, 32(1), 147-156. doi: 10.2307/3587913
- Hyland, K. (2004a). Disciplinary interactions: Metadiscourse in L2 postgraduate writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 13(2), 133-151. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2004.02.001>
- Hyon, S. (1996). Genre in three traditions: Implications for ESL. *TESOL Quarterly*, 30, 693-722.
- Johns, A. M. (1997). *Text, role, and context, developing academic literacies*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kanoksilapatham, B. (2005). Rhetorical structure of biochemistry research articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24(3), 269-292.
- Kondracki, N. L., & Wellman, N. S. (2002). Content analysis: Review of methods and their applications in nutrition education. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 34, 224-230.
- Lindkvist, K. (1981). Approaches to textual analysis. In K. E. Rosengren (Ed.), *Advances in content analysis* (pp. 23-41). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- McTavish, D.-G., & Pirro, E.-B. (1990). Contextual content analysis. *Quality and Quantity*, 24, 245-265.
- Miller, C. R. (1984). Genre as social action. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 70, 151-167.
- Miller, C. R. (1994). Rhetorical community: the cultural basis of genre. In A. Freedman & P. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the new rhetoric* (pp. 67-77). London: Taylor & Francis.
- Morgan, D. L. (1993). Qualitative content analysis: A guide to paths not taken. *Qualitative Health Research*, 3(1), 112-121.
- Neuendorf, Kimberley A. (2002), *"The Content Analysis: Guidebook"*, Sage Publications, New Delhi.
- Nwogu, K. N. (1997). The medical research paper: Structure and functions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16, 119-138.
- Paltridge, B. (1994). Genre analysis and the identification of textual boundaries. *Applied Linguistics*, 15, 3, 288-299.

- Pare', A., & Smart, G. (1994). Observing genre in action: Towards a research methodology. In *Genre and the new rhetoric* (pp. 146-154). Bristol, PA: Taylor and Francis.
- Pho, P.D., An evaluation of three different approaches to the analysis of research article abstracts. *Monash University Linguistics Papers*, 6, 2, 11-16 (2009).
- Ren, H.; Li, Y. A comparison study on the rhetorical moves of abstracts in published research articles and master's foreign-language theses. *Engl. Lang. Teach.* 2011, 4, 162–166.
- Sandelowski, M. (2000). What ever happened to qualitative description? *Research in Nursing and Health*, 23, 334–340.
- Swales, J. (1981) *Aspects of Article Introductions*. Birmingham: University of Aston.
- Swales, J. M. (1990). *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (1994). *Academic writing for graduate students: Essential tasks and skills*. Ann Arbor, MI: The University of Michigan Press.
- Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2003). *English in today's research world: A writing guide*. Ann Arbor, MI: The University of Michigan Press.
- Swales, J. M. (2004). *Research genres: Explorations and applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J. M. (2009). Worlds of genre: Metaphors of genre. In C. Bazerman, A. Bonini & D. Figueiredo (Eds.), *Genre in a changing world* (pp. 3-16). Indiana: Parlor Press
- Tesch, R. (1990). *Qualitative research: Analysis types and software tools*. Bristol, PA: Falmer.