

APPENDICES

Categories of Hedging Devices in Discussion Sections of *Skripsi* for Each University

NO	HEDGING CLASSIFICATION	UNIVERSITIES			TOTAL
		UNIVERSITY 1	UNIVERSITY 2	UNIVERSITY 3	
1	Shields	29	44	26	99
2	Approximators	11	29	9	49
3	The authors' personal doubt and direct involvement	6	1	0	7
4	Compound Hedges	0	2	0	2
	Total	46	76	35	157

Categories of Total Hedging Devices in Discussion Sections of *Skripsi*

NO	HEDGING CATEGORIES	HEDGING DEVICES IDENTIFIED	PERCENTAGE
1	Shields	99	63.06%
2	Approximators	49	31.21%
3	The authors' personal doubt and direct involvement	7	4.46%
4	Compound Hedges	2	1.27%
	Total	157	100%

**Categories of Hedging Devices in Discussion Sections of Master Theses for
each university**

NO	HEDGING CLASSIFICATION	MASTER THESES			TO TA L
		UNIVERSITY 1	UNIVERSITY 2	UNIVERSITY 3	
1	Shields	44	84	61	189
2	Approximators	17	41	30	88
3	The authors' personal doubt and direct involvement	8	0	0	8
4	Emotionally-charged intensifiers	0	0	1	1
5	Compound Hedges	0	1	1	2
	Total	69	126	93	288

**Categories of Total Hedging Devices in Discussion Sections of Master
Theses**

NO	HEDGING CLASSIFICATION	TOTAL	PERCENTAGE
1	Shields	189	65.63%
2	Approximators	88	30.56%
3	The authors' personal doubt and direct involvement	8	2.78%
4	Emotionally-charged intensifiers	1	0.35%
5	Compound Hedges	2	0.69%
	Total	288	100%

The Functions of Hedging in Discussion Sections of *Skripsi*

NO	HEDGING CLASSIFICATION	FREQUENCY	FUNCTIONS	FREQUENCY
1	Shields	99	Self-protection from consequences of inappropriate claims	98
			Showing politeness as well as deference toward audience	0
			Showing the author's consideration of the degree of precision	1
2	Approximators	49	Self-protection from consequences of inappropriate claims	0
			Showing politeness as well as deference toward audience	0
			Showing the author's consideration of the degree of precision	49
3	The authors' personal doubt and direct involvement	7	Self-protection from consequences of inappropriate claims	0
			Showing politeness as well as deference toward audience	0
			Showing the author's consideration of the degree of precision	7
4	Compound Hedges	2	Self-protection from consequences of inappropriate claims	1
			Showing politeness as well as deference toward audience	1
			Showing the author's consideration of the degree of precision	0
Total		157		157

The Functions of Hedging Devices in Discussions Sections of Master Theses

NO	HEDGING CLASSIFICATION	FREQUENCY	FUNCTIONS	FREQUENCY
1	Shields	189	Self-protection from consequences of inappropriate claims	186
			Showing politeness as well as deference toward audience	3
			Showing the author's consideration of the degree of precision	0
2	Approximators	88	Self-protection from consequences of inappropriate claims	0
			Showing politeness as well as deference toward audience	0
			Showing the author's consideration of the degree of precision	88
3	The authors' personal doubt and direct involvement	8	Self-protection from consequences of inappropriate claims	8
			Showing politeness as well as deference toward audience	0
			Showing the author's consideration of the degree of precision	0
4	Emotionally-charged intensifiers	1	Self-protection from consequences of inappropriate claims	0
			Showing politeness as well as deference toward audience	0
			Showing the author's consideration of the degree of precision	1
5	Compound Hedges	2	Self-protection from consequences of inappropriate claims	2
			Showing politeness as well as deference toward audience	0
			Showing the author's	0

			consideration of the degree of precision	
	Total	288		288

Categories of Hedging Devices in Discussion Sections of S-1 and S-2 Program for each university

NO	HEDGING CATEGORIES	UNIVERSITY 1		UNIVERSITY 2		UNIVERSITY 3	
		S-1 PROGRAM	S-2 PROGRAM	S-1 PROGRAM	S-2 PROGRAM	S-1 PROGRAM	S-2 PROGRAM
1	Shields	29	44	44	84	26	61
2	Approximators	11	17	29	41	9	30
3	The authors' personal doubt and direct involvement	6	8	1	0	0	0
4	Compound Hedges	0	0	2	1	0	1
5	Emotionally-charged intensifiers	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	46	69	76	126	35	93