

CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY

This chapter describes the research method, subject of the research, source of data, data collection procedure and data analysis.

3.1 Research Method

The method employed in this study is descriptive analytical study by collecting some information from the sample to be categorized based on Hyland's interpersonal categories . It would reveal the occurrence and the frequency of interpersonal metadiscourse and the most dominant sub categories in finding and discussion section of student's Skripsi of English Department, especially English Language and Education Study Program (ELESP) in Universitas Negeri Jakarta.

The primary data is required from finding and discussion section of student's skripsi in English Department, especially English Language and Education Study Program (ELESP) in Universitas Negeri Jakarta. This research focus to investigate the interpersonal metadiscourse established by Hyland.

3.2 Setting and Data Resource

The corpus of this study comes from student's skripsi of English Department in Universitas Negeri Jakarta, especially English Language and Education Study Program (ELESP) which has been chosen randomly. Six (6) skripsi in 2014 are taken from students English Language and Education Study Program (ELESP). The time of the research is held from January 2016 until June 2016 in Universitas Negeri Jakarta by conducting three steps: preparing data collection on January until February, collecting the data on March, and then data analysis is from March to June.

				Makrers		Markers	

3.4 Data Analysis Procedure

The following are the steps in analyzing the data:

1. Finding interpersonal metadiscourse that occurs in skripsi based on the criteria. Each *Skripsi* is carefully read and examined for different feature of interpersonal metadiscourse.
2. Categorizing the interpersonal metadiscourse based on Hyland's theory. Interpersonal metadiscourse that consists of hedges, boosters, attitude markers, self-mentions and engagement markers. Once it is determined that a given feature qualified as interpersonal metadiscourse, it is then assigned to one of the subcategories. Next, each feature is carefully analyzed based on the context situation to ensure that it actually functioned as an interpersonal metadiscourse marker and could be incorporated into the counts.
3. Presenting the total number of each type of interpersonal metadiscourse using table analysis to show which is the most dominant interpersonal metadiscourse that occurs in student's skripsi of English Language and Education Study Program (ELESP). After analyzing all the feature of interpersonal metadiscourse applied in *Skripsi*, the researcher counted the total number of interpersonal metadiscourse of each sub category using the table below:

Title of <i>Skripsi</i>	Interactional Metadiscourse					Total Number
	Hedges	Boosters	Attitude markers	Self-mention	Engagement markers	

4. Explaining the interpersonal metadiscourse markers that occur in finding and discussion section of student's skripsi in English Department.
5. Describing a conclusion after getting the data analysis.

The result of analysis is showed by using chart to see the percentage of Hyland interpersonal metadiscourse and their sub-categories (hedges, boosters, attitude markers, self-mentions and engagement markers) in *Skripsi* from students of English Language and Education Study Program (ELESP).

FIGURE 1. Percentage of use of interpersonal metadiscourse markers in students' skripsi of English Language and Education Study program