

**THE PARAPHRASE OF THE ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
STUDENTS' *SKRIPSI* PROPOSAL**



HUSAM BADAR AL MUADZI

2215110011

***A Skripsi* Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Degree of “Sarjana Pendidikan”**

**ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND ART
STATE UNIVERSITY OF JAKARTA**

March 2016

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This chapter reviews the conclusions that were derived from the discussion based on the research question. The suggestions were presented for further research.

5.1. Conclusion

According to the research question, the strategy which is frequently used to construct the paraphrase is changing words into synonym or other vocabulary. Almost all of the paraphrases use this strategy. However, there are some errors within this strategy, such as placing inappropriate synonym, especially for technical word changing. And the other case, some of paraphrases form similar with direct quote or summarizing. The ordinary mistake also found when the paraphrase putting too much from the original.

About 9 paraphrases (47,4%) still contain the same words or structure with the original. Mostly, the mistakes occur due to using only one or two strategy and putting too much from the original. From the two *skripsi* proposal with 8 paraphrase, there are not like a paraphrase, but more like direct quote or summarizing. Based on that, the writer does not know about how to create a good paraphrase.

5.2. Suggestion

This study analyzes the paraphrase in the *skripsi* proposal. The way of analyzing the paraphrase is by comparing the paraphrase with the original text. Therefore, the writer should understand deeply about the core idea of the source in the original text. Since the limitation of time, the writer only takes five *skripsi* proposal with nineteen paraphrases. The solution for next study is verifying about the effectiveness of obtaining the source of data in a long period.

In analyzing the paraphrase, the writer does not involve the writer of the *skripsi* proposal. Therefore, the writer sometimes meets a difficulty in taking the data because most of the paraphrase does not coherence with the original. Moreover, there are some grammatical errors and also lack of vocabulary in the paraphrase that make it difficult to analyze. Therefore, the suggestion for the next study is should involve the writer to analyze the data compared with the original coherently, then prepare your time to check the reliability of the sources, too.

