CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This chapter presents conclusion and suggestion. It is divided into two sections. The first section is the conclusion of the conducted study regarding to the research question which was elaborated in the preceding section. The second section is the suggestion for the researchers who have similar interest in conducting code switching and code mixing.

5.1   Conclusion

In order to answer the research question, the discussion of the research is explained after the data are obtained and analyzed. Based on the analysis of twelve Our Cover articles that published in Gogirl! magazine by using Poplack and Kachru theories, the writer found three types of code switching (tag switching, intersentential switching and intra-sentential switching) and three types of code mixing (Unit insertion, unit hybridization, idioms and collocation insertion). Intra-sentential switching is the most frequently used compare to the other types. The percentage of Intra-sentential switching is 36,13 %, followed by unit Insertion 34,65 %, intersentential switching 11,35 %, unit Hybridization 9,9%, tag switching 5,46%, and idioms and collocation 2,47 %.

5.2   Suggestion

In accordance with the finding, there is suggestion for the next researchers who are interesting in doing research relevant to this study in different text such as novel, blog internet, song lyric, etc. In terms of the use of English utterance in sentences or daily conversation, it is suggested to be careful in choosing proper English words to code switch and code mix because sometimes people use a wrong word or wrong form when they do switching or mixing. Hopefully the writer gives some guidance for the further researchers to be more careful in doing research so the result is being better than this.