

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Andriani, R., & Rasto. (2019). Motivasi Belajar sebagai Determinan Hasil Belajar Siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan Manajemen Perkantoran (JPManper)*, 4(1), 80–86. <https://doi.org/10.17509/jpm.v4i1.14958>
- Arifatun, U. (2020). *Analisis Hubungan Aktivitas Belajar Kimia dan Keterampilan Laboratorium pada Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) Berbantuan Mind Map Materi Titrasi Asam dan Basa di Kelas XI MIPA SMA Negeri 1 Mlati*. Universitas Islam Indonesia Yogyakarta.
- Bahriah, E. S., & Abadi, S. M. (2016). Motivasi Belajar Siswa Pada Materi Ikatan Kimia Melalui metode Praktikum. *Educhemia (Jurnal Kimia Dan Pendidikan)*, 1(1), 86–97.
- Baydere, F. K. (2021). Effects of a context-based approach with prediction-observation-explanation on conceptual understanding of the states of matter, heat and temperature. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 22(3), 640–652. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0rp00348d>
- Bennett, J., Gräsel, C., Parchmann, I., & Waddington, D. (2005). Context-based and Conventional Approaches to Teaching Chemistry: comparing teachers' view. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(13), 1521–1547. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690500153808>
- Budiariawan, I. P. (2019). Hubungan Motivasi Belajar dengan Hasil Belajar pada Mata Pelajaran Kimia. *Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia Indonesia*, 3(2), 103–111. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jpk.v3i2.21242>
- Cahyana, U., Paristiowati, M., Nurhadi, M. F., & Hasyrin, S. N. (2017). Studi Tentang Motivasi Belajar Siswa Pada Penggunaan Media Mobile Game Base Learning Dalam Pembelajaran Laju Reaksi. *Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan*, 19(2), 143–155.
- Chang, R. (2010). *Chemistry* (10th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Choi, H. J., & Johnson, S. D. (2005). The Effect of Context-Based Video Instruction on Learning and Motivation in Online Courses. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 21(1), 215–227. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15389286ajde1904_3
- Chowdhury, M. A. (2013). Incorporating a soap industry case study to motivate and engage students in the chemistry of daily life. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 90(7), 866–872. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed300072e>
- Cigdemoglu, C., & Geban, O. (2015). Improving Students' Chemical Literacy Level on Thermodynamics Concepts through Context-Based Approach. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 16(2), 302–317.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research Methods in Education* (8th ed.). New York: Routledge.

- Djangi, M. J., Sugiarti, & Ramdani. (2021). Analisis Kesulitan Belajar Kimia Melalui Pembelajaran Daring Peserta Didik Kelas XI SMAN 3 Maros pada Materi Pokok Larutan Penyangga. *Seminar Nasional Hasil Penelitian*, 1571–1579.
- Elo, S., Kääriäinen, M., Kanste, O., Pölkki, T., Utriainen, K., & Kyngäs, H. (2014). Qualitative Content Analysis: A Focus on Trustworthiness. *SAGE Open*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244014522633>
- Emda, A. (2017). Kedudukan Motivasi Belajar Siswa dalam Pembelajaran. *Lantanida Journal*, 5(2), 93–196.
- Feng, S. L., & Tuan, H. L. (2005). Using ARCS model to promote 11th graders' motivation and achievement in learning about acids and bases. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 3(3), 463–484. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-004-6828-7>
- Gilbert, J., Bulte, A. M. W., & Pilot, A. (2011). Concept development and transfer in context-based science education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(6), 817–837. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2010.493185>
- Gisev, N., Bell, J. S., & Chen, T. F. (2013). Interrater agreement and interrater reliability: Key concepts, approaches, and applications. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 9(3), 330–338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2012.04.004>
- Glynn, S. M., Taasobshirazi, G., & Brickman, P. (2007). Nonscience Majors Learning Science: A Theoretical Model of Motivation. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(8), 1088–1107. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20181>
- Hafizoglu, A., & Yerdelen, S. (2019). The Role of Students' Motivation in the Relationship between Perceived Learning Environment and Achievement in Science: A Mediation Analysis. *Science Education International*, 30(4), 51–260. <https://doi.org/10.33828/sei.v30.i4.2>
- Haryadi, R., & Rosiana, I. (2020). Pengaruh sistem pembelajaran daring terhadap motivasi dan kualitas belajar siswa. *Jurnal Jurusan Bimbingan Konseling Undiksha*, 11(2), 136–141. Retrieved from <https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JJBK/index>
- Hemayanti, K. L., Muderawan, I. W., & Selamat, I. N. (2020). Analisis Minat Belajar Siswa Kelas XI MIA Pada Mata Pelajaran Kimia. *Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia Indonesia*, 4(1), 20–25. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jpk.v4i1.24060>
- Huitt, W. (2011). Motivation to Learn: An Overview. Retrieved January 11, 2022, from Educational Psychology Interactive website: <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/topics/motivation/motivate.html>
- Ilhan, N., Yildirim, A., & Yilmaz, S. S. (2016). The effect of context-based chemical equilibrium on grade 11 students' learning, motivation and constructivist learning environment. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 11(9), 3117–3137. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ijese.2016.919a>

- Ismawati, R. (2017). Strategi REACT dalam Pembelajaran Kimia SMA. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Education*, 1(1), 1–7.
- Kala, N., Yaman, F., & Ayas, A. (2013). The Effectiveness of Predict-Observe-Explain Technique in Probing Students' Understanding about Acid-Base Chemistry: A Case for the Concepts of pH, pOH, and Strength. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 11(3), 555–574. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-012-9354-z>
- Karamustafaoğlu, S., & Mamlok-Naaman, R. (2015). Understanding electrochemistry concepts using the predict-observe-explain strategy. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 11(5), 923–936. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1364a>
- Kibirige, I., Osodo, J., & Tlala, K. M. (2014). The effect of predict-observe-explain strategy on learners' misconceptions about dissolved salts. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(4), 300–310. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n4p300>
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1986). But Is It Rigorous? Trustworthiness and Authenticity in Naturalistic Evaluation. *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, (30). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.1427>
- Magwilang, E. (2016). Teaching Chemistry in Context: Its Effects on Students' Motivation, Attitudes and Achievement in Chemistry. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 15(4), 60–68.
- Majid, A. N., & Rohaeti, E. (2018). The Effect of Context-Based Chemistry Learning on Student Achievement and Attitude. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 6(6), 836–839. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-6-6-37>
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook* (2nd ed.). London: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Muna, I. A. (2017). Model Pembelajaran POE (Predict-Observe- Explain) dalam Meningkatkan Pemahaman. *Jurnal Studi Agama*, 5(1), 73–91.
- Muna, K. (2017). Pengaruh Tujuan Berprestasi terhadap Keterampilan Metakognisi Siswa dalam Pemecahan Masalah Kimia (Studi Korelasional pada Siswa XI IPA SMAN 2 Banjarmasin). *QUANTUM: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Sains*, 8(1), 89–98.
- Nurhidayatullah, N., & Prodjosantoso, A. K. (2018). Miskonsepsi materi larutan penyangga. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan IPA*, 4(1), 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jipi.v4i1.10029>
- Önen, A. S., & Ulusoy, F. M. (2014). Developing the context-based chemistry motivation scale: Validity and reliability analysis. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 13(6), 809–820. <https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/14.13.809>

- Orgill, M. K., & Sutherland, A. (2008). Undergraduate chemistry students' perceptions of and misconceptions about buffers and buffer problems. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 9(2), 131–143. <https://doi.org/10.1039/b806229n>
- Palittin, I. D., Wolo, W., & Purwanti, R. (2019). Hubungan Motivasi Belajar dengan Hasil Belajar Siswa. *MAGISTRA: Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 6(2), 101–109. <https://doi.org/10.35724/magistra.v6i2.1801>
- Pamungkas, M. S. H., Mulyani, S., & Saputro, S. (2017). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran POE dengan Metode Praktikum untuk Meningkatkan Rasa Ingin Tahu dan Prestasi Belajar Kimia Siswa. *PAEDAGOGIA: Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 20(1), 40–60. <https://doi.org/10.20961/paedagogia.v20i1.16596>
- Pane, A., & Dasopang, M. D. (2017). Belajar dan Pembelajaran. *FITRAH: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu-Ilmu Keislaman*, 03(2), 333–352.
- Petrucci, R. H., Herring, F. G., Madura, J. H., & Bissonnette, C. (2017). *General chemistry: principles and modern applications* (11th ed.). Canada: Pearson Canada Inc.
- Pintrich, P. R. (2003). A Motivational Science Perspective on the Role of Student Motivation in Learning and Teaching Contexts. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95(4), 667–686. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.95.4.667>
- Pongkendek, J. J., Marpaung, D. N., & Siregar, L. F. (2019). Analisis Motivasi Belajar Siswa dengan Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Team Games Tournament. *Musamus Journal of Science Education*, 2(1), 31–38. <https://doi.org/10.35724/mjose.v2i1.2243>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivations: Classic Definitions and New Directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 54–67. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1999.1020>
- Sakiz, G. (2011). Mastery and Performance Approach Goal Orientations in Relation to Academic Self-Efficacy Beliefs and Academic Help Seeking Behaviors of College Students in Turkey. *Educational Research*, 2(January), 771–778.
- Savira, I., Wardani, S., Harjito, & Noorhayati, A. (2019). Desain Instrumen Tes Three Tiers Multiple Choice Untuk Analisis Miskonsepsi Siswa Terkait Larutan Penyangga. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia*, 13(1), 2277–2286. Retrieved from <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/JIPK/article/view/15924>
- Sesen, B. A. (2013). Diagnosing pre-service science teachers' understanding of chemistry concepts by using computer-mediated predict-observe-explain tasks. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 14(3), 239–246. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c3rp20143k>
- Sinaga, M., & Silaban, S. (2020). Implementasi Pembelajaran Kontekstual untuk Aktivitas dan Hasil Belajar Kimia Siswa. *Gagasan Pendidikan Indonesia*, 1(1), 33. <https://doi.org/10.30870/gpi.v1i1.8051>

- Suardi, M. (2018). *Belajar dan Pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Deepublish.
- Subagia, I. W. (2014). Paradigma Baru Pembelajaran Kimia SMA. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional MIPA UNDIKSHA*, 152–163.
- Sugiyono. (2013). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan (Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D)*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suryadinata, A. (2012). Kadar Bikarbonat Saliva Penderita Karies Dan Bebas Karies. *Sainstis*, 1(1), 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.18860/sains.v0i0.1868>
- Treagust, D. F., Chittleborough, G., & Mamiala, T. L. (2003). The role of submicroscopic and symbolic representations in chemical explanations. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(11), 1353–1368. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069032000070306>
- Tuan, H. L., Chin, C. C., & Shieh, S. H. (2005). The development of a questionnaire to measure students' motivation towards science learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(6), 639–654. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069042000323737>
- Ültay, N., & Çalık, M. (2012). A Thematic Review of Studies into the Effectiveness of Context-Based Chemistry Curricula. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 21(6), 686–701. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-011-9357-5>
- Uno, H. B. (2016). *Teori Motivasi dan Pengukuran: Analisis di Bidang Pendidikan*. (1st ed.; Junwinanto, Ed.). Jakarta: PT Bumi Aksara.
- Velayutham, S., Aldridge, J., & Fraser, B. (2011). Development and validation of an instrument to measure students' motivation and self-regulation in science learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(15), 2159–2179. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2010.541529>
- Vorst, H. van, & Aydogmus, H. (2021). One context fits all?—analysing students' context choice and their reasons for choosing a context-based task in chemistry education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 43(8), 1250–1272. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2021.1908640>
- Yang, K. H., & Chen, H. H. (2021). What increases learning retention: employing the prediction-observation-explanation learning strategy in digital game-based learning. *Interactive Learning Environments*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1944219>
- Yen, H. C., Tuan, H. L., & Liao, C. H. (2010). Investigating the Influence of Motivation on Students' Conceptual Learning Outcomes in Web-based vs. Classroom-based Science Teaching Contexts. *Research in Science Education*, 41(2), 211–224. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-009-9161-x>
- Zagoto, L., & Florina, S. (2019). Efikasi Diri dalam Proses Pembelajaran. *Jurnal Review Pendidikan Dan Pengajaran*, 2(2), 386–391. <https://doi.org/10.31004/jrpp.v2i2.667>

Zusho, A., Pintrich, P. R., & Coppola, B. (2003). Skill and will: The role of motivation and cognition in the learning of college chemistry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(9), 1081–1094. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069032000052207>

