

REFERENCES

Transitivity System – Penggembala Rindu. (n.d.).

About-the-author_2021_Designing-Science-Presentations. (n.d.).

The importance of using the derived words from the title and discussion section of

the medical papers on indexing and its impact on retrieval I Fatemeh

Masoudi □□□□□□ □□ □□□□ □□□□□□ □□ □□□□

□ □□□□□ □□ □□ □□ □□□□ □□ □□□□□ □ □□□□ □□□□□

). n.d. (□□□□□ □□□□□□ I □□□□□□□ □□□□

<https://doi.org/10.22055/slis.2017.21294.1319>

Copyright_2021_Designing-Science-Presentations. (n.d.).

1-1-13-977. (n.d.).

Acknowledgments_2021_Designing-Science-Presentations. (n.d.).

EAS Journal of Humanities and Cultural Studies Abbreviated Key Title: EAS J

Humanit Cult Stud. (n.d.).

<https://doi.org/10.36349/EASJHCS.2019.v01i05.008>

Alfiana, Y. (n.d.). *The Journal of Process Types in Transitivity System.* 53–58.

Al-Shujairi, Y. B. (2021). Review of the Discussion Section of Research Articles:

Rhetorical Structure and Move. *LSP International Journal*, 8(2), 9–25.

<https://doi.org/10.11113/lspi.v8.17099>

Amnuai, W., & Wannaruk, A. (2013). A move-based analysis of the conclusion

sections of research articles published in international and Thai journals. *3L:*

Language, Linguistics, Literature, 19(2), 53–63.

Ampadu, H. K. (2017). *University of cape coast.* November, 9–10.

- Bhatti, I. A., Mustafa, S., & Azher, M. (2019). Genre Analysis of Research Article Discussion section in Linguistics and Literature: A Cross Disciplinary Study. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 9(4), 42. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v9n4p42>
- Carter, M. (n.d.). *Designing Science Presentations A Visual Guide to Figures, Papers, Slides, Posters, and More Second Edition*.
- Ebadi, S., Salman, A. R., Thuy, T., Nguyen, L., & Weisi, H. (2019). Rhetorical Structure Variations in Discussion section and Introductions of Applied Linguistics Master's Theses by Iraqi and International Students Iranian Journal of Language Teaching Research. In *Iranian Journal of Language Teaching Research* (Vol. 7, Issue 1). <http://ijltr.urmia.ac.ir>
- El-Dakhs, D. A. S. (2018). Comparative Genre Analysis of Research Article Discussion section in More and Less Prestigious Journals: Linguistics Journals in Focus. *Research in Language*, 16(1), 47–63. <https://doi.org/10.2478/rela-2018-0002>
- El-Dakhs, D. A. S. (2018). Why are discussion section in PhD theses and research articles different? A genre-specific perspective. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 36, 48–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2018.09.005>
- Fang, Z. (2005). Scientific literacy: A systemic functional linguistics perspective. *Science Education*, 89(2), 335–347. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20050>
- Gardner, S. (2012). Genres and registers of student report writing: An SFL perspective on texts and practices. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 11(1), 52–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2011.11.002>

- Ghasempour, B., & Farnia, M. (2017). the Journal of Teaching English for Contrastive Move Analysis: Persian and English Research Articles Abstracts in Law . *Specific and Academic Purposes*, 5, 927.
<https://doi.org/10.22190/JTESAP1704739G>
- Gong, H., & Liu, L. (2018). Ecological Discourse Analysis of an UN Environmental Story in Terms of Transitivity Process. *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 9(3), 67.
<https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.all.v.9n.3p.67>
- Hallan, D. R., Nguyen, A. M., Liang, M., McNutt, S., Goss, M., Bell, E., Natarajan, S., Nichol, A., Messner, C., Bracken, E., & Glantz, M. (2022). Charting the course from discussion section to published article. In *Journal of Neurosurgery* (Vol. 136, Issue 6, pp. 1773–1780). American Association of Neurological Surgeons. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2021.7.JNS2128>
- Halliday, M. ., & Matthiessen, C. (2014). *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*.
- Hidayat, T. N., Nababan, M. R., & Djatmika, D. (2019). The Shift Process in Transitivity System on Obama’s and Trump’s Inauguration Speech: A Translation Study. *Jurnal Humaniora*, 31(2), 211.
<https://doi.org/10.22146/jh.v31i2.34901>
- Holmes, V. M. (1973). Order of main and subordinate clauses in sentence perception. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 12(3), 285–293. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371\(73\)80072-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371(73)80072-6)

- Hyland, K. (2000). Hedges, boosters and lexical invisibility: Noticing modifiers in academic texts. *Language Awareness*, 9(4), 179–197.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09658410008667145>
- Jasrial, D., Arsyad, S., Arono, A., & Yunita, W. (2021). Writing research article discussion section by using genre-based mentoring method: Viewed from rhetorical moves and tenses usage. *Ethical Lingua: Journal of Language Teaching and Literature*, 8(2), 473–485.
<https://doi.org/10.30605/25409190.304>
- Leong, A. P. (2020). The passive voice in scientific writing through the ages: A diachronic study. *Text and Talk*, 40(4), 467–489.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/text-2020-2066>
- Martin, J. R. (2014). Evolving systemic functional linguistics: beyond the clause. *Functional Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2196-419x-1-3>
- Martins, R. M., & Gresse Von Wangenheim, C. (2022). Findings on Teaching Machine Learning in High School: A Ten - Year Systematic Literature Review. *Informatics in Education*, 00(00).
<https://doi.org/10.15388/infedu.2023.18>
- Ngai, S. B. C., Singh, R. G., & Koon, A. C. (2018). A discourse analysis of the macro-structure, metadiscoursal and microdiscoursal features in the discussion section of research articles across multiple science disciplines. *PLoS ONE*, 13(10), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205417>
- Petra, U. K. (2011). *5 Universitas Kristen Petra. 1985*, 5–30.

- Pho, P. D. (2008). Research article discussion section in applied linguistics and educational technology: A study of linguistic realizations of rhetorical structure and authorial stance. *Discourse Studies*, 10(2), 231–250.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445607087010>
- Pramoolsook, I., & Yaemwannang, C. (2020). A comparison of rhetorical move structures of hotel, restaurant, and tourist destination homepages. *ABAC Journal*, 40(3), 27–53.
- Rehana, U.-E.-A., Anjum, Y., & Javed, M. (2019). *Linguistics and Literature Review (LLR) A Corpus-Based Halliday's Transitivity Analysis of "To the Lighthouse" A Corpus-Based Halliday's Transitivity Analysis of "To the Lighthouse."* <https://doi.org/10.32350/llr>
- Salloum, S. A., Al-Emran, M., Monem, A. A., & Shaalan, K. (2018). Using text mining techniques for extracting information from research articles. In *Studies in Computational Intelligence* (Vol. 740, pp. 373–397). Springer Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67056-0_18
- Soodmand Afshar, H., Doosti, M., Movassagh, H., & Sina, B.-A. (2018). A Genre Analysis of the Introduction Section of Applied Linguistics and Chemistry Research Articles. *Iranian Journal of Applied Linguistics (IJAL)*, 21(1), 163–214.
- Sundayana, W., Kurniawan, E., Bahasa, B., & Indonesia, U. P. (2015). *Proceeding the Eighth International Conference on Applied.*
- Sydserrff, R., & Weetman, P. (2002). Developments in content analysis: A transitivity index and DICTION scores. *Accounting, Auditing &*

Accountability Journal, 15(4), 523–545.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210440586>

Tankó, G. (2017). Literary research article discussion section: An analysis of rhetorical moves and their linguistic realizations. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 27, 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2017.04.003>

Tausczik, Y. R., & Pennebaker, J. W. (2010). The psychological meaning of words: LIWC and computerized text analysis methods. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 29(1), 24–54.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X09351676>

Tocalo, A. W. I. (2021). Move structures and their rhetorical verbs of research article discussion section across Englishes. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 11(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v11i1.34593>

Tovar Viera, R. (2019). Rhetorical Move Structure in Discussion section of Research Articles Published in Ecuadorian and American English-Speaking Contexts. *Arab World English Journal*, 10(4), 74–87.

<https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol10no4.6>

Upton, T. A., & Cohen, M. A. (2009). An approach to corpus-based discourse analysis: The move analysis as example. *Discourse Studies*, 11(5), 585–605.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445609341006>

Valverde-Berrocso, J., del Carmen Garrido-Arroyo, M., Burgos-Videla, C., & Morales-Cevallos, M. B. (2020). Trends in educational research about e-Learning: A systematic literature review (2009-2018). *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12125153>

- Wahyuni, R., Hamzah, H., & Wahyuni, D. (n.d.). E-Journal of English Language & Literature AN ANALYSIS OF TRANSITIVITY SYSTEM IN MEMOIRS WRITTEN BY EFL STUDENTS. *E-Journal of English Language and Literature*, 8(1). <http://ejournal.unp.ac.id/index.php/jell>
- Warren, N. L., Farmer, M., Gu, T., & Warren, C. (2021). Marketing Ideas: How to Write Research Articles that Readers Understand and Cite. *Journal of Marketing*, 85(5), 42–57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429211003560>
- Warren, N. L., Farmer, M., Gu, T., & Warren, C. (2021). Marketing Ideas: How to Write Research Articles that Readers Understand and Cite. *Journal of Marketing*, 85(5), 42–57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429211003560>
- Wei, H. Y., Razali, A. B., & Samad, A. A. (2022). Writing Discussion section for Research Articles: Towards a Framework for Move Structure of Discussion section. *World Journal of English Language*, 12(6), 492–504. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v12n6p492>
- Wu, J. C., Chang, Y. C., Liou, H. C., & Chang, J. S. (2006). Computational analysis of move structures in academic discussion section. *COLING/ACL 2006 - 21st International Conference on Computational Linguistics and 44th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Proceedings of the Interactive Presentation Sessions, July*, 41–44. <https://doi.org/10.3115/1225403.1225414>
- Xia, S. A. (2020). Genre analysis in the digital era: Developments and challenges. *ESP Today*, 8(1), 141–159. <https://doi.org/10.18485/esptoday.2020.8.1.7>

Zanina, E. (2017). Move Structure of Research Article Discussion section on Management: Contrastive Study (the Case of English and Russian). *Journal of Language and Education*, 3(2), 63–72. <https://doi.org/10.17323/2411-7390-2017-3-2-63-72>

ع. و. م. غ. س. کوچکی, VDMA, Fähling, J., Industry, M., Nielsch, W., Abbildung, D., Turtle, P., Lanza, G. et al., Messe, H., Cases, U., Ar-anwendungen, P., Reality, A., Werkzeug, M., App, D., Vsm, S. I. M., Technologie-Initiative SmartFactory KL e.V., BSI, B. F. S. in der I., Group, S. S., Heller, J., ...

Europäische Kommission. (2018). No 主観的健康感を中心とした在宅高齢者における健康関連指標に関する共分散構造分析Title. *Bitkom Research*, 63(2), 1–3.

http://forschungsunion.de/pdf/industrie_4_0_umsetzungsempfehlungen.pdf%0Ahttps://www.dfki.de/fileadmin/user_upload/import/9744_171012-KI-Gipfpapier-online.pdf%0A<https://www.bitkom.org/sites/default/files/pdf/Presse/Anhaenge-an-PIs/2018/180607-Bitkom>