

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aiman, U., & Hasyda, S. (2020). The influence of process oriented guided inquiry learning (POGIL) model assisted by realia media to improve scientific literacy and critical thinking skill of primary school students. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(4), 1635-1647. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.4.1635>
- Artuz, J. K. A., & Roble, D. B. (2021). Developing students' critical thinking skills in mathematics using online-process oriented guided inquiry learning (O-POGIL). *American Journal of Educational Research*, 9(7), 404-409. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-9-7-2>
- Beck, J. P., & Miller, D. M. (2022). Encouraging student engagement by using a POGIL framework for a gas-phase IR physical chemistry laboratory experiment. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 99(12), 4079-4084. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.2c00314>
- Beyer, W. H. (2019). *Handbook of tables for probability and statistics*. Boca Raton: CRP Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351073127>
- Bokosmaty, R., Bridgeman, A., & Muir, M. (2019). Using a partially flipped learning model to teach first year undergraduate chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 96(4), 629-639. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.8b00414>
- Chang, R. (2010). *Chemistry* (10th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Chase, A., Pakhira, D., & Stains, M. (2013). Implementing process-oriented, guided-inquiry learning for the first time: Adaptations and short-term impacts on students' attitude and performance. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 90(4), 409-416. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed300181t>
- Chatila, H., & Sweid, S. (2020). Development of scientific literacy through guided-inquiry learning approach in biology. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 9(4), 1750-1756.
- Chi, M. T., & Wylie, R. (2014). The ICAP framework: Linking cognitive engagement to active learning outcomes. *Educational Psychologist*, 49(4), 219-243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2014.965823>
- Chu, S. K. W., Tse, S. K., & Chow, K. (2011). Using collaborative teaching and inquiry project-based learning to help primary school students develop information literacy and information skills. *Library and Information Science Research*, 33(2), 132-143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2010.07.017>

- Churher, K., Downs, E., & Tewksbury, D. (2014). Friending vygotsky: A social constructivist pedagogy of knowledge building through classroom social media use. *Journal of Effective Teaching*, 14(1), 33-50.
- Cigdemoglu, C., & Geban, O. (2015). Improving students' chemical literacy levels on thermochemical and thermodynamics concepts through a context-based approach. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 16(2), 302-317. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RP00007F>
- Cigdemoglu, C., Arslan, H. O., & Çam, A. (2017). Argumentation to foster pre-service science teachers' knowledge, competency, and attitude on the domains of chemical literacy of acids and bases. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 18(2), 288-303. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RP00167J>
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research methods in education* (6th Ed.). New York: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203029053>
- Cresswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4th Ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Daubenmire, P. L., Bunce, D. M., Draus, C., Frazier, M., Gessell, A., & Opstal, M. T. (2015). During POGIL implementation the professor still makes a difference. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 44(5), 72-81. https://doi.org/10.2505/4/jcst15_044_05_72
- Everitt, B. S., & Skrondal, A. (2010). *The cambridge dictionary of statistics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511779633>
- Gormally, C., Brickman, P., Hallar, B., & Armstrong, N. (2009). Effects of inquiry-based learning on students' science literacy skills and confidence. *International Journal for The Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 3(2), 16. <https://doi.org/10.20429/ijsotl.2009.030216>
- Grange, I. R., & Retief, L. (2018). Action research: Integrating chemistry and scientific communication to foster cumulative knowledge building and scientific communication skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 95(8), 1284-1290. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.7b00958>
- Hanson, D. M. (2014). *Instructor's guide to process-oriented guided inquiry learning*. New York: Pacific Crest.
- Hillyard, C., Gillespie, D., & Littig, P. (2010). University students' attitudes about learning in small groups after frequent participation. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 11(1), 9-20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787409355867>
- Holbrook, J., & Rannikmae, M. (2009). The meaning of scientific literacy. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 4(3), 275-288.

- Idul, J. J. A., & Caro, V. B. (2022). Does process-oriented guided inquiry learning (POGIL) improve students' science academic performance and process skills?. *International Journal of Science Education*, 44(12), 1994-2014. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2022.2108553>
- Ilkiw, J. E., Nelson, R. W., Watson, J. L., Conley, A. J., Raybould, H. E., Chigerwe, M., & Boudreaux, K. (2017). Curricular revision and reform: The process, what was important, and lessons learned. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Education*, 44(3), 480-489. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jvme.0316-068R>
- Jin, G., & Bierma, T. (2013). STEM for non-STEM majors: Enhancing science literacy in large classes. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 42(6), 20-26. https://doi.org/10.2505/4/jcst13_042_06_20
- Joshi, N., & Lau, S. K. (2021). Effects of process-oriented guided inquiry learning on approaches to learning, long-term performance, and online learning outcomes. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(5), 3112-3127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1919718>
- Ke, L., Sadler, T. D., Zangori, L., & Friedrichsen, P. J. (2021). Developing and using multiple models to promote scientific literacy in the context of socio-scientific issues. *Science and Education*, 30(3), 589-607. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-021-00206-1>
- Kurtz, A. K., & Mayo, S. T. (2012). *Statistical methods in education and psychology*. New York: Springer Science and Business Media.
- Machete, P., & Turpin, M. (2020). The use of critical thinking to identify fake news: A systematic literature review. In M. Hattingh, M. Matthee, H. Smuts, I. Pappas, Y. K. Dwivedi, & M. Mäntymäki (Eds.), *Responsible Design, Implementation and Use of Information and Communication Technology* (pp 235-246). Shukuza: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45002-1_20
- Moog, R. S., & Spencer, J. N. (2008). *Process oriented guided inquiry learning (POGIL)*. Washington DC: American Chemical Society. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2008-0994>
- Moon, A., Stanford, C., Cole, R., & Towns, M. (2017). Decentering: A characteristic of effective student discourse in inquiry-oriented physical chemistry classrooms. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 94(7), 829-836. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00856>
- Moore, C., Black, J., Glackin, B., Ruppel, M., & Watson, E. (2015). Integrating information literacy, the POGIL method, and iPads into a foundational studies program. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 41(2), 155-169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2014.12.006>

- Mozeika, D., & Bilbokaite, R. (2010). Teaching and learning method for enhancing 15-16 years old students knowledge as one of scientific literacy aspect in chemistry: Results based on research and approbation. *International Journal of Educational Researchers*, 1(3), 1-16.
- Nadelson, L. S. (2009). How can true inquiry happen in K-16 science education?. *Science Educator*, 18(1), 48-57.
- National Research Council. (2012). *A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas*. Washington DC: National Academies Press.
- Neidorf, T., Arora, A., Erberber, E., Tsokodayi, Y., Mai, T., & Mai, T. (2020). Student misconceptions and errors in physics and mathematics: exploring data from timss and timss advanced. In T. Neidorf, A. Arora, E. Erberber, Y. Tsokodayi, & T. Mai (Eds.), *Student Misconceptions and Errors in Physics And Mathematics* (pp 1-10). Bloomington: IEA Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30188-0>
- Ninković, S. O., Adamov, J., & Ješić, L. V. (2019). Relation between learning approaches of chemistry students and their achievement in general chemistry. *Macedonian Journal of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering*, 38(2), 293-300. <https://doi.org/10.20450/mjce.2019.1784>
- OECD. (2019). *PISA 2018 assessment and analytical framework*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/b25efab8-en>
- Okebukola, P. A., Suwadu, B., Oladejo, A., Nyandwi, R., Ademola, I., Okorie, H., & Awaah, F. (2020). Delivering high school chemistry during COVID-19 lockdown: Voices from Africa. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 97(9), 3285-3289. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.0c00725>
- Oladejo, A. I., Okebukola, P. A., Olateju, T. T., Akinola, V. O., Ebisin, A., & Dansu, T. V. (2022). In search of culturally responsive tools for meaningful learning of chemistry in Africa: We stumbled on the culturo-techno-contextual approach. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 99(8), 2919-2931. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.2c00126>
- Oludipe, D., & Awokoya, J. O. (2010). Effect of cooperative learning teaching strategy on the reduction of students' anxiety for learning chemistry. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 7(1), 30-36
- Pabuccu, A., & Erduran, S. (2016). Investigating students' engagement in epistemic and narrative practices of chemistry in the context of a story on gas behavior. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 17(3), 523-531. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RP00011H>

- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2006). The content validity index: Are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 29(5), 489-497. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20147>
- Rao, C., Prasad, H. K., Sajitha, K., Permi, H., & Shetty, J. (2016). Item analysis of multiple choice questions: Assessing an assessment tool in medical students. *International Journal of Educational and Psychological Researches*, 2(4), 201-204. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2395-2296.189670>
- Ratcliffe, M., & Millar, R. (2009). Teaching for understanding of science in context: Evidence from the pilot trials of the twenty first century science courses. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 46(8), 945-959. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20340>
- Roberts, D. A., & Bybee, R. W. (2014). Scientific literacy, science literacy, and science education. In D. A. Roberts & R. W. Bybee (Eds.), *Research on Science Education* (pp 559-572). New York: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203097267-38>
- Şen, Ş., & Yılmaz, A. (2015). The effects of process oriented guided inquiry learning environment on students' self-regulated learning skills. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 66(1), 54-66. <https://doi.org/10.33225/pec/15.66.54>
- Sevian, H., Dori, Y. J., & Parchmann, I. (2018). How does STEM context-based learning work: What we know and what we still do not know. *International Journal of Science Education*, 40(10), 1095-1107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1470346>
- Sharma, L. R. (2021). Analysis of difficulty index, discrimination index and distractor efficiency of multiple choice questions of speech sounds of English. *International Research Journal of MMC*, 2(1), 15-28. <https://doi.org/10.3126/irjmmc.v2i1.35126>
- Shea, N. A. (2015). Examining the nexus of science communication and science education: A content analysis of genetics news articles. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 52(3), 397-409. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21193>
- Shwartz, Y., Ben-Zvi, R., & Hofstein, A. (2006). The use of scientific literacy taxonomy for assessing the development of chemical literacy among high school students. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(4), 203-225. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B6RP90011A>
- Simonson, S. R. (2019). *POGIL: An introduction to process oriented guided inquiry learning for those who wish to empower learners*. Virginia: Stylus Publishing.
- Soltis, R., Verlinden, N., Kruger, N., Carroll, A., & Trumbo, T. (2015). Process-oriented guided inquiry learning strategy enhances students' higher level

- thinking skills in a pharmaceutical sciences course. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 79(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe79111>
- Sreedevi, S. (2022). Study of test for significance of pearson's correlation coefficient. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research*, 11(2), 86-89.
- Stanford, C., Moon, A., Towns, M., & Cole, R. (2016). Analysis of instructor facilitation strategies and their influences on student argumentation: A case study of a process oriented guided inquiry learning physical chemistry classroom. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 93(9), 1501-1513. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.5b00993>
- Sutiani, A. (2021). Implementation of an inquiry learning model with science literacy to improve student critical thinking skills. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(2), 117-138. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2021.1428a>
- Taber, K. S. (2013). Revisiting the chemistry triplet: Drawing upon the nature of chemical knowledge and the psychology of learning to inform chemistry education. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 14(2), 156-168. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3RP00012E>
- Talanquer, V. (2011). Macro, submicro, and symbolic: The many faces of the chemistry triplet. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(2), 179-195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690903386435>
- Thummathong, R., & Thathong, K. (2018). Chemical literacy levels of engineering students in Northeastern Thailand. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, 39(3), 478-487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2018.06.009>
- Vincent, R. P., Meyer, T., Roe, S. G., & Schunn, C. D. (2020). Short-term and long-term effects of POGIL in a large-enrollment general chemistry course. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 97(5), 1228-1238. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.9b01052>
- Vishnumolakala, V.R., Southam, D.C., Treagust, D.F., Mocerino, M., & Qureshi, S. (2017). Students' attitudes, self-efficacy, and experiences in a modified process-oriented guided inquiry learning undergraduate chemistry classroom. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 18(2), 340-352. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RP00233A>
- Vogelzang, J., Admiraal, W. F., & van Driel, J. H. (2020). Effects of scrum methodology on students' critical scientific literacy: The case of green chemistry. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 21(3), 940-952. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RP00066C>
- Walker, L., & Warfa, A. R. M. (2017). Process oriented guided inquiry learning (POGIL) marginally effects student achievement measures but substantially

increases the odds of passing a course. *PLoS One*, 12(10), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186203>

Wen, C. T., Liu, C. C., Chang, H. Y., Chang, C. J., Chang, M. H., Chiang, S. H. F., & Hwang, F. K. (2020). Students' guided inquiry with simulation and its relation to school science achievement and scientific literacy. *Computers and Education*, 149(3), 103830. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103830>

Yalçinkaya, E., & Boz, Y. (2015). The effect of case-based instruction on 10th grade students' understanding of gas concepts. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 16(1), 104-120. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RP00156G>

