

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adriyawati, Utomo, E., Rahmawati, Y., & Mardiah, A. (2020). Steam-project-based learning integration to improve elementary school students' scientific literacy on alternative energy learning. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(5), 1863–1873. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.080523>
- Almulla, M. A., & Al-Rahmi, W. M. (2023). Integrated Social Cognitive Theory with Learning Input Factors: The Effects of Problem-Solving Skills and Critical Thinking Skills on Learning Performance Sustainability. *Sustainability*, 15(5), 3978.
- Alvarado, C., Garritz, A., & Mellado, V. (2015). Canonical pedagogical content knowledge by CoRes for teaching acid–base chemistry at high school. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 16(3), 603–618.
- Ambrose, G., & Harris, P. (2010). Design Thinking: Fragestellung, Recherche, Ideenfindung, Prototyping, Auswahl, Ausführung. *Feedback*. München: Stiebner.
- Amry, U. W., Rahayu, S., & Yahmin, Y. (2017). Analisis miskonsepsi asam basa pada pembelajaran konvensional dan dual situated learning model (DSLM). *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, Dan Pengembangan*, 2(3), 385–391.
- Anderson, T., & Dron, J. (2011). Three generations of distance education pedagogy. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 12(3), 80–97.
- Archer, A. L., & Hughes, C. A. (2010). *Explicit instruction: Effective and efficient teaching*. Guilford Publications.
- Ardian, N. F., & Werdhaningsih, H. (2018). Penggunaan design thinking dalam pengembangan produk kerajinan ikm (Studi kasus: Sentra kerajinan patung kayu, Subang). *Jurnal Dimensi Seni Rupa Dan Desain*, 15(1), 1–16.
- Arenda, T., & Suwono, H. (2023). Design thinking and EDS prompt in STEAM learning: The impact on germane cognitive load and creative thinking. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2614(1).
- Atkins, P., & De Paula, J. (2011). *Physical chemistry for the life sciences*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Baek, Y., Park, H.-J., Kim, Y., Noh, S., Park, J.-Y., Lee, J., Jeong, J.-S., Choi, Y., & Han, H. (2011). STEAM education in Korea. *Journal of Learner-Centered Curriculum and Instruction*, 11(4), 149–171.

- Balgopal, M. M., & Wallace, A. M. (2009). Decisions and dilemmas: Using writing to learn activities to increase ecological literacy. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 40(3), 13–26.
- Barrows, H. S. (1996). Problem-based learning in medicine and beyond: A brief overview. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 1996(68), 3–12.
- Basourakos, J. (1998). Exploring the moral sphere through dramatic art: The role of contemporary Canadian plays in moral pedagogy. *Canadian Journal of Education/Revue Canadienne de l'éducation*, 265–280.
- Batmetan, J. R., Komansilan, T., & Parera, A. (2020). Model Design Thinking Pada Perancangan Aplikasi Mobile Learning. *Ismart Edu: Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Informasi*, 1(02).
- Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (2018). Intentional learning as a goal of instruction. In *Knowing, learning, and instruction* (pp. 361–392). Routledge.
- Binkley, M., Erstad, O., Herman, J., Raizen, S., Ripley, M., Miller-Ricci, M., & Rumble, M. (2012). Defining twenty-first century skills. *Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills*, 17–66.
- Bonk, C. J., & Dennen, V. (2003). Frameworks for research, design, benchmarks, training, and pedagogy in web-based distance education. *Handbook of Distance Education*, 331–348.
- Braund, M., & Reiss, M. J. (2019). The ‘Great Divide’: How the Arts Contribute to Science and Science Education. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, 19(3), 219–236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42330-019-00057-7>
- Brown, T., & Wyatt, J. (2010). Design thinking for social innovation. *Development Outreach*, 12(1), 29–43.
- Chang, M.-L., & Davis, H. A. (2009). Understanding the role of teacher appraisals in shaping the dynamics of their relationships with students: Deconstructing teachers’ judgments of disruptive behavior/students. *Advances in Teacher Emotion Research: The Impact on Teachers’ Lives*, 95–127.
- Chang, R., & Overby, J. (1986). *General chemistry*. Random House New York.
- Chi, S., Wang, Z., & Liu, X. (2023). Assessment of Context-Based Chemistry Problem-Solving Skills: Test Design and Results from Ninth-Grade Students. *Research in Science Education*, 53(2), 295–318.

- Chung, C.-C., Huang, S.-L., Cheng, Y.-M., & Lou, S.-J. (2020). Using an iSTEAM project-based learning model for technology senior high school students: Design, development, and evaluation. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 1–37.
- Cipolla, C., & Bartholo, R. (2014). Empathy or inclusion: A dialogical approach to socially responsible design. *International Journal of Design*, 8(2).
- Collins, A., Joseph, D., & Bielaczyc, K. (2004). Design research: Theoretical and methodological issues. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 13(1), 15–42.
- Conradty, C., & Bogner, F. X. (2019). From STEM to STEAM: Cracking the Code? How Creativity & Motivation Interacts with Inquiry-based Learning. *Creativity Research Journal*, 31(3), 284–295. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2019.1641678>
- Cumming, G. S., Cumming, D. H. M., & Redman, C. L. (2006). Scale mismatches in social-ecological systems: causes, consequences, and solutions. *Ecology and Society*, 11(1).
- Dakers, J. R. (2006). *Defining technological literacy: Towards an epistemological framework*. Springer.
- Daryanes, F., Darmadi, D., Fikri, K., Sayuti, I., Rusandi, M. A., & Situmorang, D. D. B. (2023). The development of articulate storyline interactive learning media based on case methods to train student's problem-solving ability. *Heliyon*, 9(4).
- de Vos, W., & Pilot, A. (2001). Acids and bases in layers: The stratal structure of an ancient topic. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 78(4), 494.
- Diego-Mantecón, J. M., Arcera, O., Blanco, T. F., & Lavicza, Z. (2019). An engineering technology problem-solving approach for modifying student mathematics-related beliefs: Building a robot to solve a Rubik's cube. *International Journal for Technology in Mathematics Education*, 26(2), 55–64.
- Dym, C. L., Agogino, A. M., Eris, O., Frey, D. D., & Leifer, L. J. (2005). Engineering design thinking, teaching, and learning. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 94(1), 103–120.
- Elias, M. J., Zins, J. E., & Weissberg, R. P. (1997). *Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators*. Ascd.
- Elo, S., Kääriäinen, M., Kanste, O., Pölkki, T., Utriainen, K., & Kyngäs, H. (2014). Qualitative content analysis: A focus on trustworthiness. *SAGE Open*, 4(1), 2158244014522633.

- Falls, Z. (2020). Beyond boundaries: Pre-service teachers' experiences of transdisciplinary education via STEAM making projects. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 39(1), 19–31.
- Furió-Más, C., Luisa Calatayud, M., Guisasola, J., & Furió-Gómez, C. (2005). How are the concepts and theories of acid-base reactions presented? Chemistry in textbooks and as presented by teachers. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(11), 1337–1358.
- Gagné, F. (2004). Transforming gifts into talents: The DMGT as a developmental theory. *High Ability Studies*, 15(2), 119–147.
- Gallahue, D. L., & Donnelly, F. C. (2007). *Developmental physical education for all children*. Human Kinetics.
- Grant, C. A., & Sleeter, C. E. (2008). *Turning on learning: Five approaches for multicultural teaching plans for race, class, gender and disability*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Greeno, J. G. (2021). Some examples of cognitive task analysis with instructional implications. In *Aptitude, learning, and instruction* (pp. 1–22). Routledge.
- Griffin, P., Care, E., & McGaw, B. (2012). The changing role of education and schools. In *Assessment and teaching of 21st century skills* (pp. 1–15). Springer.
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. . (1989). *Fourth generation evaluation*. Sage Publications.
- Hakim, R., Ritonga, M., & Susanti, W. (2020). Implementation of Contextual teaching and learning in Islamic Education at Madrasah Diniyah. *Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems*, 12.
- Hamida, S., & Desnita, D. (2021). The validity of contextual-based physics learning videos to improve students' 4C skills. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies (IJPSAT)*, 25(2), 175–184.
- Hasibuan, V., & Lova, S. (2020). Peningkatan Hasil Belajar Siswa Menggunakan Model Talking Stick di Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Penelitian, Pemikiran, Dan Pengabdian*, 9–12.
- Henriksen, D., Mehta, R., & Mehta, S. (2019). Design thinking gives STEAM to teaching: A framework that breaks disciplinary boundaries. In *STEAM education* (pp. 57–78). Springer.
- Jobst, B., Köppen, E., Lindberg, T., Moritz, J., Rhinow, H., & Meinel, C. (2012). The faith-factor in design thinking: Creative confidence through education at the design thinking schools potsdam and stanford? In *Design thinking research* (pp. 35–46). Springer.

- Johnstone, A. H. (2000). Teaching of chemistry-logical or psychological? *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 1(1), 9–15.
- Kale, P., & Singh, H. (2007). Building firm capabilities through learning: the role of the alliance learning process in alliance capability and firm-level alliance success. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(10), 981–1000.
- Kates, R. W. (2011). What kind of a science is sustainability science? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(49), 19449–19450.
- Kelley, D., & Brown, T. (2018). An introduction to Design Thinking. *Institute of Design at Stanford*, 7.
- Kelley, T. R., & Knowles, J. G. (2016). A conceptual framework for integrated STEM education. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 3, 1–11.
- Kohlberg, L. (1984). *Essays on moral development/2 The psychology of moral development*. Harper & Row.
- Krulik, S., & Rudnick, J. A. (1987). *Problem solving: A handbook for teachers*. ERIC.
- Land, M. H. (2013). Full STEAM ahead: The benefits of integrating the arts into STEM. *Procedia Computer Science*, 20, 547–552. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2013.09.317>
- Lee, K. (2018). Innovative Design Thinking Process with TRIZ. *International TRIZ Future Conference*, 241–252.
- Leggett, G., & Harrington, I. (2021). The impact of Project Based Learning (PBL) on students from low socio economic statuses: a review. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 25(11), 1270–1286.
- Long, I. I., Robert, L., & Davis, S. S. (2017). Using STEAM to increase engagement and literacy across disciplines. *The STEAM Journal*, 3(1), 7.
- Luder, W. F. (1940). The Electronic Theory of Acids and Bases. *Chemical Reviews*, 27(3), 547–583.
- Luka, I. (2014). Design thinking in pedagogy. *The Journal of Education, Culture, and Society*, 5(2), 63–74.
- Manikutty, G., Sasidharan, S., & Rao, B. (2022). Driving innovation through project based learning: A pre-university STEAM for Social Good initiative. *2022 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*, 1–8.

- Mardiah, A., Rahmawati, Y., Harun, F. K. C., & Hadiana, D. (2022). Transferable skills for pre-service chemistry teachers in Indonesia: Applying a design thinking-STEAM-PjBL model. *Issues in Educational Research*, 32(4), 1509–1529.
- McMenemy, D., Poulter, A., & Burton, P. (2014). *A handbook of ethical practice: a practical guide to dealing with ethical issues in information and library work*. Elsevier.
- Merl, C. (2022). Human Intelligence Cultivation with the 2CG® Poetry Machine: How to Boost Future Skills Development and Idea Generation with Artistic Impulses in Lab 21. *International Journal of Advanced Corporate Learning*, 15(1).
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1992). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook*. sage.
- Moore, J. (2005). Seven recommendations for creating sustainability education at the university level: A guide for change agents. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*.
- Natalya, E., Rahmawati, Y., & Erdawati, E. (2021). Integration dilemmas stories in STEAM project of colloid. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1869(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1869/1/012046>
- Newton, C., & Pak, B. (2015). Virtuality and fostering critical design thinking: an exploration of the possibilities through critical theory, design practices and networked learning. *Critical Learning in Digital Networks*, 101–132.
- Ng, K.-Y., Van Dyne, L., & Ang, S. (2009). From experience to experiential learning: Cultural intelligence as a learning capability for global leader development. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 8(4), 511–526.
- Orgill, M., & Sutherland, A. (2008). Undergraduate chemistry students' perceptions of and misconceptions about buffers and buffer problems. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 9(2), 131–143.
- Pande, M., & Bharathi, S. V. (2020). Theoretical foundations of design thinking—A constructivism learning approach to design thinking. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 36, 100637.
- Pandey, K. R. (2018). *Transformative learning through ethical dilemma stories: An autoethnographic study*. Murdoch University.
- Pandey, K. R. (2021). Ethical Dilemma Story Pedagogy in Practice: A Case Study. In *Theorising Transformative Learning* (pp. 81–128). Brill.

- Pane, A., & Darwis Dasopang, M. (2017). Belajar Dan Pembelajaran. *FITRAH: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu-Ilmu Keislaman*, 3(2), 333. <https://doi.org/10.24952/fitrah.v3i2.945>
- Pauling, L. (2014). *General Chemistry*. Courier Corporation.
- Perkins, D. N., & Salomon, G. (2012). Knowledge to go: A motivational and dispositional view of transfer. *Educational Psychologist*, 47(3), 248–258.
- Plattner, H. (2013). An introduction to design thinking. *Institute of Design at Stanford*, 1–15.
- Pramashela, A. D., & Suwono, H. (2023). The implementation of science, technology, engineering, arts and mathematics (STEAM) based design thinking in the ethical dilemma story project to improve student's creative thinking and learning outcomes. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2569(1).
- Quigley, C. F., & Herro, D. (2016). “Finding the joy in the unknown”: Implementation of STEAM teaching practices in middle school science and math classrooms. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25, 410–426.
- Quigley, C. F., Herro, D., & Jamil, F. M. (2017). Developing a conceptual model of STEAM teaching practices. *School Science and Mathematics*, 117(1–2), 1–12.
- Rahayu, S., Chandrasegaran, A. L., Treagust, D. F., Kita, M., & Ibnu, S. (2011). Understanding acid-base concepts: Evaluating the efficacy of a senior high school student-centred instructional program in Indonesia. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 9(6), 1439–1458.
- Rahmawati, Y., Afrizal, A., Astari, D. D., Mardiah, A., Utami, D. B., & Muhab, S. (2021). The integration of dilemmas stories with stem-project-based learning: Analyzing students' thinking skills using hess' cognitive rigor matrix. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 11(2), 419–439. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.1292>
- Rahmawati, Y., Hadinugrahaningsih, T., Ridwan, A., Palimbunga, U. S., & Mardiah, A. (2021). Developing the critical thinking skills of vocational school students in electrochemistry through STEM-Project-based learning (STEM-PjBL). *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2331(1), 40002.
- Rahmawati, Y., Taylor, E., Taylor, P. C., Ridwan, A., & Mardiah, A. (2022a). Students' engagement in education as sustainability: implementing an ethical dilemma-STEAM teaching model in chemistry learning. *Sustainability*, 14(6), 3554.

- Rahmawati, Y., Taylor, E., Taylor, P. C., Ridwan, A., & Mardiah, A. (2022b). Students' Engagement in Education as Sustainability: Implementing an Ethical Dilemma-STEAM Teaching Model in Chemistry Learning. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063554>
- Razzouk, R., & Shute, V. (2012). What is design thinking and why is it important? *Review of Educational Research*, 82(3), 330–348.
- Riti, Y. U. R., Degeng, I. N. S., & Sulton, S. (2021). Pengembangan Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek dengan Menerapkan Metode Design Thinking untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Siswa Dalam Mata Pelajaran Kimia. *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, Dan Pengembangan*, 6(10), 1581. <https://doi.org/10.17977/jptpp.v6i10.15056>
- Rogers, R. R. (2001). Reflection in higher education: A concept analysis. *Innovative Higher Education*, 26, 37–57.
- Rohayah, D. (2022). Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Pada Pembelajaran Kimia. *Jurnal Wahana Pendidikan*, 9(2), 107.
- Scheer, A., Noweski, C., & Meinel, C. (2012). Transforming constructivist learning into action: Design thinking in education. *Design and Technology Education*, 17(3), 8–19. <http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.liv.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ996067&site=eds-live&scope=site>
- Schöbel, S. M., Janson, A., & Leimeister, J. M. (2023). Gamifying online training in management education to support emotional engagement and problem-solving skills. *Journal of Management Education*, 47(2), 166–203.
- Sekarini, R. P., & Arty, I. S. (2019). Contextual-based Science Outdoor Learning to Improve Student Curiosity. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1233(1), 12103.
- Settelmaier, E. (2003). *Dilemmas with Dilemmas... Exploring the Suitability of Dilemma Stories as a Way of Addressing Ethical Issues in Science Education*.
- Sheldon, P. J., Fesenmaier, D. R., & Tribe, J. (2011). The tourism education futures initiative (TEFI): Activating change in tourism education. *Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism*, 11(1), 2–23.
- Sheppard, K. (2006). High school students' understanding of titrations and related acid-base phenomena. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(1), 32–45.

- Shin, S., Rachmatullah, A., Roshayanti, F., Ha, M., & Lee, J.-K. (2018). Career motivation of secondary students in STEM: a cross-cultural study between Korea and Indonesia. *International Journal for Educational and Vocational Guidance*, 18(2), 203–231.
- Silver, H. F., Strong, R. W., & Perini, M. J. (2007). *The strategic teacher: Selecting the right research-based strategy for every lesson*. Ascd.
- Snyder, L. G., & Snyder, M. J. (2008). Teaching critical thinking and problem solving skills. *The Journal of Research in Business Education*, 50(2), 90.
- Son, A. L., & Fatimah, S. (2019). An analysis to student error of algebraic problem solving based on polya and newman theory. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1315(1), 12069.
- Sophia, A., Enawaty, E., & Sartika, R. P. (2017). Deskripsi kemampuan pemecahan masalah dalam materi perhitungan kimia pada siswa kelas xi sma. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, 7(2), 1–10.
- Strobel, J., Hess, J., Pan, R., & Wachter Morris, C. A. (2013). Empathy and care within engineering: Qualitative perspectives from engineering faculty and practicing engineers. *Engineering Studies*, 5(2), 137–159.
- Syukur NC, F. (2019). Teknologi Pendidikan. *Jurnal Al-Afkar*, 7(1), 205.
- Taber, K. S. (2013). Revisiting the chemistry triplet: Drawing upon the nature of chemical knowledge and the psychology of learning to inform chemistry education. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 14(2), 156–168. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c3rp00012e>
- Tarawi, O., Noer, A. M., & Linda, R. (2020). The development of acid-base e-chemistry magazine as interactive teaching materials. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1440(1), 12012.
- Taylor, E. L., Taylor, P. C., & Chow, M. (2013). Diverse, disengaged and reactive: A teacher's adaptation of ethical dilemma story pedagogy as a strategy to re-engage learners in education for sustainability. In *Science Education for Diversity* (pp. 97–117). Springer.
- Taylor, E., Taylor, P. C., & Hill, J. (2019). Ethical dilemma story pedagogy—a constructivist approach to values learning and ethical understanding. In *Empowering science and mathematics for global competitiveness* (pp. 118–124). CRC Press.
- Taylor, Elisabeth, Taylor, P. C., & Chow, M. (2013). *Diverse, Disengaged and Reactive: A Teacher's Adaptation of Ethical Dilemma Story Pedagogy as a Strategy to Re-engage Learners in Education for Sustainability* (Issue April). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4563-6_6

- Torlakson, T. (2014). Innovate: A blueprint for science, technology, engineering, and mathematics in California public education. *Dublin, CA: Californians Dedicated to Education Foundation.*
- Tschimmel, K. (2012). Design Thinking as an effective Toolkit for Innovation. *ISPIM Conference Proceedings*, 1.
- Walsh, L. N., Howard, R. G., & Bowe, B. (2007). Phenomenographic study of students' problem solving approaches in physics. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 3(2), 20108.
- Wang, M., Yu, R., & Hu, J. (2023). The relationship between social media-related factors and student collaborative problem-solving achievement: an HLM analysis of 37 countries. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1–19.
- Watson, A. D., Wat, A. D., Wat, G., Kerr, E., & McGarry, K. (2013). Transitioning STEM to STEAM: Reformation of Engineering Education. *The Journal for Quality & Participation*. www.asq.org/pub/jqp
- Welsh, M. A., & Dehler, G. E. (2013). Combining critical reflection and design thinking to develop integrative learners. *Journal of Management Education*, 37(6), 771–802.
- Widodo, S., Irfan, M., Leonard, L., Fitriyani, H., Perbowo, K., & Trisniawati, T. (2019). Visual media in team accelerated instruction to improve mathematical problem-solving skill. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology for an Internet of Things, 20 October 2018, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.*
- Wilson, H. E., Song, H., Johnson, J., Presley, L., & Olson, K. (2021). Effects of transdisciplinary STEAM lessons on student critical and creative thinking. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 114(5), 445–457.
- Wolniak, R. (2017). The Design Thinking method and its stages. *Systemy Wspomagania w Inżynierii Produkcji*, 6(6), 247–255.
- Woodworth, R., & Marquis, D. (2014). *Psychology (psychology revivals): A study of mental life*. Psychology Press.
- Yakman, G. (2008). STΣ@ M Education: an overview of creating a model of integrative education. *Pupils Attitudes Towards Technology. 2008 Annual Proceedings. Netherlands.*
- Yakman, G. (2010). What is the point of STE@ M?—A Brief Overview. *Steam: A Framework for Teaching Across the Disciplines. STEAM Education*, 7.

Yakman, G., & Lee, H. (2012). Exploring the Exemplary STEAM Education in the U.S. as a Practical Educational Framework for Korea. *Journal of The Korean Association For Science Education*, 32(6), 1072–1086. <https://doi.org/10.14697/jkase.2012.32.6.1072>

Yoder, N. (2014). Teaching the whole child: Instructional practices that support social-emotional learning in three teacher evaluation frameworks. Research-to-practice brief. *Center on Great Teachers and Leaders*.

Yu, K.-C., Fan, S.-C., & Lin, K.-Y. (2015). Enhancing Students' problem-Solving Skills Through Context-Based Learning. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 13(6), 1377–1401.

Zeidler, D. L., Walker, K. A., Ackett, W. A., & Simmons, M. L. (2002). Tangled up in views: Beliefs in the nature of science and responses to socioscientific dilemmas. *Science Education*, 86(3), 343–367.

Zidny, R., Sopandi, W., & Kusrijadi, A. (2013). Analisis pemahaman konsep siswa SMA kelas X pada materi persamaan kimia dan stoikiometri melalui penggunaan diagram submikroskopik serta hubungannya dengan kemampuan pemecahan masalah. *Jurnal Riset Dan Praktik Pendidikan Kimia*, 1(1), 27–36.

