

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adams, T. L., & Lowery, R. M. K. (2007). An analysis of children's strategies for reading mathematics. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 23(2), 161–177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10573560601158479>
- Aldarmono. (2012). Identifikasi gaya kognitif (cognitive style) peserta didik dalam belajar. *Al-Mabsut: Jurnal Studi Islam Dan Sosial*, 3(1), 63–69. [www.ifets.int/journals/91/23.pdf](http://www.ifets.int/journals/91/23.pdf)
- Andriyani, A., & Ratu, N. (2018). Analisis kesalahan siswa dalam menyelesaikan soal cerita pada materi program linear ditinjau dari gaya kognitif siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan Berkarakter*, 1(1), 16–22.
- Anthycamurty, R. C. C., Mardiyana, & Saputro, D. R. S. (2018). Analysis of problem solving in terms of cognitive style. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 983(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/983/1/012146>
- Atikah, N., Nisa, K., Widyastuti, R., & Hamid, A. (2018). Pengembangan Instrumen Assessment Higher Order Thinking Skill (HOTS) pada Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik Kelas VII SMP. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*, 543–556.
- Chahine, I. C. (2018). Exposing the Conscious Self: Lived Problem Solving Experience in a Socio-Cultural Context. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 13(3), 221–231. <https://doi.org/10.12973/iejme/3880>
- Chrysostomou, M., Pitta-Pantazi, D., Tsingi, C., Cleanthous, E., & Christou, C. (2013). Examining number sense and algebraic reasoning through cognitive styles. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 83(2), 205–223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-012-9448-0>
- Chusnul, C., Mardiyana, & Saputro, D. (2017). Errors analysis of problem solving using the Newman stage after applying cooperative learning of TTW type. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1913. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5016662>
- Clements, K., & Ellerton, N. (1996). *The Newman Procedure for Analysing Errors on Written Mathematical Tasks*. 1–7. <http://compasstech.com.au/ARNOLD/PAGES/newman.htm>
- Clements, M. A. (1980). Analyzing children's errors on written mathematical tasks. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 11, 1–21.
- Darmawan, I. P. A., & Sujoko, E. (2013). Revisi taksonomi pembelajaran benyamin s. bloom. *Satya Widya*, 29(1), 30–39.
- Dewi, S. P., & Kartini, K. (2021). Analisis Kesalahan Siswa Dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Sistem Persamaan Linear Tiga Variabel Berdasarkan Prosedur Kesalahan Newman. *Jurnal Cendekia: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 05(01), 632–642.

- Dinni, H. N. (2018). HOTS (High Order Thinking Skills) dan Kaitannya dengan Kemampuan Literasi Matematika. *PRISMA, Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika*, 1, 170–176. <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/prisma/>
- Dr. Marzuki M.Ag. (2020). *Analisis gender dalam kajian-kajian keislaman*. UNY Press.
- Dwinata, A., & Ramadhona, R. (2018). Analisis Kesalahan Siswa Dalam Pemecahan Problematika Kaidah Pencacahan Titik Sampel. *Jurnal Gantang*, 3(2), 117–126. <https://doi.org/10.31629/jg.v3i2.479>
- Güven, B., & Cabakcor, B. O. (2013). Factors influencing mathematical problem-solving achievement of seventh grade Turkish students. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 23(1), 131–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2012.10.003>
- Haghighi, M., Ghanavati, M., & Rahimi, A. (2015). The Role of Gender Differences in the Cognitive Style of Impulsivity/Reflectivity and EFL Success. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 192, 467–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.06.072>
- Irfan, Y. (2020). Program Linier Matematika Umum Kelas XI. In *Modul Pembelajaran SMA Matematika Umum Kelas XI* (pp. 1–38). Kemendikbud, Direktorat SMA, Direktorat Jenderal PAUD, DIKDAS dan DIKMEN
- Jha, S. K. (2012). Mathematics performance of primary school students in Assam (India): An analysis using Newman Procedure. *International Journal of Computer Applications in Engineering Sciences*, 2(I), 17–21.
- Junita, R. (2016). Kemampuan representasi dan komunikasi matematis peserta didik SMA ditinjau dari prestasi belajar dan gaya kognitif. *PYTHAGORAS: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 11(2), 193. <https://doi.org/10.21831/pg.v11i2.10655>
- Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). A revision of bloom's taxonomy: An overview. In *Theory into Practice* (Vol. 41, Issue 4, pp. 212–218). Ohio State University Press. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4104\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4104_2)
- Lusiana, R. (2017). Analisis Kesalahan Mahasiswa dalam Memecahkan Masalah pada Materi Himpunan Ditinjau dari Gaya Kognitif. *JPPM*, 10(1), 24–29.
- Makmuri, Aziz, T. A., & Kharis, S. A. A. (2021). Characteristics of problems for developing higher-order thinking skills in mathematics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1882(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1882/1/012074>
- Maulipaksi, D. (2019, March 27). *Tingkat kesulitan soal UN 2019 tidak berubah, ini komposisi soalnya*. <https://www.kemdikbud.go.id/main/blog/2019/03/tingkat-kesulitan-soal-un-2019-tidak-berubah-ini-komposisi-soalnya>.
- Mefoh, P. C., Nwoke, M. B., Chukwuorji, J. B. C., & Chijioke, A. O. (2017). Effect of cognitive style and gender on adolescents' problem solving ability. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 25, 47–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2017.03.002>
- Moleong, L. J. (2000). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. Remaja Rosdakarya.

- Mubarokah, I., & Nusantara, D. T. (2020). Analisis kesalahan siswa dalam memodelkan matematika program linear. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Undiksha*, 11(2).
- Murtafiah, & Amin, N. (2018). Pengaruh gaya kognitif dan gender terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematika. *JPPM (Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran Matematika)*, 11(1), 75–82.
- Nikmah, S. N., Haeruddin, & Asryil. (2020). Analisis kesalahan menyelesaikan soal cerita program linear ditinjau dari perbedaan jenis kelamin. *PRIMATIKS*, 9(2).
- Nufus, H., & Nurdin, E. (2016). *Program Linear*. Cahaya Firdaus Publishing and Printing.
- Nurherdiati, R., Ambarwati, L., & Meiliasari. (2022). An Instructional Design to Improve Students' Higher Order Thinking Skills in Linear Programming. *J-PiMat*, 4(1), 459–465.
- Ogan, G. C., & George, N. R. (2015). Investigating difficult concepts in senior secondary school mathematics curriculum as perceived by students. *International Journal of Academic Research and Reflection*, 3(6), 67–74. [www.idpublications.org](http://www.idpublications.org)
- Onyekuru, B. U. (2015). Field Dependence-Field Independence Cognitive Style, Gender, Career Choice and Academic Achievement of Secondary School Students in Emohua Local Government Area of Rivers State. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(10), 76–85. [www.iiste.org](http://www.iiste.org)
- Polya, G. (1973). *How to Solve It* (second edi). Princeton University Press.
- Praktitipong, N., & Nakamura, S. (2006). Analysis of Mathematics Performance of Grade Five Students in Thailand Using Newman Procedure. *Journal of International Cooperation in Education*, 9(1), 111–112.
- Pratiwi, D. D. (2015). Analisis Kemampuan Komunikasi Matematis Dalam Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Sesuai Dengan Gaya Kognitif Dan Gender. *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 6(2), 131–142. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v6i2.28>
- Purwanto, W. R., Sukestiyarno, Y., & Junaedi, I. (2019). Proses Berpikir Siswa dalam Memecahkan Masalah Matematika Ditinjau dari Persepektif Gender. *In Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pascasarjana (PROSNAMPAS)*, 894–900.
- Puspananda, D. R., & Suriyah, P. (2017). Analisis Faktor pada Group Embbeded Figures Test untuk Mengukur Gaya Kognitif. *In Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika UNY*, 225–230.
- Puspendik Kemendikbud. (2019). *Laporan hasil ujian nasional*. [https://Hasilun.Puspendik.Kemdikbud.Go.Id/#2019!Sma!Daya\\_serap!01&99&999!A&03&T&T&1&!!&](https://Hasilun.Puspendik.Kemdikbud.Go.Id/#2019!Sma!Daya_serap!01&99&999!A&03&T&T&1&!!&).
- Rahayu, R., Iskandar, S., & Abidin, Y. (2022). Inovasi Pembelajaran Abad 21 dan Penerapannya di Indonesia. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 6(2), 2099–2104. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v6i2.2082>

- Rahmawati, D., & Permata, L. D. (2018). Analisis kesalahan siswa dalam menyelesaikan soal cerita program linear dengan prosedur Newman. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika*, 5(2), 173–185. <http://jurnal.uns.ac.id/jpm>
- Rohmah, M., & Sutiarmo, S. (2018). Analysis problem solving in mathematical using theory Newman. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(2), 671–681. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/80630>
- Sadler-Smith, E. (1998). Cognitive style: Some human resource implications for managers. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 9(1), 185–202. <https://doi.org/10.1080/095851998341260>
- Sari, A. R., & Aripin, U. (2018). Analisis Kesalahan Siswa dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Cerita Bangun Datar Segiempat Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematik untuk Siswa Kelas VII. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika Inovatif*, 1(6).
- Scherer, R., & Beckmann, J. F. (2014). The acquisition of problem solving competence: evidence from 41 countries that math and science education matters. *Large-Scale Assessments in Education*, 2(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40536-014-0010-7>
- Simuth, J., & Sarmany-Schuller, I. (2014). Cognitive Style Variable in E-learning. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 1464–1467. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.417>
- Singh, P., Rahman, A. A., & Hoon, T. S. (2010). The Newman procedure for analyzing Primary Four pupils errors on written mathematical tasks: A Malaysian perspective. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 8, 264–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.036>
- Siswandi, E., Sujadi, I., Magister Pendidikan Matematika, P., & Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta, F. (2016). Analisis kesalahan siswa dalam menyelesaikan masalah matematika kontekstual pada materi segiempat berdasarkan analisis newman ditinjau dari perbedaan gender (Studi Kasus pada Siswa Kelas VII SMPN 20 Surakarta). 4(7), 633–643. <http://jurnal.fkip.uns.ac.id>
- Son, A. L., Darhim, & Fatimah, S. (2020). Students' mathematical problem-solving ability based on teaching models intervention and cognitive style. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 11(2), 209–222. <https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.11.2.10744.209-222>
- Sugiyono. (2013). *Metode penelitian pendidikan kuantitatif, kualitatif dan R&D*. Alfabeta.
- Sugiyono. (2018). *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif untuk penelitian yang bersifat: eksploratif, enterpretif, interaktif dan konstruktif* (S. Y. Suryandari, Ed.; 3rd ed.). Alfabeta.
- Sukarna, I. K. (2019). Gender, cognitive style, and its relationship with critical thinking: a literature review. *Jurnal Ilmiah IKIP Mataram*, 6(1), 27–33.
- Sulistiyorini, Y., Argarini, D. F., & Yazidah, N. I. (2018). Analisis Kesalahan dalam Memecahkan Masalah Kombinatorika Ditinjau dari Gaya Kognitif. *AKSIOMA*:

*Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika*, 7(1), 114.  
<https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v7i1.1360>

Susanto, H. A. (2015). *Pemahaman pemecahan masalah berdasar gaya kognitif* (1st ed., Vol. 1). Deepublish.

Susilowati, J. P. A. (2016). Profil penalaran siswa smp dalam pemecahan masalah matematika ditinjau dari perbedaan gender. In *JRPM* (Vol. 1, Issue 2).  
<http://jrpm.uinsby.ac.id>

Suyitno, A. (2015). Learning Therapy For Students In Mathematics Communication Correctly Based-On Application Of Newman Procedure (A Case Of Indonesian Students). *International Journal of Education and Research*, 3(1), 529–538.

Syaodih, N. (2015). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan*. cet-10. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.

Tambunan, H. (2018). Impact of Heuristic Strategy on Students' Mathematics Ability in High Order Thinking. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 13(3). <https://doi.org/10.12973/iejme/3928>

Tambychik, T., & Meerah, T. S. M. (2010). Students' difficulties in mathematics problem-solving: What do they say? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 8, 142–151.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.020>

Tanujaya, B. (2016). Development of an instrument to measure higher order thinking skills in senior high school mathematics instruction. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(21), 144–148. [www.iiste.org](http://www.iiste.org)

Tanujaya, B., & Mumu, J. (2021). *HOTS dalam Pembelajaran Matematika Kompilasi dan Analisis Hasil Penelitian* (Monalisa, Ed.; 1st ed.). PT. RajaGrafindo Pesada.

Tanujaya, B., Prahmana, R. C. I., & Mumu, J. (2020). Mathematics Students' HOTS Assessment. *AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(4), 865.  
<https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v9i4.3107>

Thompson, T. (2008). Mathematics teachers' interpretation of higher-order thinking in Bloom's taxonomy. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 3(2).  
[www.iejme.com](http://www.iejme.com)

Tran, U. S., Hofer, A. a, & Voracek, M. (2014). Sex differences in general knowledge: Meta-analysis and new data on the contribution of school-related moderator among high-school students. *PLoS One*, 9(10), 1–11.

Volkova, E. v., & Rusalov, V. M. (2016). Cognitive styles and personality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 99, 266–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.04.097>

Wardhani, S. (2005). Pembelajaran dan penilaian aspek pemahaman konsep, penalaran dan komunikasi, pemecahan masalah. *Online*. Accessed on:

[Http://P4tkmatematika.Org/File/PRODUK/PAKET%20FASILITASI/SMP/Standar%20Penilaian%20Pendidikan.Pdf](http://P4tkmatematika.Org/File/PRODUK/PAKET%20FASILITASI/SMP/Standar%20Penilaian%20Pendidikan.Pdf)

White, A. L. (2010). Numeracy, Literacy and Newman's Error Analysis. *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education in Southeast Asia*, 33(2), 129–148.

Widodo, A. (2005). Taksonomi tujuan pembelajaran. *Didaktis*, 4(2), 1–9.

Witkin, H. A., Moore, C. A., Goodenough, D., & Cox, P. W. (1977). Field-Dependent and Field-Independent Cognitive Styles and Their Educational Implications. *Review of Educational Research*, 47(1), 1–64. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543047001001>

Yanti, W., Nusantara, T., & Qohar, A. (2016). Analisis Kesalahan dalam Menyelesaikan Soal pada Materi Permutasi dan Kombinasi. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Matematika Universitas Malang*, 1, 97–104.

Zhu, Z. (2007). Gender differences in mathematical problem solving patterns: A review of literature. *International Education Journal*, 8(2), 187–203. <http://iej.com.au>

