

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ahlen, M. (1995). Opening statements in jury trials: What are the legal limits. *NDL Rev.*, 71(3), 701.
- Altikriti, S. F. (2011). Speech act analysis to short stories. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 2(6), 1374.
- Bayat, N. (2013). A study on the use of speech acts. *Procedia-social and behavioral sciences*, 70, 213-221.
- Bogoch, Bryna. 1999. Courtroom discourse and the gendered construction of professional identity. *Law and Social Inquiry* 24. 329–375
- Chaemsaithong, K. (2014). Interactive patterns of the opening statement in criminal trials: A historical perspective. *Discourse Studies*, 16(3), 347-364.
- Chaemsaithong, K. (2015). Communicating with silent addressees: Engagement features in legal opening statements. *Language & Communication*, 43, 35-46.
- Chaemsaithong, K. (2017). Speech reporting in courtroom opening statements. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 119, 1-14.
- Chaemsaithong, K. (2017). Evaluative stancetaking in courtroom opening statements. *Folia Linguistica*, 51(1), 103-132
- Chaemsaithong, K., & Kim, Y. (2018). From narration to argumentation: Intertextuality in two courtroom genres. *Lingua*, 203, 36-50.

Chichizola, C. (2022). *A Verdict Has Been Announced In The Johnny Depp And Amber Heard Defamation Trial*. Retrieved from CinemaBlend.com: <https://www.cinemablend.com/movies/a-verdict-has-been-announced-in-the-johnny-depp-and-amber-heard-defamation-trial>

Creswell & Creswell. (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. SAGE Publication. Retrieved from <https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/research-design/book255675>

Hyland, K. (2005). 2005. *Stance and engagement: A model of interaction in academic discourse*, 7(2), 173-192.

Johnson, M. N. P., & McLean, E. (2020). Discourse Analysis. *International Encyclopedia of Human Geography*, 377–383. doi:10.1016/b978-0-08-102295-5.10814-5

Kamalu, I., & Osisanwo, A. (2015). Discourse analysis. *Issues in the study of language and literature: Theory & practice*. Ibadan: Kraft Books Limited, 189-191.

Nassaji, Hossein. (2015). Qualitative and descriptive research: Data type versus data analysis. *Language Teaching Research*, 19(2), 129-132. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1362168815572747>

Pascual, Esther. 2006a. Questions in legal monologues: Fictive interaction as argumentative strategy in a murder trial. *Text & Talk* 26. 383–402

Pascual, Esther. 2006b. Fictive interaction within the sentence: A communicative type of fictivity in grammar. *Cognitive Linguistics* 17. 245–267

Rosenblatt, K. (2022, April 28). *Johnny Depp and Amber Heard defamation trial: Summary and timeline*. Retrieved from nbcnews.com: <https://www.nbcnews.com/pop-culture/pop-culture-news/johnny-depp-amber-heard-defamation-trial-summary-timeline-rcna26136>

Simon, S., & Dejica-Cartis, D. (2015). Speech acts in written advertisements: Identification, classification and analysis. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 192, 234-239.

Song, L. (2010). The role of context in discourse analysis. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 1(6), 876.

Supardi, S. (2017). Language power in courtroom: the use of persuasive features in opening statement. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 6(1), 70-78.

Thomas, J. & McDonagh, D. (2013). Shared language: Towards more effective communication. *Australas Med J.*, 6(1), 46-54.

Tsoumou, J. M. (2020). Analysing speech acts in politically related Facebook communication. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 167, 80-97.

Verdict in the Johnny Depp and Amber Heard trial. (2022, June 1). Retrieved from nytimes.com: <https://www.nytimes.com/live/2022/06/01/arts/johnny-depp-amber-heard-verdict>