

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abraham, M. R., Grzybowski, E. B., Renner, J. W., & Marek, E. A. (1992). Understandings and Misunderstandings of Eighth Graders of Five Chemistry Concepts Found in Textbooks. *Journal of Research in Science*, 29(2), 105–120.
- Anderson, O. R., & Demetrius, O. J. (1993). A flow-map method of representing cognitive structure based on respondents' narrative using science content. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 30(8), 953–969. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660300811>
- Artayasa, I. P., Susilo, H., Lestari, U., & Indriwati, S. E. (2018). The effect of three levels of inquiry on the improvement of science concept understanding of elementary school teacher candidates. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(2), 235–248. <https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2018.11216a>
- Balta, N., & Sarac, H. (2016a). The Effect of 7E Learning Cycle on Learning in Science Teaching: A meta-Analysis Study. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 5(2), 61–72. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.5.2.61>
- Bischoff, P. J., Avery, L., Golden, C. F., & French, P. (2010). An analysis of knowledge structure, diversity and diagnostic abilities among pre-service science teachers within the domain of oxidation and reduction chemistry. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 21(4), 411–429. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-010-9188-x>
- Brown, T. L., LeMay, J. H., Bursten, B. E., Murphy, C. J., & Woodward, P. (2012). *Chemistry: The Central Science* – (12th ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Cahyo, A. N. (2013). *Panduan Aplikasi Teori-teori Belajar Mengajar Teraktual dan Terpopuler*. Divapres.
- Chang, R. (2008). *General Chemistry The Essential Concepts* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Dhindsa, H. S., & Anderson, O. R. (2004). Using a Conceptual-Change Approach to Help Preservice Science Teachers Reorganize Their Knowledge Structures for Constructivist Teaching. In *Journal of Science Teacher Education* (Vol. 15, Issue 1).
- Earl, B., & Doug, W. (2004). *Chemistry for Cambridge IGCSE*. Hodder Education.
- Eisenkraft. (2003). Expanding the 5E model: A Proposed 7E Model Emphasizes 'Transfer of Learning' and The Importance of Eliciting Prior Understanding. *The Science Teacher*, 70(6), 56–59.
- Fadhilah, Jusniar, & Anwar, M. (2019). Analisis Miskonsepsi Siswa Kelas XI IPA 1 SMA Negeri 1 Ma'rang Pada Materi Pokok Laju Reaksi Misconception Analysis of Grade XI IPA 1 students of SMAN 1 Ma'rang on reaction rate topic. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Kimia*, 1(1), 41–50.
- Fitriyani, D., Rahmawati, Y., & Yusmaniar. (2019a). Analisis Pemahaman Konsep Siswa pada Pembelajaran Larutan Elektrolit dan Non Elektrolit dengan 8E Learning Cycle 8E. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Kimia*, 9(1), 30–40.

- Gallagher, R., & Igram, P. (2011). *Complete Chemistry for Cambridge IGCSE* (Second Edition). Oxford University Press.
- Garner. (2007). *Getting to Got It! Helping Struggling Students Learn How to Learn. Missouri : Association for Supervision & Curriculum Development.*
- Hakimah, N., Muchson, M., Herunata, H., Permatasari, M. B., & Santoso, A. (2021). Identification student misconceptions on reaction rate using a Google forms three-tier tests. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2330. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0043114>
- Jimoh, A. T. (2005). Perception of Difficult Topics in Chemistry Curriculum. *Ilorin Journal of Education*, 24(1998), 71–78.
- Johnstone, A. (1991). Why is chemistry difficult to learn? things are seldom what they seem. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 7(1), 75–83.
- Kaur, P., & Gakhar, A. (2015). 9E model and e-learning methodologies for the optimisation of teaching and learning. *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE International Conference on MOOCs, Innovation and Technology in Education, IEEE MITE 2014*, 342–347. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MITE.2014.7020300>
- Kaya, E., & Geban, O. (2012). Facilitating Conceptual Change in Rate of Reaction Concepts Using Conceptual Change Oriented Instruction. *Egitim ve Bilim*, 37, 216–225.
- Langley, R. H., & Moore, J. T. (2010). *5 Steps to a 5 AP Chemistry 2010-2011 Edition*. McGraw-Hill Professional Publishing.
- Lestari, L. A., Subandi, S., & Habiddin, H. (2021). Identifikasi Miskonsepsi Siswa pada Materi Laju Reaksi dan Perbaikannya Menggunakan Model Pembelajaran Learning Cycle 5E dengan Strategi Konflik Kognitif. *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, Dan Pengembangan*, 6(6), 888. <https://doi.org/10.17977/jptpp.v6i6.14876>
- Ling, Z., & Houxiong W. (2011). The metacognitive factors and teaching strategies of high school students' chemistry learning difficulties. *Theory Practice Education*, 7, 56–58.
- Maduretno, T. W., Sarwanto, S., & Sunarno, W. (2016). Pembelajaran Ipa Dengan Pendekatan Saintifik Menggunakan Model Learning Cycle Dan Discovery Learning Ditinjau Dari Aktivitas Dan Motivasi Belajar Siswa Terhadap Prestasi Belajar. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Dan Keilmuan (JPFK)*, 2(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.25273/jpfb.v2i1.19>
- Mahardika, E., Ridwan, A., & Rahmawati, Y. (2018). *Metode Flow Map Dalam Materi Asam Basa Menggunakan Model Learning Cycle 8E*. 3(1), 51–65.
- Malone, L. J., & Dolter, T. (2008). *Basic Concepts of Chemistry*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- McNall, M., & Foster-Fishman, P. G. (2007). Methods of rapid evaluation, assessment, and appraisal. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 28(2), 151–168. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1098214007300895>

- Michalisková, R., Haláková, Z., & Prokša, M. (2019). Concept of chemical reaction in chemistry textbooks. In *Chemistry Teacher International* (Vol. 1, Issue 2). Walter de Gruyter GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cti-2018-0027>
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1992). *Qualitative Data Analysis: An expanded sourcebook* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Nazar, M., Winarni, S., Fitriana, R., Prodi Pendidikan Kimia Unsyiah Banda Aceh, D., & Prodi Pendidikan Kimia Unsyiah Banda Aceh, M. (2010). *Identifikasi Miskonsepsi Siswa SMA Pada Konsep Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Laju Reaksi (Identification of Senior High School Students' Misconceptions In Concept of Factors That Affect Chemical Reaction Rate)*.
- Piaget. (1950). *Psikologi Perkembangan*. PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Plummer, K. J. (2008). *Analysis of the psychometric properties of two different concept-map assessment tasks*. 219.
- Ryan, L., & Norris, R. (2014). *Cambridge International AS and A Level Chemistry Coursebook* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Silberberg, M. S. (2009). *Chemistry: The Molecular Nature of Matter & Change* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Taber, K. S. (2013). Modelling Learners and Learning in Science Education. In *Modelling Learners and Learning in Science Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7648-7>
- Tsai, C.-C., & Huang, C.-M. (2002). *Exploring students' cognitive structures in learning science: a review of relevant methods*.
- Warsito, B. (2008). *Teknologi pembelajaran landasan & aplikasinya*.
- Yujuan Li. (2006). *The exploration about senior high school students' chemistry learning difficulties*. Shandong Normal University.
- Zhou, Q., Wang, T., & Zheng, Q. (2015a). Probing high school students' cognitive structures and key areas of learning difficulties on ethanoic acid using the flow map method. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 16(3), 589–602. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5rp00059a>