

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdel-Khalek, A. M. (2014). *Religiosity and Well-Being in a Muslim Context*. 71–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8950-9_4
- Ariyani, M., Wahyuni, L. D., Putri, F. R. (2022). Mencari pasangan di dunia maya. *Pena Persada Kerta Utama*. 36-44.
- Astana, R., Krisnatuti, D., & Riany, Y. . (2023). Nilai-nilai keluarga, adult attachment, mating intelligence, dan preferensi pemilihan pasangan pada dewasa muda. *Jurnal Ilmu Keluarga Dan Konsumen*, 16(2), 133–146. <https://doi.org/10.24156/jikk.2023.16.2.133>
- Atari, M. (2017). Assessment of long-term mate preferences in Iran. *Evolutionary Psychology*, 15(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474704917702459>
- Atari, M., Chaudhary, N., & Al-Shawaf, L. (2020). Mate Preferences in Three Muslim-Majority Countries: Sex Differences and Personality Correlates. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 11(4), 533–545. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550619866187>
- Azwar, S. (2012). *Penyusunan skala psikologi* (2nd ed.). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Baldwin, M. W. (1992). Relational Schemas and the Processing of Social Information. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112(3), 461–484. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.112.3.461>
- Bejanyan, K., Marshall, T. C., & Ferenczi, N. (2015). Associations of collectivism with relationship commitment, passion, and mate preferences: Opposing roles of parental influence and family allocentrism. *PLoS ONE*, 10(2), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117374>
- Benítez, I., He, J., Van de Vijver, F. J. R., & Padilla, J. L. (2016). Linking extreme response style to response processes: A cross-cultural mixed methods approach. *International Journal of Psychology*, 51(6), 464–473. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijop.12379>

- Bloome, D., & Ang, S. (2020). Marriage and Union Formation in the United States: Recent Trends Across Racial Groups and Economic Backgrounds. *Demography*, *57*(5), 1753–1786. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-020-00910-7>
- Boone, W. J. (2016). Rasch analysis for instrument development: Why, when, and how? *CBE Life Sciences Education*, *15*(4). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.16-04-0148>
- Boone, W. J., Staver, J. R., & Yale, M. S. (2014). *Advances in Rasch analysis in the human sciences*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brentari, E., Golia, S., Brentari, E., & Golia, S. (2007). *Unidimensionality in the Rasch model: how to detect and interpret Rasch Measurement View project Behrens-Fisher Problem View project*. January 2007. <https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.1973-2201/3508>
- Brubaker, J. (2016). Complementary needs theory. *John Wiley & Sons*. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1002/9781119085621.wbef499>
- Buckels, E. E., Beall, A. T., Hofer, M. K., Lin, E. Y., Zhou, Z., & Schaller, M. (2015). Individual differences in activation of the parental care motivational system: Assessment, prediction, and implications. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *108*(3), 497–514. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000023>
- Buijs, V. L., Jeronimus, B. F., Lodder, G. M. A., Steverink, N., & de Jonge, P. (2021). Social Needs and Happiness: A Life Course Perspective. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, *22*(4), 1953–1978. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-020-00287-9>
- Buss, D. M. (1989). Sex differences in human mate preferences: Evolutionary hypotheses tested in 37 cultures. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *12*(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00023992>
- Buss, D. M., & Barnes, M. (1986). Preferences in Human Mate Selection. *Journal*

of Personality and Social Psychology, 50(3), 559–570.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.50.3.559>

Buss, D. M., & Schmitt, D. P. (2017). Sexual Strategies Theory: An Evolutionary Perspective on Human Mating. In *Interpersonal Development* (pp. 297–325). Routledge.

Buss, D. M., & Schmitt, D. P. (2019). Mate Preferences and Their Behavioral Manifestations. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 70, 77–110.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-103408>

Buunk, A. P., Park, J. H., & Duncan, L. A. (2010). Cultural variation in parental influence on mate choice. *Cross-Cultural Research*, 44(1), 23–40.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1069397109337711>

Cameron, C., Oskamp, S., Sparks, W., Cameron, C., Oskamp, S., & Sparks, W. (1977). *Courtship American Style : Newspaper Ads Published by : National Council on Family Relations Stable URL : <https://www.jstor.org/stable/581857> Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article : Courtship American Style : Newspaper Ads **. 26(1), 27–30.

Campbell, L., & Fletcher, G. J. O. (2015). Romantic relationships, ideal standards, and mate selection. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 1, 97–100.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.01.007>

Campbell, L., Pink, J. C., & Stanton, S. C. E. (2014). Ideal mate standards and romantic relationships. *APA Handbook of Personality and Social Psychology, Volume 3: Interpersonal Relations.*, 3, 247–269.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/14344-009>

Campbell, L., Simpson, J. A., Kashy, D. A., & Fletcher, G. J. O. (2001). Ideal standards, the self, and flexibility of ideals in close relationships. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 27(4), 447–462.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167201274006>

Campbell, L., & Wilbur, C. J. (2009). Are the traits we prefer in potential mates the

traits they value in themselves? An analysis of sex differences in the self-concept. *Self and Identity*, 8(4), 418–446. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298860802505434>

Castro, F. N., Hattori, W. T., & De Araújo Lopes, F. (2012). Relationship maintenance or preference Satisfaction? Male and female strategies in romantic Partner choice. *Journal of Social, Evolutionary, and Cultural Psychology*, 6(2), 217–226. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0099213>

Chou, Y. T., & Wang, W. C. (2010). Checking dimensionality in item response models with principal component analysis on standardized residuals. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 70(5), 717–731. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164410379322>

Churchill, G. A. (1979). A Paradigm for Developing Better Measures of Marketing Constructs. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 16(1), 64. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3150876>

Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (2019). Constructing Validity: New Developments in Creating Objective Measuring Instruments. *Psychol Assess*, 31(12), 1412–1427. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1037/pas0000626>

Collis, J., & Hussey, R. (2003). *Business research: A practical guide for undergraduate and postgraduate students*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Combrinck, C. (2020). Is this a useful instrument? An introduction to Rasch measurement models. In S. Kramer, S. Laher, A. Fynn, & H. H. Janse van Vuuren (Eds.), *Online Readings in Research Methods. Psychological Society of South Africa: Johannesburg*. https://www.psyssa.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/Chapter-6_Is-this-a-useful-instrument_An-Introduction-to-Rasch-measurement-models.pdf

Conroy-beam, D., & Buss, D. M. (2020). Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Psychological Science. *Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Psychological Science*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16999-6>

Conroy-Beam, D., & Buss, D. M. (2019). Why is age so important in human

mating? Evolved age preferences and their influences on multiple mating behaviors. *Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences*, 13(2), 127–157.

Conroy-Beam, D., Goetz, C. D., & Buss, D. M. (2016). What predicts romantic relationship satisfaction and mate retention intensity: mate preference fulfillment or mate value discrepancies? In *Evolution and Human Behavior* (Vol. 37, Issue 6). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2016.04.003>

DeVellis, R. F., & Thorpe, C. T. (2021). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Diener, E., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2002). Very happy people. *Psychological Science*, 13(1), 81–84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00415>

DiStefano, C., Zhu, M., & Mîndrilă, D. (2009). Understanding and using factor scores: Considerations for the applied researcher. *Practical Assessment, Research and Evaluation*, 14(20).

Doosje, B., Rojahn, K., & Fischer, A. (1999). Partner preferences as a function of gender, age, political orientation and level of education. *Sex Roles*, 40(1–2), 45–60. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018826300179>

Dubbs, S. L., & Buunk, A. P. (2010). Parents just don't understand: parent-offspring conflict over mate choice. *Evolutionary Psychology*, 8(4), 586–598. <https://doi.org/10.1177/147470491000800405>

Eagly, A. H., & Wood, W. (1999). The Origins of Sex Differences in Human Behavior: Evolved Dispositions versus Social Roles. *American Psychological Association*, 54(6), 408–423. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/2874.003.0015>

Eastwick, P. W., Finkel, E. J., Brooks, C., Buik, B., Eulich, M., Graney, M., Jones, K., Keller, J., Leyton, J., Liu, K., Mason, A., Matthews, J., Mitchell, A., Rosner, J., Saigal, S., Scarbeck, S., Sternberg, D., & Thompson, L. (2008). *Sex Differences in Mate Preferences Revisited: Do People Know What They Initially Desire in a Romantic Partner?* 94(2), 245–264. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.94.2.245>

Eastwick, P. W., Luchies, L. B., Finkel, E. J., & Hunt, L. L. (2013). *Psychological Bulletin The Predictive Validity of Ideal Partner Preferences : A Review and Meta-Analysis The Predictive Validity of Ideal Partner Preferences : A Review and Meta-Analysis*. 140(3), 623–665.

Eastwick, P. W., & Neff, L. A. (2012). Do ideal partner preferences predict divorce? a tale of two metrics. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 3(6), 667–674. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550611435941>

Fales, M. R., Frederick, D. A., Garcia, J. R., Gildersleeve, K. A., Haselton, M. G., & Fisher, H. E. (2016). Mating markets and bargaining hands: Mate preferences for attractiveness and resources in two national U.S. studies. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 88, 78–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2015.08.041>

Fletcher, G. J. O., Simpson, J. A., & Thomas, G. (2000). Ideals, perceptions, and evaluations in early relationship development. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79(6), 933–940. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.79.6.933>

Fletcher, G. J. O., Simpson, J. A., Thomas, G., & Giles, L. (1999). Ideals in intimate relationships. [References]. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76(1), 72–89.

Galinha, I. C., Oishi, S., Pereira, C. R., Wirtz, D., & Esteves, F. (2014). Adult Attachment, Love Styles, Relationship Experiences and Subjective Well-Being: Cross-Cultural and Gender Comparison between Americans, Portuguese, and Mozambicans. *Social Indicators Research*, 119(2), 823–852. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-013-0512-7>

Goodwin, R., & Tang, D. (1991). Preferences for friends and close relationships partners: A cross-cultural comparison. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 131(4), 579–581. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1991.9713889>

Gravetter, F. J., & Forzano, L.-A. B. (2012). *Research methods for the behavioral sciences* (4th ed.). Cengage Learning.

- Haandrikman, K., & Hutter, I. (2012). "That's a Different Kind of Person" - Spatial Connotations and Partner Choice. *Population, Space and Place*, 18(3), 241–259. <https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.661>
- Hair, J. F., Babin, B. J., Black, W. C., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage.
- Hammond, M. D., & Overall, N. C. (2014). Endorsing benevolent sexism magnifies willingness to dissolve relationships when facing partner-ideal discrepancies. *Personal Relationships*, 21(2), 272–287. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pere.12031>
- Hill, R. (1945). Campus values in mate selection. *Journal of Home Economics*, 37(554), 269.
- Hill, S. E., & Buss, D. M. (2006). Envy and positional bias in the evolutionary psychology of management. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 27(2–3), 131–143. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.1288>
- Hinkin, T. R. (1995). A Review of Scale Development Practices in the Study of Organizations. *Journal of Management*, 21(5), 967–988. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639502100509>
- Hinkin, T. R., Tracey, J. B., & Enz, C. A. (1997). Scale construction: Developing reliable and valid measurement instruments. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 21(1), 100–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109634809702100108>
- Hout, M. C., Papesh, M. H., & Goldinger, S. D. (2013). Multidimensional scaling (MDS). *National Institute of Health*, 4(1), 175–186. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118434635.ch10>
- Howitt, D. (2016). *Introduction to qualitative research methods in psychology* (3rd ed.). Pearson Education.
- Hurlock, E. B. (2003). *Psikologi perkembangan*. Erlangga.
- Jwaheri Mohamadi, M., Klantar Kosheh, S. M., & Farah Baksh, K. (2015). The Comparison of Marital Satisfaction between Traditional and non-Traditional Couples in Tehran. *Family Counseling and Psychotherapy*, 5(2), 123–142.

- Kapuscinski, A. N., & Masters, K. S. (2010). *The Current Status of Measures of Spirituality: A Critical Review of Scale Development*. 2(4), 191–205. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020498>
- Katsena, L., & Dimdins, G. (2015). An improved method for evaluating ideal standards in self-perception and mate preferences. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 56(2), 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12186>
- Kawamichi, H., Sugawara, S. K., Hamano, Y. H., Makita, K., Matsunaga, M., Tanabe, H. C., Ogino, Y., Saito, S., & Sadato, N. (2016). Being in a romantic relationship is associated with reduced gray matter density in striatum and increased subjective happiness. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(NOV), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01763>
- Kenrick, D. T., Groth, G. E., Trost, M. R., & Sadalla, E. K. (1993). Integrating evolutionary and social exchange perspectives on relationships: Effects of gender, self-appraisal, and involvement level on mate selection criteria. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64(6), 951–969. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.64.6.951>
- Koo, T. K., & Li, M. Y. (2016). A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass Correlation Coefficients for Reliability Research. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine*, 15(2), 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcm.2016.02.012>
- Kurniati. (2024). *Perbedaan preferensi pemilihan pasangan antara laki-laki dan perempuan dewasa awal di Indonesia*. Universitas Negeri Jakarta.
- Levine, R., Sato, S., Hashimoto, T., & Verma, J. (1995). Love and marriage in eleven cultures. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 26(5), 554–571. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022195265007>
- Lin, W., Wang, J., Liu, Y., Li, Z., & Lin, J. (2022). The relationship between Chinese college students' mate preferences and their parents' education level. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(November), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.907315>
- Liu, X. (2010). *Using and developing measurement instruments in science*

education: A Rasch modeling approach. Information Age Publishing, Inc.

Madathil, J., & Benschhoff, J. M. (2008). Importance of Marital Characteristics and Marital Satisfaction: A Comparison of Asian Indians in Arranged Marriages and Americans in Marriages of Choice. *The Family Journal*, 16(3), 222–230. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1066480708317504>

Mahida, M. (2020). Pendekatan multidimensional scaling untuk penilaian status keberlanjutan ATCS Kota Pintar Semarang. *Warta Penelitian Perhubungan*, 32(2), 103-112.

Mahfuzhatillah, K. F. (2018). Studi Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Menunda Menikah Pada Wanita Dewasa Awal. *Jurnal Ittihad*, 2(1), 1–9. <http://ejournal-ittihad.alittihadiahsumut.or.id/index.php/ittihad/article/view/31>

Makransky, G., & Glas, C. A. W. (2013). Modeling differential item functioning with group-specific item parameters: A computerized adaptive testing application. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, 46(9), 3228–3237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2013.06.020>

Makransky, G., Rogers, M. E., & Creed, P. A. (2015). Analysis of the Construct Validity and Measurement Invariance of the Career Decision Self-Efficacy Scale: A Rasch Model Approach. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 23(4), 645–660. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072714553555>

Marastuti, A., Anggoro, W. J., Marvianto, R. D., & Al Afghani, A. A. (2020). Perbandingan Properti Psikometri antara Tes PAPS Berbentuk Computer-Based dan Paper and Pencil Test. *Gajah Mada Journal of Psychology (GamaJoP)*, 6(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.22146/gamajop.51852>

Masoom, M. R. (2022). What potential traits do adolescents and early adults look for in mate preferences? *Heliyon*, 8(12), e12169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12169>

Matsunaga, M. (2010). How to factor-analyze your data right: Do's, don'ts, and how-to's. *International Journal of Psychological Research*, 3(1), 97–110.

- Medora, N. P., Larson, J. H., Hortaçsu, N., & Dave, P. (2002). Perceived attitudes towards romanticism: A cross-cultural study of American, Asian-Indian, and Turkish young adults. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 33(2). <https://doi.org/10.3138/jcfs.33.2.155>
- Meyer, E. M., & Reynolds, M. R. (2022). Multidimensional Scaling of Cognitive Ability and Academic Achievement Scores. *Journal of Intelligence*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence10040117>
- Morgado, F. F. R., Meireles, J. F. F., Neves, C. M., Amaral, A. C. S., & Ferreira, M. E. C. (2017). Scale development: Ten main limitations and recommendations to improve future research practices. *Psicologia: Reflexao e Critica*, 30(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41155-016-0057-1>
- Morgan, E. M., Thorne, A., & Zurbriggen, E. L. (2010). A Longitudinal Study of Conversations With Parents About Sex and Dating During College. *Developmental Psychology*, 46(1), 139–150. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016931>
- Nunnally, J. C. (1967). *Psychometric theory*. McGraw-Hill.
- O'Neill, T. R., Gregg, J. L., & Peabody, M. R. (2020). Effect of Sample Size on Common Item Equating Using the Dichotomous Rasch Model. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 33(1), 10–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08957347.2019.1674309>
- Ohiri, S. C., Ihebom, D., & Nnennaya, C. (2024). Psychometric Properties of a Test: An Overview. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 5(2), 2217–2224. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0224.0539>
- Padilla-Walker, L. M., & Jensen, L. A. (2016). Validation of the long- and short-form of the Ethical Values Assessment (EVA): A questionnaire measuring the three ethics approach to moral psychology. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 40(2), 181–192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025415587534>
- Pampaka, M., Pepin, B., & Sikko, S. A. (2015). Supporting or alienating students

during their transition to Higher Education: Mathematically relevant trajectories in the contexts of England and Norway. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 79, 240–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2016.06.008>

Podsakoff, N. P., Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Klinger, R. L. (2013). Are we really measuring what we say we're measuring? Using video techniques to supplement traditional construct validation procedures. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 98(1), 99–113. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029570>

Puts, D. (2016). Human sexual selection. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 7, 28–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.07.011>

Rafiee, G. (2023). The main criteria for mate selection: The nursing students' perspective in the south-west of Iran during 2020–2021: A cross-sectional study. *Health Science Reports*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.949>

Regan, P. C. (1998a). Minimum Mate Selection Standards as a Function of Perceived Mate Value, Relationship Context, and Gender. *Journal of Psychology & Human Sexuality*, 10, 53–73.

Regan, P. C. (1998b). What if you can't get what you want? willingness to compromise ideal mate selection standards as a function of sex, mate value, and relationship context. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24(12), 1294–1303. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672982412004>

Roopa, S., & Rani, M. (2012). Questionnaire Designing for a Survey. *The Journal of Indian Orthodontic Society*, 46(December), 273–277. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10021-1104>

Rosa, S. J., Fitri, A. R., & Agung, I. M. (2019). Psychometric properties of the SE-Revised: A rasch model analysis. *HUMANITAS: Indonesian Psychological Journal*, 16(1), 54. <https://doi.org/10.26555/humanitas.v16i1.10919>

Sari, F., & Sunarti, E. (2013). Kesiapan Menikah pada Dewasa Muda dan Pengaruhnya terhadap Usia Menikah. *Jurnal Ilmu Keluarga Dan Konsumen*, 6(3), 143–153. <https://doi.org/10.24156/jikk.2013.6.3.143>

- Schwarz, S., & Hassebrauck, M. (2012). Sex and Age Differences in Mate-Selection Preferences. *Human Nature*, 23(4), 447–466. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-012-9152-x>
- Simms, L. J. (2008). Classical and Modern Methods of Psychological Scale Construction. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 2(1), 414–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2007.00044.x>
- Simpson, A., & Gongestad, S. W. (1992). Sociosexuality and Romantic Partner Choice. *Journal of Personality*, 60.
- Sohn, K. (2017). Men's revealed preference for their mates' ages. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 38(1), 58–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2016.06.007>
- Souza, A. C. de, Alexandre, N. M. C., & Guirardello, E. de B. (2017). Propriedades psicométricas na avaliação de instrumentos: avaliação da confiabilidade e da validade. *Epidemiologia e Servicos de Saude : Revista Do Sistema Unico de Saude Do Brasil*, 26(3), 649–659. <https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742017000300022>
- Sumantri, F. A., Matulesy, A., & Pratitis, N. (2024). Perilaku fear of missing out pada remaja di Surabaya: Bagaimana peran konformitas? *INNER: Journal of Psychological Research*, 3(4), 498–505.
- Sumintono, B. (2016). Aplikasi pemodelan Rasch pada asesmen pendidikan: Implementasi penilaian formatif (*assessment for learning*). *Makalah Dipresentasikan Dalam Kuliah Umum Pada Jurusan Statistika, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh November, Surabaya, 17 Maret 2016., March*, 1–19. http://eprints.um.edu.my/15876/1/ITS_rasch_model_asesment_for_learning.pdf
- Sumintono, B., & Widhiarso, W. (2014). *Aplikasi model Rasch untuk penelitian ilmu sosial*. Trim Komunikata Publishing House.
- Thibaut, J. W., & Kelley, H. H. (1959). *The social psychology of groups*. NJ: Transaction Publishers.

Thomas, A. G., Jonason, P. K., Blackburn, J. D., Kennair, L. E. O., Lowe, R., Malouff, J., Stewart-Williams, S., Sulikowski, D., & Li, N. P. (2020). Mate preference priorities in the East and West: A cross-cultural test of the mate preference priority model. *Journal of Personality*, 88(3), 606–620. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12514>

Uskul, A. K., Lalonde, R. N., & Konanur, S. (2011). The role of culture in intergenerational value discrepancies regarding intergroup dating. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 42(7), 1165–1178. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220221110383311>

Valentine, K. A., Li, N. P., Meltzer, A. L., & Tsai, M. H. (2020). Mate preferences for warmth-trustworthiness predict romantic attraction in the early stages of mate selection and satisfaction in ongoing relationships. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 46(2), 298–311. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167219855048>

hl;n

Wibisono, S. (2016). Aplikasi model rasch untuk validasi instrumen pengukuran fundamentalisme agama bagi responden muslim. *Jurnal Pengukuran Psikologi dan Pendidikan Indonesia*, 5(1), 1-29.

Wu, M., & Adams, R. J. (2007). Applying the Rasch model to psycho-social measurement: A practical approach. In *Educational Measurement Solutions*.

Wu, Y., Su, B., & Li, J. (2023). The impact of low fertility rates on labor demand and socioeconomic development in China. *China CDC Weekly*, 5(27), 599–604. <https://doi.org/10.46234/ccdcw2023.115>

Zhang, L., Lee, A. J., DeBruine, L. M., & Jones, B. C. (2019). Are sex differences in preferences for physical attractiveness and good earning capacity in potential mates smaller in countries with greater gender equality? *Evolutionary Psychology*, 17(2), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474704919852921>