

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Acar, A., & Cavas, B. (2020). The effect of virtual reality enhanced learning environment on the 7th-grade students' reading and writing skills in English. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 8(4), 22–33.
- Achuthan, K., Raghavan, D., Shankar, B., Francis, S. P., & Kolil, V. K. (2021). Impact of remote experimentation, interactivity and platform effectiveness on laboratory learning outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00272-z>
- Adair, J. (2007). The art of creative thinking: How to be innovative and develop great ideas. In *Nursing Clinics of North America*. Kogan Page.
- Ahrens, R. de B., Lirani, L. da S., & de Francisco, A. C. (2020). Construct validity and reliability of the work environment assessment instrument WE-10. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(20), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17207364>
- Akhmad Bukhori, H., & Widyatmoko, T. (2021). Independent learning method based on virtual reality to improve foreign language skills. *Proceedings of the International Seminar on Language, Education, and Culture (ISoLEC 2021)*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.211212.026>
- Andreasen, N. C. (2005). *The creating brain: The neuroscience of genius*. Dana Press.
- Araiza-Alba, P., Keane, T., & Kaufman, J. (2022). Are we ready for virtual reality in K-12 classrooms? *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 31(4), 471–491. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2022.2033307>
- Artun, H., Durukan, A., & Temur, A. (2020). Effects of virtual reality enriched science laboratory activities on pre-service science teachers' science process skills. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25(6), 5477–5498. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10220-5>
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Sorensen, C., & Razavieh, A. (2010). *Introduction to research in education* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Ayyildiz, P., & Yilmaz, A. (2021). 'Moving the Kaleidoscope' to see the effect of creative personality traits on creative thinking dispositions of preservice teachers: The mediating effect of creative learning environments and teachers' creativity fostering behavior. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 41, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2021.100879>
- Beard, C., & Wilson, J. P. (2013). *Experiential learning: A handbook for education, training and coaching* (3rd ed.). Kogan Page.

- Bloom, E., & Vanslyke-Briggs, K. (2019). The demise of creativity in tomorrow's teachers introduction: Creativity in education. *Journal of Inquiry & Action in Education*, 10(2), 90–111.
- Blumberg, F. C., & Brooks, P. J. (2018). *Cognitive development in digital contexts*. Elsevier Inc.
- Bogusevski, D., Muntean, C. H., & Muntean, G.-M. (2020). Teaching and learning physics using 3D virtual learning environment: A case study of combined virtual reality and virtual laboratory in secondary school. *Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 39(1).
- Brinson, J. R. (2015). Learning outcome achievement in non-traditional (virtual and remote) versus traditional (hands-on) laboratories: A review of the empirical research. *Computers and Education*, 87, 218–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.07.003>
- Bujdosó, G., Novac, O. C., & Szimkovics, T. (2017). Developing cognitive processes for improving inventive thinking in system development using a collaborative virtual reality system. *EEE International Conference on Cognitive Infocommunications*, 79–84. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CogInfoCom.2017.8268220>
- Burdea, G. C., & Coiffet, P. (2003). *Virtual reality technology* (2nd ed.). A Wiley-Interscience.
- Bybee, R. W. (2015). *The BSCS 5E instructional model: Creating teachable moments*. NSTA Press.
- Bybee, R. W., Taylor, J. a, Gardner, A., Scotter, P. V, Powell, J. C., Westbrook, A., & Landes, N. (2006). *The BSCS 5E instructional model: Origins, effectiveness, and applications*. BSCS.
- Byusa, E., Kampire, E., & Mwesigye, A. R. (2022). Game-based learning approach on students' motivation and understanding of chemistry concepts: A systematic review of literature. *Heliyon*, 8(5). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09541>
- Cakmakci, G. (2010). Identifying alternative conceptions of chemical kinetics among secondary school and undergraduate students in Turkey. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 87(4), 449–455. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed8001336>
- Calle-Saldarriaga, A., Laniado, H., & Zuluaga, F. (2020). Homogeneity test for functional data based on data-depth plots. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2021.104420>
- Cardellini, L. (2012). Chemistry: Why the subject is difficult? *Educacion Quimica*, 23, 305–310. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0187-893X\(17\)30158-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0187-893X(17)30158-1)
- Catalano, C. M., & Catalano, C. V. (2023). Developing the creative potential of

- students through group activities. *Proceedings of 10th International Conference Education, Reflection, Development (ERD 2022)*, 24 June 2022, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 6, 362–369. <https://doi.org/10.15405/epes.23056.32>
- Chang, R. (2008). *General chemistry: The essential concepts* (5th ed.). The McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Chang, Y.-S., Kao, J. Y., & Wang, Y. Y. (2022). Influences of virtual reality on design creativity and design thinking. *Thinking Skills and Creativity Journal*, 46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101127>
- Chen, S.-J., Chen, C.-Q., & Shan, X.-F. (2024). The effects of an immersive virtual-reality-based 3D modeling approach on the creativity and problem-solving tendency of elementary school students. *Sustainability*, 16(10), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16104092>
- Chen, Y. C., Chang, Y. S., & Chuang, M. J. (2022). Virtual reality application influences cognitive load-mediated creativity components and creative performance in engineering design. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 38(1), 6–18. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12588>
- Choi, D. H., Dailey-Hebert, A., & Estes, J. S. (2021). *Current and prospective applications of virtual reality in higher education*. IGI Global.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research methods in education* (Sixth Edit). Routledge Falmer.
- Cox, D. (2013). *Creative thinking For dummies*. John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, evaluating, quantitative and qualitative research* (4th ed.). Pearson Education Inc.
- Cummings, J. J., & Bailenson, J. N. (2016). How immersive is enough? A meta-analysis of the effect of immersive technology on user presence. *Media Psychology*, 19(2), 272–309. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2015.1015740>
- Dawley, L., & Dede, C. (2014). Situated learning in virtual worlds and immersive simulations. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, & M. J. Bishop (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (pp. 723–734). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3185-5>
- Delacre, M., Lakens, D., & Leys, C. (2017). Why psychologists should by default use welch's t-Test instead of student's t-Test. *International Review of Social Psychology*, 30(1), 92–101. <https://doi.org/10.5334/irsp.82>
- Dewi, H. R., Mayasari, T., Handhika, J., Dewi, H. R., Mayasari, T., & Handhika, J. (2019). Increasing creative thinking skills and understanding of physics concepts through application of stem-based inquiry. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 4(1), 25–30. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.26740/jppipa.v4n1.p25-30>

- Di Natale, A. F., Repetto, C., Riva, G., & Villani, D. (2020). Immersive virtual reality in K-12 and higher education: A 10-year systematic review of empirical research. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *51*(6), 2006–2033. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13030>
- Edwards, B. I., Bielawski, K. S., Prada, R. F., & David Cheok, A. (2018). Haptic virtual reality and immersive learning for enhanced organic chemistry instruction. *Preprints*. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints201804.0065.v1>
- Elaish, M. M., Yadegaridehkordi, E., & Ho, Y. S. (2024). Publication performance and trends in virtual reality research in education fields: A bibliometric analysis. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-024-19238-0>
- Fabian, J. (2018). *Creative thinking & problem solving*. CRC Press.
- Fairman, B., Salak, A., Maliki, M., & Voak, A. (2021). Implementation and acceptance of online learning in the Indonesian civil service: Are we there yet? *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Education*, *1*, 161–173. <https://doi.org/10.17501/24246700.2021.7116>
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2006). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Freina, L., & Ott, M. (2015). A literature review on immersive virtual reality in education: State of the art and perspectives. *11th International Conference ELearning and Software for Education*, *1*, 133–141. <https://doi.org/10.12753/2066-026x-15-020>
- Fuchs, P., Moreau, G., & Guitton, P. (2011). *Virtual reality: Concepts and technologies*. CRC Press/Balkema.
- Garderen, D. van, Decker, M., Juergensen, R., & Abdelnaby, H. (2020). Using the 5E instructional model in an online environment with pre-service special education teachers. *Journal of Science Education for Students with Disabilities*, *23*(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.14448/jsesd.12.0008>
- Gay, L., Mills, G. E., & Airasian, P. (2012). *Educational research* (10th ed.). Pearson.
- Gerald, B. (2018). A brief review of independent, dependent and one sample t-test. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics*, *4*(2), 50–54. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijamtp.20180402.13>
- Gökalp, M. (2010). A study on the effects of information technologies on university students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *9*, 501–506. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.187>
- Gormally, C., Brickman, P., Hallar, B., & Armstrong, N. (2009). Effects of inquiry-based learning on students' science literacy skills and confidence.

International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 3(2).
<https://doi.org/10.20429/ijstl.2009.030216>

Grau, F. G. I., Valls, C., Piqué, N., & Ruiz-Martín, H. (2021). The long-term effects of introducing the 5E model of instruction on students' conceptual learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 43(9), 1441–1458. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2021.1918354>

Gross, M. E., Zedelius, C. M., & Schooler, J. W. (2020). Cultivating an understanding of curiosity as a seed for creativity. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 35, 77–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2020.07.015>

Guan, J. Q., Wang, L. H., Chen, Q., Jin, K., & Hwang, G. J. (2023). Effects of a virtual reality-based pottery making approach on junior high school students' creativity and learning engagement. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(4), 2016–2032. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1871631>

Hadzigeorgiou, Y., Fokialis, P., & Kabouropoulou, M. (2012). Thinking about creativity in science education. *Creative Education*, 3(5), 603–611. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2012.35089>

Hair, J. F., Black Barry J Babin, W. C., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall.

He, K. (2017). A Theory of creative thinking construction and verification of the dual circulation model. In *Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.*

Herga, N. R., Cagran, B., & Dinevski, D. (2016). Virtual laboratory in the role of dynamic visualisation for better understanding of chemistry in primary school. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 12(3), 593–608. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016.1224a>

Hsu, W. Y., Lin, S. S. J., Chang, S. M., Tseng, Y. H., & Chiu, N. Y. (2015). Examining the diagnostic criteria for Internet addiction: Expert validation. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association*, 114(6), 504–508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2014.03.010>

Hu-Au, E., & Okita, S. (2021). Exploring differences in student learning and behavior between real-life and virtual reality chemistry laboratories. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 30(6), 862–876. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-021-09925-0>

Hu, R., Wu, Y. Y., & Shieh, C. J. (2016). Effects of virtual reality integrated creative thinking instruction on students' creative thinking abilities. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 12(3), 477–486. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016.1226a>

Huang, H.-T., & Chang, Y.-S. (2023). Effects of virtual reality on creative performance and emotions: A study of brainwaves. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 146, 10–15.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2023.107815>

- Ikhsan, J., Sugiyarto, K. H., & Astuti, T. N. (2020). Fostering student's critical thinking through a virtual reality laboratory. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 14(8), 183–195. <https://doi.org/10.3991/IJIM.V14I08.13069>
- Ingledew, J. (2016). *How to have great ideas: A guide to creative thinking*. Hachette UK.
- Iyamuremye, A., Mukiza, J., Nsengimana, T., Kampire, E., Sylvain, H., & Nsabayeze, E. (2023). Knowledge construction in chemistry through web-based learning strategy: A synthesis of literature. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(5), 5585–5604. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11369-x>
- Jensen, L., & Konradsen, F. (2018). A review of the use of virtual reality head-mounted displays in education and training. *Education and Information Technologies*, 23(4), 1515–1529. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-017-9676-0>
- Johnstone, A. H. (2000). Teaching of chemistry - logical or psychological? *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice in Europe*, 1(1), 9–15. <https://doi.org/10.1039/A9RP90001B>
- Kesici, A. (2022). The effect of digital literacy on creative thinking disposition: The mediating role of lifelong learning disposition. *Journal of Learning and Teaching in Digital Age*, 7(2), 260–273. <https://doi.org/10.53850/joltida.1063509>
- Klingenberg, S., Jørgensen, M. L. M., Dandanell, G., Skriver, K., Mottelson, A., & Makransky, G. (2020). Investigating the effect of teaching as a generative learning strategy when learning through desktop and immersive VR: A media and methods experiment. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(6), 2115–2138. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13029>
- Kolil, V. K., & Achuthan, K. (2023). Longitudinal study of teacher acceptance of mobile virtual labs. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(7), 7763–7796. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11499-2>
- Kranzfelder, P., Bankers-Fulbright, J. L., García-Ojeda, M. E., Melloy, M., Mohammed, S., & Abdi-Rizak, M. W. (2020). Undergraduate biology instructors still use mostly teacher-centered discourse even when teaching with active learning strategies. *BioScience*, 70(10), 901–913. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa077>
- Kuhn, J., Lukowicz, P., Hirth, M., Poxrucker, A., Weppner, J., & Younas, J. (2016). gPhysics—Using smart glasses for head-centered, context-aware learning in physics experiments. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 9(4), 304–317. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2016.2554115>

- Kurt, S., & Ayas, A. (2012). Improving students' understanding and explaining real life problems on concepts of reaction rate by using a four step constructivist approach. *Energy Education Science and Technology Part B: Social and Educational Studies*, 4(2), 979–992.
- Lai, T. L., Lin, Y. S., Chou, C. Y., & Yueh, H. P. (2022). Evaluation of an inquiry-based virtual lab for junior high school science classes. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 59(8), 1579–1600. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331211001579>
- Larraz-Rábanos, N. (2021). Development of creative thinking skills in the teaching-learning process. In U. Kayapinar (Ed.), *Teacher Education - New Perspectives* (pp. 1–21). IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.97780>
- Lavalle, S. M. (2023). *Virtual reality*. Cambridge University Press & Assessment.
- Lay, A.-N., & Osman, K. (2018). Developing 21st century chemistry learning through designing digital games. *Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health*, 4(1), 81–92. <https://doi.org/10.21891/jeseh.387499>
- Lee, H., & Boo, E. (2022). The effects of teachers' instructional styles on students' interest in learning school subjects and academic achievement: Differences according to students' gender and prior interest. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2022.102200>
- Li, H., Du, X., Ma, H., Wang, Z., Li, Y., & Wu, J. (2022). The effect of virtual-reality-based restorative environments on creativity. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191912083>
- Li, Y., Chen, D., & Deng, X. (2024). The impact of digital educational games on student's motivation for learning: The mediating effect of learning engagement and the moderating effect of the digital environment. *PLoS ONE*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0294350>
- Li, Z., Cao, Y., & Luo, J. (2023). Application of virtual reality technology in the chemistry teaching process. *Proceedings of the 2022 2nd International Conference on Education, Information Management and Service Science*, 1253–1258. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-024-4_129
- Lin, M. T. Y., Wang, J. S., Kuo, H. M., & Luo, Y. (2017). A study on the effect of virtual reality 3D exploratory education on students' creativity and leadership. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(7), 3151–3161. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00709a>
- Liu, R., Wang, L., Lei, J., Wang, Q., & Ren, Y. (2020). Effects of an immersive virtual reality-based classroom on students' learning performance in science lessons. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(6), 2034–2049.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13028>

- Lucas, B., Claxton, G., & Spencer, E. (2012). *Progression in creativity: Developing new forms of assessment*. CCE.
- Makransky, G., Terkildsen, T. S., & Mayer, R. E. (2019). Adding immersive virtual reality to a science lab simulation causes more presence but less learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 60, 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.12.007>
- Mateu, J., Lasala, M. J., & Alamán, X. (2015). Developing mixed reality educational applications: The virtual touch toolkit. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 15(9), 21760–21784. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s150921760>
- McKnight, K., O'Malley, K., Ruzic, R., Horsley, M., Franey, J. J., & Bassett, K. (2016). Teaching in a digital age: How educators use technology to improve student learning. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 48(3), 194–211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2016.1175856>
- Miller, J. N., & Miller, J. C. (2010). *Statistics and chemometrics for analytical chemistry* (6th ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S2070050413030021>
- Molloy, C., & Farrell, R. (2024). Cultivating positive classroom environments: Exploring the efficacy of immersive technologies in removing barriers to learning among primary school students. *Computers in the Schools*, 41(2), 164–192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07380569.2024.2325441>
- Mu, Z. (2006). *Comparing the statistical tests for homogeneity of variances*. East Tennessee State University.
- Önen, A. S., & Ulusoy, F. M. (2014). Developing the context based chemistry motivation scale: Validity and reliability analysis. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 13(6), 809–820.
- Orhan, A., & Ataman, O. (2024). Investigating the predictive role of reflective thinking and decision making on creative thinking dispositions. *Reflective Practice*, 25(2), 178–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2024.2305921>
- Özgenel, M., & Çetin, M. (2017). Marmara yaratıcı düşünme eğilimleri ölçeğinin geliştirilmesi: Geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışması. *Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 46, 113–132. <https://doi.org/10.15285/maruaebd.335087>
- Pathan, R., Rajendran, R., & Murthy, S. (2020). Mechanism to capture learner's interaction in VR-based learning environment: Design and application. *Smart Learning Environments*, 7(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-020-00143-6>
- Payton, S., & Hague, C. (2010). *Digital literacy in practice: Case studies of primary and secondary classrooms*. Futurelab. [Online].

http://archive.futurelab.org.uk/resources/documents/project_reports/digital_literacy_case_studies.pdf

- Perry, A., & Karpova, E. (2017). Efficacy of teaching creative thinking skills: A comparison of multiple creativity assessments. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 24, 118–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2017.02.017>
- Pinkow, F. (2023). Creative cognition: A multidisciplinary and integrative framework of creative thinking. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 32(3), 472–492. <https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12541>
- Poo, M. C. P., Lau, Y. Y., & Chen, Q. (2023). Are virtual laboratories and remote laboratories enhancing the quality of sustainability education? *Education Sciences*, 13(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13111110>
- Rae, D., Frank, R., & Horae, M. (2023). Creative learning for entrepreneurship. In *Creative Learning for Entrepreneurship*. Octaedro. <https://doi.org/10.36006/90025-1>
- Rahman, A., Masitoh, S., & Mariono, A. (2022). Collaborative learning to improve creative and critical thinking skills: From research design to data analysis. *International Journal of Educational Review*, 4(1), 79–96. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.33369/ijer.v4i1.22016>
- Razali, M. N., & Wah, Y. B. (2011). Power comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling tests. *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics*, 2(I), 21–33.
- Reginald, G. (2023). Teaching and learning using virtual labs: Investigating the effects on students' self-regulation. *Cogent Education*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2172308>
- Richardson, C., Henriksen, D., & Mishra, P. (2017). The courage to be creative: An interview with Dr. Yong Zhao. *TechTrends*, 61(6), 515–519. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-017-0221-1>
- Samosa, R. C. (2021). Mobile virtual laboratory as innovative strategy to improve learners' achievement, attitudes, and learning environment in teaching chemistry. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary: Applied Business and Education Research*, 2(5), 398–400. <https://doi.org/10.11594/ijmaber.02.05.04>
- Sapriadil, S., Setiawan, A., Suhandi, A., Malik, A., Safitri, D., Lisdiani, S. A. S., & Hermita, N. (2019). Effect of higher order thinking virtual laboratory (HOTVL) in electric circuit on students' creative thinking skills. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1204(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1204/1/012025>
- Sarker, M. N. I., Wu, M., Cao, Q., Alam, G. M. M., & Li, D. (2019). Leveraging digital technology for better learning and education: A systematic literature

- review. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 9(7), 453–461. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2019.9.7.1246>
- Schallert, S., Lavicza, Z., & Vandervieren, E. (2022). Towards inquiry-based flipped classroom scenarios: A design heuristic and principles for lesson planning. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 20(2), 277–297. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-021-10167-0>
- Scott-Barrett, J., Johnston, S. K., Denton-Calabrese, T., McGrane, J. A., & Hopfenbeck, T. N. (2023). Nurturing curiosity and creativity in primary school classrooms. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 135, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104356>
- Sesen, B. A., & Tarhan, L. (2011). Active-learning versus teacher-centered instruction for learning acids and bases. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 29(2), 205–226. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2011.581630>
- Singh, Y. K. (2006). *Fundamental of research methodology and statistics*. New Age International (P) Ltd. Publishers.
- Sirhan, G. (2007). Learning difficulties in chemistry: An overview. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 4(2), 2–20.
- Smirnov, N. (1948). Table estimating the goodness of fit empirical distributions. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 19(2), 279–281. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177730256>
- Sola, E., Hoekstra, R., Fiore, S., & McCauley, P. (2017). An investigation of the state of creativity and critical thinking in engineering undergraduates. *Creative Education*, 8(9), 1495–1522. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2017.89105>
- Stroumpouli, C., & Tsaparlis, G. (2022). Chemistry students' conceptual difficulties and problem solving behavior in chemical kinetics, as a component of an introductory physical chemistry course. *Chemistry Teacher International*, 4(3), 279–296. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cti-2022-0005>
- Suardana, I. N., Redhana, I. W., & Selamet, K. (2024). Systematic literature review of chemistry learning to improve students' creative thinking skills. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 10(3), 124–129. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v10i3.5228>
- Sukarso, A., Artayasa, I. P., Bahri, S., & Azizah, A. (2022). Provision of creative teaching materials in improving creative disposition and creative thinking skills of high school students. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 8(6), 2728–2736. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v8i6.2514>
- Taber, K. S. (2018). The use of cronbach's alpha when developing and reporting research instruments in science education. *Research in Science Education*, 48(6), 1273–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2>

- Talanquer, V. (2011). Macro, submicro, and symbolic: The many faces of the chemistry “triplet.” *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(2), 179–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690903386435>
- Talbert, L. E., Bonner, J., Mortezaei, K., Guregyan, C., Henbest, G., & Eichler, J. F. (2020). Revisiting the use of concept maps in a large enrollment general chemistry course: Implementation and assessment. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 21(1), 37–50. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9rp00059c>
- Tarng, W., Lin, Y. J., & Ou, K. L. (2021). A virtual experiment for learning the principle of Daniell cell based on augmented reality. *Applied Sciences*, 11(2), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11020762>
- Tasker, R., & Dalton, R. (2006). Research into practice: Visualisation of the molecular world using animations. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(2), 141–159. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B5RP90020D>
- Tican, C. (2019). Pre-service primary school and pre-school teachers' perception of individual entrepreneurship and opinions about their creative thinking tendency. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, 5(4), 591–606. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ijem.5.4.591>
- Tsai, C. Y., Ho, Y. C., & Nisar, H. (2021). Design and validation of a virtual chemical laboratory—an example of natural science in elementary education. *Applied Sciences*, 11(21). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app112110070>
- Ulger, K. (2019). Comparing the effects of art education and science education on creative thinking in high school students. *Arts Education Policy Review*, 120(2), 57–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10632913.2017.1334612>
- Viitaharju, P., Nieminen, M., Linnera, J., Yliniemi, K., & Karttunen, A. J. (2023). Student experiences from virtual reality-based chemistry laboratory exercises. *Education for Chemical Engineers*, 44, 191–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2023.06.004>
- Wang, Y. Y., Weng, T. H., Tsai, I. F., Kao, J. Y., & Chang, Y. S. (2022). Effects of virtual reality on creativity performance and perceived immersion: A study of brain waves. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 54(2), 581–602. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13264>
- Wilder, M., & Shuttleworth, P. (2005). Cell inquiry: A 5e learning cycle lesson. *Science Activities*, 41(4), 37–43. <https://doi.org/10.3200/sats.41.4.37-43>
- Wu, J., Guo, R., Wang, Z., & Zeng, R. (2021). Integrating spherical video-based virtual reality into elementary school students' scientific inquiry instruction: Effects on their problem-solving performance. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 29(3), 496–509. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1587469>
- Yu, H. (2024). Enhancing creative cognition through project-based learning: An in-

depth scholarly exploration. *Heliyon*, 10(6).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e27706>

Zacharia, Z. C., Olympiou, G., & Papaevripidou, M. (2008). Effects of experimenting with physical and virtual manipulatives on students' conceptual understanding in heat and temperature. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(9), 1021–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20260>

