

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abualigah, A., Davies, J., & Harrington, S. (2021). Religiosity and work engagement: Workload as a moderator. *Stress and Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.3042>
- Afsar, B., & Umrani, W. A. (2020). Transformational leadership and innovative work behavior: The role of motivation to learn, task complexity and innovation climate. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 23(3), 402–428. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-01-2020-0008>
- Afsar, B., Al-Ghazali, B., Ehtisham, S., & Javed, F. (2020). Cultural intelligence and innovative work behavior: The role of work engagement and interpersonal trust. *European Journal of Innovation Management*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-01-2020-0008>
- Afthanorhan, W. M. A. B. W. (2014). Hierarchical component using reflective-formative measurement model in partial least square structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). *International Journal of Mathematics*, 2(2), 33–49.
- Agarwal, U. A. (2014). Examining the impact of social exchange relationships on innovative work behaviour: Role of work engagement. *Team Performance Management*, 20(3–4), 102–120. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TPM-01-2013-0004>
- Agarwal, U. A., Datta, S., Blake-Beard, S., & Bhargava, S. (2012). Linking LMX, innovative work behaviour and turnover intentions: The mediating role of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 17(3), 208–230. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13620431211241063>
- Agarwal, U. A. (2014). Linking justice, trust, and innovative work behaviour to work engagement. *Personnel Review*, 43(1), 41–73.
- Ahmed, U., Majid, A., Al-Aali, L., & Mozammel, S. (2019). Can meaningful work really moderate the relationship between supervisor support, coworker support and work engagement? *Management Science Letters*, 9(2), 229–242.
- Akbari, M., Bagheri, A., Imani, S., & Asadnezhad, M. (2021). Does entrepreneurial leadership encourage innovation work behavior? The mediating role of creative self-efficacy and support for innovation. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 24(1), 1–22.
- Akhtar, F., Khan, H., & Suleman, A. (2018). The impact of psychological capital, supervisor support and risk tolerance in managers on innovative work behavior. *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, 7(3), 632–645.
- Al Badi, F. M., Cherian, J., Farouk, S., & Al Nahyan, M. (2023). Work engagement and job performance among nurses in the public healthcare sector in the United Arab Emirates. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 17(5), 1019–1041. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-06-2022-0216>
- Albort-Morant, G., Ariza-Montes, A., Leal-Rodríguez, A., & Giorgi, G. (2020). How does positive work-related stress affect the degree of innovation development? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(2), 520. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17020520>

- AlEssa, H. S., & Durugbo, C. M. (2022). Systematic review of innovative work behavior concepts and contributions. *Management Review Quarterly*, 72(4), 1171–1208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-021-00239-6>
- Ali, A., Farooq, W., & Khalid, M. A. (2020). The relationship between organisational climate for innovation and innovative work behaviour: Mediating role of employee engagement in Pakistan. *Malaysian Management Journal*, 24, 195–218.
- Ali, H., Li, M., & Qiu, X. (2022). Employee engagement and innovative work behavior among Chinese millennials: Mediating and moderating role of work-life balance and psychological empowerment. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 942580. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.942580>
- Alkharusi, H. (2022). A descriptive analysis and interpretation of data from Likert scales in educational and psychological research. *Indian Journal of Psychology and Education*, 12(2), 13–16.
- Amabile, T. M., Conti, R., Coon, H., Lazenby, J., & Herron, M. (1996). Assessing the work environment for creativity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(5), 1154–1184. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256995>
- Anasi, S. N. (2020). Perceived influence of work relationship, workload and physical work environment on job satisfaction of librarians in South-West, Nigeria. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*, 69(6/7), 377–398. <https://doi.org/10.1108/GKMC-09-2019-0102>
- Arundel, A., Bloch, C., & Ferguson, B. (2019). Advancing innovation in the public sector: Aligning innovation measurement with policy goals. *Research Policy*, 48(3), 789–798. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2018.10.026>
- Australian Government Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources. (2020). *Public sector innovation fund*. <https://www.industry.gov.au/>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209–223.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2016). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273–285. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000056>
- Bakker, A. B., & Leiter, M. P. (Eds.). (2010). *Work engagement: A handbook of essential theory and research*. Psychology Press.
- Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., De Boer, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2003). Job demands and job resources as predictors of absence duration and frequency. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 62(2), 341–356. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8791\(02\)00030-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8791(02)00030-1)
- Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., Hakanen, J. J., & Xanthopoulou, D. (2007). Job resources boost work engagement, particularly when job demands are high. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(2), 274–284. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.99.2.274>

- Bakker, A. B., Schaufeli, W. B., Leiter, M. P., & Taris, T. W. (2008). Work engagement: An emerging concept in occupational health psychology. *Work & Stress*, 22(3), 187–200.
- Balwant, P. T., Mohammed, R., & Singh, R. (2020). Transformational leadership and employee engagement in Trinidad's service sector: The role of job resources. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 15(4), 691–715.
- Baqir, M., Hussain, S., Waseem, R., & Islam, K. A. (2020). Impact of reward and recognition, supervisor support on employee engagement. *American International Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 2(3), 8–21.
- Baumgartner, H., & Steenkamp, J. B. E. (2001). Response styles in marketing research: A cross-national investigation. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 38(2), 143–156.
- Baumgartner, H., & Weijters, B. (2012). Commentary on “Common method bias in marketing: Causes, mechanisms, and procedural remedies.” *Journal of Retailing*, 88(4), 563–566.
- Becker, J. M., Klein, K., & Wetzels, M. (2012). Hierarchical latent variable models in PLS-SEM: Guidelines for using reflective-formative type models. *Long Range Planning*, 45(5–6), 359–394.
- Bekmezci, M., Rehman, W. U., Khurshid, M., Eroğlu, K., & Trout, I. Y. (2022). The need to be unique and the innovative behavior: The moderating role of supervisor support. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 979909. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.979909>
- Bhanthumnavin, D. (2003). Perceived social support from supervisor and group members' psychological and situational characteristics as predictors of subordinate performance in Thai work units. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 14(1), 79–97. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.1051>
- Bjornberg, N. H. (2017). *Creativity and innovation through the job demands-resources model* (Doctoral dissertation). Old Dominion University. <https://doi.org/10.25777/rrhz-sz03>
- BKN. (2023). *Buku Statistik Aparatur Sipil Negara Semester I 2023*. Badan Kepegawaian Negara.
- Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. Wiley.
- Bollen, K. A. (1989). *Structural equations with latent variables*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118619179>
- Boone, H. N., Jr., & Boone, D. A. (2012). Analyzing Likert data. *The Journal of Extension*, 50(2), Article 2TOT2.
- Bos-Nehles, A. C., Bondarouk, T., & Nijenhuis, K. (2017). Innovative work behaviour in knowledge-intensive public sector organizations: The case of supervisors in the Netherlands fire services. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(2), 379–398. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1244894>

- Bos-Nehles, A., Renkema, M., & Janssen, M. (2017). HRM and innovative work behaviour: A systematic literature review. *Personnel Review*, 46(7), 1228–1253. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-09-2016-0257>
- BPS. (2020). *Road map reformasi birokrasi BPS 2020–2024*. Badan Pusat Statistik.
- BPS. (2023a). *Hasil survei budaya organisasi Badan Pusat Statistik 2022*. Badan Pusat Statistik.
- BPS. (2023b). *Inovasi di BPS*. <https://inovasi.bps.go.id/web/home>
- BPS. (2023c). *Laporan kinerja instansi pemerintah Badan Pusat Statistik 2022*. Badan Pusat Statistik.
- Burke, R. J. (2003). Hospital restructuring, workload, and nursing staff satisfaction and work experiences. *The Health Care Manager*, 22(2), 99–107.
- Byrne, B. M. (1994). Replication, and invariance of causal structure. *American Educational Research Journal*, 31(3), 645–673.
- Bysted, R., & Hansen, J. R. (2015). Comparing public and private sector employees' innovative behaviour: Understanding the role of job and organizational characteristics, job types, and subsectors. *Public Management Review*, 17(5), 698–717. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2013.841977>
- Bysted, R., & Jespersen, K. R. (2014). Exploring managerial mechanisms that influence innovative work behaviour: Comparing private and public employees. *Public Management Review*, 16(2), 217–241. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2013.806576>
- Cao, C., Shang, L., & Meng, Q. (2020). Applying the Job Demands-Resources model to exploring predictors of innovative teaching among university teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 89, 103009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.103009>
- Casner, S. M., & Gore, B. F. (2010). *Measuring and evaluating workload: A primer* (NASA Technical Memorandum 216395). NASA.
- Cavanaugh, M. A., Boswell, W. R., Roehling, M. V., & Boudreau, J. W. (2000). An empirical examination of self-reported work stress among U.S. managers. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(1), 65–74.
- Cenfetelli, R. T., & Bassellier, G. (2009). Interpretation of formative measurement in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(4), 689–707.
- Chatchawan, R., Trichandhara, K., & Rinthaisong, I. (2017). Factors affecting innovative work behavior of employees in local administrative organizations in the south of Thailand. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management*, 4(3), 154–157. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ijssm.v4i3.17755>
- Chen, T., Li, F., & Leung, K. (2016). When does supervisor support encourage innovative behavior? Opposite moderating effects of general self-efficacy and internal locus of control. *Personnel Psychology*, 69(1), 123–158.
- Chheda, K. (2020). *Effects of nurses' workload on creativity and innovation: Examining the role of trait mindfulness as a moderator* [Unpublished thesis/dissertation].

- Choi, J. N., & Chang, J. Y. (2009). Innovation implementation in the public sector: An integration of institutional and collective dynamics. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(1), 245–253.
- Chughtai, A. A., & Buckley, F. (2011). Work engagement: Antecedents, the mediating role of learning goal orientation and job performance. *Career Development International*, 16(7), 684–705.
- Ciavolino, E., Ferrante, L., Sternativo, G. A., Cheah, J. H., Rollo, S., Marinaci, T., & Venuleo, C. (2022). A confirmatory composite analysis for the Italian validation of the interactions anxiousness scale: A higher-order version. *Behaviormetrika*, 49, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41237-022-00160-z>
- Cole, M. S., Bruch, H., & Vogel, B. (2004, August). Emotions as mediators of perceived supervisor support and psychological hardiness on cynicism. In *Academy of Management Proceedings* (Vol. 2004, No. 1, pp. Q1–Q6). Academy of Management. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2004.13862297>
- Coltman, T., Devinney, T. M., Midgley, D. F., & Venaik, S. (2008). Formative versus reflective measurement models: Two applications of formative measurement. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(12), 1250–1262.
- Crawford, E. R., Lepine, J. A., & Rich, B. L. (2010). Linking job demands and resources to employee engagement and burnout: A theoretical extension and meta-analytic test. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(5), 834–848.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications Inc.
- Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 874–900. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206305279602>
- Damanpour, F. (1991). Organizational innovation: A meta-analysis of effects of determinants and moderators. *Academy of Management Journal*, 34(3), 550–590.
- Damayanti, M. K. (2023). Perencanaan kebutuhan pegawai berdasarkan analisis beban kerja melalui metode FTE (Full Time Equivalent) pada PT. X. *Civil Service Journal*, 17(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.61133/pns.v17i1.388>
- Darvishmotevali, M. (2019). Decentralization and innovative behavior: The moderating role of supervisor support. *International Journal of Organizational Leadership*, 8, 31–45.
- De Jong, J., & Den Hartog, D. (2010). Measuring innovative work behaviour. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 19(1), 23–36.
- De Spiegelaere, S., Van Gyes, G., & Van Hootegem, G. (2014). The innovative work behaviour concept: Definition and orientation. *Work Organisation and Employee Involvement in Europe*, 27(2), 39–51.
- De Vries, H., Bekkers, V., & Tummers, L. (2016). Innovation in the public sector: A systematic review and future research agenda. *Public Administration*, 94(1), 146–166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12209>

- Deci, E. L., Connell, J. P., & Ryan, R. M. (1989). Self-determination in a work organization. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74(4), 580–590. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.74.4.580>
- Dediu, V., Leka, S., & Jain, A. (2018). Job demands, job resources and innovative work behaviour: A European Union study. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 27(3), 310–323. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2018.1444604>
- Demerouti, E., & Bakker, A. B. (2011). The job demands-resources model: Challenges for future research. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 37(2), 1–9.
- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499–512.
- Devloo, T., Anseel, F., De Beuckelaer, A., & Salanova, M. (2015). Keep the fire burning: Reciprocal gains of basic need satisfaction, intrinsic motivation and innovative work behaviour. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 24(4), 491–504.
- Dixit, A., & Upadhyay, Y. (2021). Role of JD-R model in upticking innovative work behaviour among higher education faculty. *RAUSP Management Journal*, 56(2), 156–169. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RAUSP-03-2020-0060>
- Doğru, Ç. (2018). The relationship between perceived support and innovative behavior: Analyzing the mediating role of work engagement. *İşletme Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 10(2), 384–402.
- Eatough EM, Chang CH, Miloslavic SA and Johnson RE (2011) Relationships of role stressors with organizational citizenship behavior: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 96(3): 619–632.
- Eisenberger, R., Fasolo, p., and Davis-LaMastro, V. (1990). Perceived organizational support and employee diligence, commitment, and innovation. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 75, 51–59. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.75.1.51.
- Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R. (1986). Perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(3), 500–507.
- Eisenberger, R., Stinglhamber, F., Vandenberghe, C., Sucharski, I. L., & Rhoades, L. (2002). Perceived supervisor support: contributions to perceived organizational support and employee retention. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(3), 565.
- Eva, N., Meacham, H., Newman, A., Schwarz, G., & Tham, T. L. (2019). Is coworker feedback more important than supervisor feedback for increasing innovative behavior?. *Human Resource Management*, 58(4), 383–396.
- Evitha, Y., Vikaliana, R., Sapta, A., & Abdul, F. W. (2021). Description And Causes Of Having Work Engagement In Employees. *Ilomata International Journal of Management*, 2(3), 206–215.
- Fan, L., Mahmood, M., & Uddin, M. A. (2019). Supportive Chinese supervisor, innovative international students: A social exchange theory perspective. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 20, 101–115.

- Farr, J. and Ford, C. (1990). Individual Innovation. In West, M. and Farr, J. (eds.), *Managing Innovation*. Sage, London.
- Fournier, P.-S., Montreuil, S., & Brun, J.-P. (2011). Exploratory study to identify workload factors that have an impact on health and safety: A case study in the service sector.
- Fritz, C., Lam, C. F., & Spreitzer, G. M. (2011). It's the little things that matter: An examination of knowledge workers' energy management. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 25(3), 28–39. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMP.2011.63886528>
- Fuller, C. M., Simmering, M. J., Atinc, G., Atinc, Y., & Babin, B. J. (2016). Common methods variance detection in business research. *Journal of business research*, 69(8), 3192-3198.
- Gagné, M. & Deci, E.L. (2005). Self-determination theory and work motivation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 331-362.
- Gagnon, M. A., and Michael, J. H. (2004). Outcomes of perceived supervisor support for wood production employees. *For. Prod. J.* 54, 172–177.
- Galanakis, M. D., & Tsitouri, E. (2022). Positive psychology in the working environment. Job demands-resources theory, work engagement and burnout: A systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1022102.
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2003). *SPSS for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference*. 11.0 Update (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Gilboa S, Shirom A, Fried Y and Cooper C (2008) A meta-analysis of work demand stressors and job performance: Examining main and moderating effects. *Personnel Psychology* 61(2): 227–271.
- Gouldner, A. W. (1960). The norm of reciprocity: a preliminary statement. *American Sociological Review*, 25(2).
- Government of Canada (2017). "Innovation and Skills Plan." https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2017/fin/F1-23-2017-1-eng.pdf
- Guest, D. (2014). Employee engagement: A sceptical analysis. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 1(2), 141-156.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Howard, M. C., & Nitzl, C. (2020). Assessing measurement model quality in PLS-SEM using confirmatory composite analysis. *Journal of business research*, 109, 101-110.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). *Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) using R: A workbook* (p. 197). Springer Nature.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Anderson, R. E., & Babin, B. J. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.). London: Cengage Learning.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage.

- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202>
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2–24.
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Gudergan, S. P. (2018). *Advanced issues in partial least squares structural equation modeling*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- Hair, J., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2016). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Hakanen, J. J., & Roodt, G. (2010). Using the job demands-resources model to predict engagement: Analyzing a conceptual model. In A. B. Bakker, & M. P. Leiter (Eds.). *Work engagement: A handbook of essential theory and research* (pp. 85–101). New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Hakanen, J.J., Bakker, A.B., & Schaufeli, W.B. (2006). Burnout and work engagement among teachers. *Journal of School Psychology*. 43(6), 495-513.
- Hammer, L.B., Kossek, E.E., Anger, W.K., Bodner, T., & Zimmerman, K.L. (2011). Clarifying work-family intervention processes: the roles of work-family conflict and family-supportive supervisor behaviors. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(1), 134-150.
- Han, J., Liu, N., & Wang, F. (2022). Graduate Students' Perceived Supervisor support and Innovative Behavior in Research: The Mediation Effect of Creative Self-Efficacy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 875266.
- Hansen, J. A., & Pihl-thingvad, S. (2018). Managing employee innovative behaviour through transformational and transactional leadership styles. *Public Management Review*, 00(00), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2018.1544272>
- Hart, S. G. (2006). NASA-task load index (NASA-TLX); 20 years later. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the human factors and ergonomics society annual meeting.
- Hart, S. G., & Staveland, L. E. (1988). Development of NASA-TLX (task load index): results of empirical and theoretical research. *Human Mental Workload*, 139–183.
- Hashim, K. L. (2021). Enhancing Innovative Work Behaviour of Malaysian Public Sector Employees. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 6(2), 253–265. <https://doi.org/10.47405/mjssh.v6i2.665>
- Henseler, J. (2018). Partial least squares path modeling: Quo vadis? *Quality & Quantity*, 52(1), 1-8.
- Henseler, J., Dijkstra, T. K., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Diamantopoulos, A., Straub, D. W., ... & Calantone, R. J. (2014). Common beliefs and reality about PLS: Comments on Rönkkö and Evermann (2013). *Organizational research methods*, 17(2), 182-209.

- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. In *New challenges to international marketing* (pp. 277-319). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Hertzum, M. (2021). Reference values and subscale patterns for the task load index (TLX): a meta-analytic review. 2021; 64 (7): 869–78.
- Hidayah Ibrahim, S. N., Suan, C. L., & Karatepe, O. M. (2019). The effects of supervisor support and self-efficacy on call center employees' work engagement and quitting intentions. *International Journal of Manpower*, 40(4), 688-703.
- Horan, K. A., Nakahara, W. H., DiStaso, M. J., & Jex, S. M. (2020). A review of the challenge-hindrance stress model: Recent advances, expanded paradigms, and recommendations for future research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 560346.
- Hughes, D. J., Lee, A., Tian, A. W., Newman, A., & Legood, A. (2018). Leadership, creativity, and innovation: A critical review and practical recommendations. *Leadership Quarterly*, 29(5), 549–569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2018.03.001>
- Ijje, E. H., Zhen, M., Korankye, B., Province, J., Province, J., & Province, J. (2021). Exploring The Impact Of Workload On Employee Innovative Behavior In Nigerian Manufacturing Sector: 6(01), 58–77.
- Imam, H., Sahi, A., & Farasat, M. (2023). The roles of supervisor support, employee engagement and internal communication in performance: a social exchange perspective. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 28(3), 489-505.
- Imanuddin, M. (2016). One Agency One Innovation Dan Telaah Keilmuaannya. Menpan.Go.Id. <https://www.menpan.go.id/site/cerita-sukses-rb/one-agency-one-innovation-dan-telaah-keilmuaannya>
- IMF. (2009). *System of National Accounts 2008*. New York, 2009.
- Inegbedion, H., Inegbedion, E., Peter, A., & Harry, L. (2020). Perception of workload balance and employee job satisfaction in work organisations. *Heliyon*, 6(1).
- Iqbal, A., Nazir, T., & Ahmad, M. S. (2020). Entrepreneurial leadership and employee innovative behavior: an examination through multiple theoretical lenses. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 25(1), 173–190. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-06-2020-0212>
- Jankelová, N., Joniaková, Z., & Mišún, J. (2021). Innovative Work Behavior—A Key Factor in Business Performance? The Role of Team Cognitive Diversity and Teamwork Climate in This Relationship. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14040185>
- Janssen, O. (2000). Job demands, perceptions of effort-reward fairness and innovative work behaviour. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 73(3), 287–302. <https://doi.org/10.1348/096317900167038>
- Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). A critical review of construct indicators and measurement model misspecification in marketing and consumer research. *Journal of consumer research*, 30(2), 199-218.

- Jia, K., Zhu, T., Zhang, W., Rasool, S. F., Asghar, A., & Chin, T. (2022). The linkage between ethical leadership, well-being, work engagement, and innovative work behavior: the empirical evidence from the higher education sector of China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(9), 5414.
- Jin, M. H., & McDonald, B. (2017). Understanding employee engagement in the public sector: The role of immediate supervisor, perceived organizational support, and learning opportunities. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 47(8), 881-897.
- Johari, J., Yean Tan, F., & Tjik Zulkarnain, Z. I. (2018). Autonomy, workload, work-life balance and job performance among teachers. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 32(1), 107–120.
- Johnstone, R. (2023). Public servants around the world raise alarm over increasing workload. <https://www.globalgovernmentforum.com/public-servants-around-the-world-raise-alarm-over-increasing-workload/>
- Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692–724.
- Kaiyom, S. A., Rahman, R. A., & Wan, W. S. (2021). The Effect of Workload , Supervisor Support and Co-Workers Support on Work Engagement among Teachers. September. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i8/10878>
- Kalliath, P., Kalliath, T., Chan, X. W., & Chan, C. (2020). Enhancing job satisfaction through work–family enrichment and perceived supervisor support: The case of Australian social workers. *Personnel Review*, 49(9), 2055-2072.
- Kanter, Rosabeth. (2013). Chapter 7. When a Thousand Flowers Bloom: Structural, Collective, and Social Conditions for Innovation in Organizations. *Research in Organizational Behavior*. 10. 10.1016/B978-0-7506-9749-1.50010-7.
- Karasek, R. A., Triantis, K. P., & Chaudhry, S. S. (1982). Coworker and supervisor support as moderators of associations between task characteristics and mental strain. *Journal of Occupational Behaviour*, 3(2), 181-200.
- Karatepe, O. M. (2011). Procedural justice, work engagement, and job outcomes: Evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, 20(8), 855–878. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2011.577688>
- Katou, A. A., Budhwar, P. S., & Patel, C. (2021). A trilogy of organizational ambidexterity: Leader’s social intelligence, employee work engagement and environmental changes. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 688-700.
- Kemenpan-RB. (2021a). Peraturan Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara Dan Reformasi Birokrasi Republik Indonesia Nomor 91 Tahun 2021 Tentang Pembinaan Inovasi Pelayanan Publik.
- Kemenpan-RB. (2021b). Menakar Hasil Penyederhanaan Birokrasi. [Menpan.Go.Id. https://menpan.go.id/site/berita-terkini/menakar-hasil-penyederhanaan-birokrasi](https://menpan.go.id/site/berita-terkini/menakar-hasil-penyederhanaan-birokrasi)
- Kemenpan-RB. (2022). Pentingnya Reformasi Birokrasi Pelayanan Publik dalam Menumbuhkan Inovasi Berkelanjutan. [Menpan.Go.Id.](https://menpan.go.id)

- <https://www.menpan.go.id/site/berita-terkini/pentingnya-reformasi-birokrasi-pelayanan-publik-dalam-menumbuhkan-inovasi-berkelanjutan>
- Kemenpan-RB. (2023a). Appreciation Day ASN Culture Festival 2023.
- Kemenpan-RB. (2023b). Laporan Pengukuran Survei Pemetaan & Pengukuran Budaya Kerja ASN-Indeks BerAKHLAK-Badan Pusat Statistik, Pengukuran Tahun 2022.
- Kemenpan-RB. (2023c). Laporan Pengukuran Survei Pemetaan & Pengukuran Budaya Kerja ASN-INDEKS BerAKHLAK-Badan Pusat Statistik, Pengukuran Tahun 2023.
- Kemenpan-RB. (2023d). Wujudkan Pemerintahan Lincih, Kementerian PANRB Sederhanakan 48 Ribu Struktur Organisasi Berbagai Instansi. Menpan.Go.Id. <https://www.menpan.go.id/site/berita-terkini/wujudkan-pemerintahan-lincih-kementerian-panrb-sederhanakan-48-ribu-struktur-organisasi-berbagai-instansi>
- Kemenpan-RB. (2024). Penguatan Nilai-Nilai Dasar ASN (Budaya Kerja ASN BerAKHLAK) Sesuai Undang-Undang No 20 Tahun 2023 di Kementerian Dalam Negeri.
- Kemsetneg. (2016). Presiden: Urusan Data Pegangannya Hanya Satu, Data BPS. Setneg.Go.Id. https://www.setneg.go.id/baca/index/presiden_urusan_data_pegangannya_hanya_satu_data_bps
- Khan, A. R., & Shamsi, A. F. (2021). Determinants of Innovative Work Behavior – A Model of Innovative Work Behavior for IT Sector Professionals Through The Application of Jd-R Theory. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 2(2), 119–141. [https://doi.org/10.53575/irjmss.v2.2\(2\)12.119-141](https://doi.org/10.53575/irjmss.v2.2(2)12.119-141)
- Khan, A. R., & Shamsi, A. F. (2022). Leading the Innovation: Role of Eustress and Work engagement as Simultaneous Mediators Between JD-R and Innovative work behavior. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(2), 218-228.
- Khan, N. A., & Khan, A. N. (2019). What followers are saying about transformational leaders fostering employee innovation via organisational learning, knowledge sharing and social media use in public organisations? *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.07.003>
- Khan, T. I., Kaewsaeng-On, R., & Saeed, I. (2019). Impact of workload on innovative performance: Moderating role of extrovert. *Humanities and Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(5), 123–133. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7516>
- Kim, W., Kim, Jiyoung, Park. J. (2018). Linking perceived organizational and supervisor support on innovative work behavior: Mediating roles of work engagement and knowledge sharing. *The Korean Journal of Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 20(4), 29-56.
- Kim, W., Kolb, J. A., & Kim, T. (2013). The Relationship Between Work Engagement and Performance: A Review of Empirical Literature and a

- Proposed Research Agenda. *Human Resource Development Review*, 12(3), 248–276. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484312461635>
- Kleysen, R. F., & Street, C. T. (2001). Toward a multi-dimensional measure of individual innovative behavior. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 2(3), 248–296.
- Knol, J., & van Linge, R. (2009). Innovative behaviour: the effect of structural and psychological empowerment on nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 65(2), 359-370.
- Kock, F., Berbekova, A., & Assaf, A. G. (2021). Understanding and managing the threat of common method bias: Detection, prevention and control. *Tourism Management*, 86, 104330.
- Komisi-XI DPR. (2022). Data BPS Bisa Jadi Landasan Untuk Kebijakan Publik. Dpr.Go.Id. [https://www.dpr.go.id/berita/detail/id/39340/t/Data BPS Bisa Jadi Landasan Untuk Kebijakan Publik](https://www.dpr.go.id/berita/detail/id/39340/t/Data%20BPS%20Bisa%20Jadi%20Landasan%20Untuk%20Kebijakan%20Publik)
- Kompas. (2022). BPS Gelar Regsosek 2022 untuk Tingkatkan Kesejahteraan Masyarakat. https://www.kompas.id/baca/adv_post/bps-gelar-regsosek-2022-untuk-tingkatkan-kesejahteraan-masyarakat
- Kompas. (2023a). Ada Instansi Pemerintah yang Disebut Langgar Aturan Cuti Bersama, BPS: Ada Kesalahpahaman. Kompas.Com. <https://www.kompas.com/tren/read/2023/07/08/070000965/ada-instansi-pemerintah-yang-disebut-langgar-aturan-cuti-bersama-bps-ada?page=all>
- Kompas. (2023b). Luncurkan Sensus Pertanian 2023, Jokowi: Terakhir 10 Tahun Lalu.
- Koroglu, Ş., & Ozmen, O. (2022). The mediating effect of work engagement on innovative work behavior and the role of psychological well-being in the job demands–resources (JD-R) model. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 14(1), 124-144.
- Kottke, J. L., & Sharafinski, C. E. (1988). Measuring perceived supervisory and organizational support. *Educational and psychological Measurement*, 48(4), 1075-1079.
- Krause, D. (2004). Influence-based leadership as a determinant of the inclination to innovate and of innovation-related behaviors. An empirical investigation. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 15, 79–102.
- Krause, T. (2007). The effective safety leader: Personality, values and emotional commitment. *Occupational Hazards*, 69, 9, 24.
- Kwon, K., & Kim, T. (2020). An integrative literature review of employee engagement and innovative behavior: Revisiting the JD-R model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100704>
- Ladyshevsky, R. K., & Taplin, R. (2018). The interplay between organisational learning culture, the manager as coach, self-efficacy and workload on employee work engagement. *International journal of evidence based coaching and mentoring*, 16(2), 3-19.

- Le Hoang, L. N., Nguyen, T. T. H., & Ho, V. A. (2021). Fostering innovative behavior in the aviation industry: The role of perceived supervisor support and work group diversity. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*.
- Lee, L.-Y., & Veasna, S. (2013). The Effects of Social Exchange Perspective on Employee Creativity: A Multilevel Investigation. *Journal of Psychology Research*.
- LePine JA, Podsakoff NP and LePine MA (2005) A meta-analytic test of the challenge stressor-hindrance stressor framework: An explanation for inconsistent relationships among stressors and performance. *Academy of Management Journal* 48(5): 764–775.
- Lind, D. A., Marchal, W. G., & Wathen, S. A. (2021). *Statistical techniques in business & economics*. McGraw-hill.
- Lo Presti, A., Kertechian, S. K., & Landolfi, A. (2020). Does the association between workload and work engagement depend on being workaholic? A cross-cultural study on Italian and Canadian employees. *Electronic Journal of Applied Statistical Analysis*, 13(3), 589-611.
- Luu, T. T. (2019). Can diversity climate shape service innovative behavior in Vietnamese and Brazilian tour companies? The role of work passion. *Tourism Management*, 72(December 2018), 326–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2018.12.011>
- Mackay, M. M., Allen, J. A., & Landis, R. S. (2017). Investigating the incremental validity of employee engagement in the prediction of employee effectiveness: A metaanalytic path analysis. *Human Resource Management Review*, 27(1), 108–120.
- MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, P. M. (2012). Common method bias in marketing: Causes, mechanisms, and procedural remedies. *Journal of retailing*, 88(4), 542-555.
- Malkoc, N., & Dal, S. (2020). The Relationship between Perceived Manager Support and Work Commitments of Sports Instructors. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 15(7), 395-402.
- Manuaba, I. A. K. A., & Hidayat, A. E. (2019, August). Increasing the Engagement of Millennials: Finding Autonomy and Salience in High Workload. In 2nd International Conference on Intervention and Applied Psychology (ICIAP 2018) (pp. 861-869). Atlantis Press.
- Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (1997). *The truth about burnout: How organizations cause personal stress and what to do about it*. Jossey-Bass.
- Memon, A. H., & Rahman, I. A. (2014). SEM-PLS analysis of inhibiting factors of cost performance for large construction projects in Malaysia: Perspective of clients and consultants. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014(1), 165158.
- Memon, M. A., Salleh, R., Nordin, S. M., Cheah, J.-H., Ting, H., & Chuah, F. (2018). Person-organisation fit and turnover intention: the mediating role of work engagement. *Journal of Management Development*, 37(3), 285–298.
- Mer, A., Viridi, A. S., & Sengupta, S. (2023). Unleashing the antecedents and consequences of work engagement in NGOs through the lens of JD-R Model:

- Empirical evidence from India. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 34(4), 721-733.
- Miao, Q., Newman, A., Schwarz, G., & Cooper, B. (2018). How Leadership and Public Service Motivation Enhance Innovative Behavior. *Public Administration Review*, 78(1), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12839>
- Mishra, P., Bhatnagar, J., Gupta, R., & Wadsworth, S. M. (2019). How work–family enrichment influence innovative work behavior: Role of psychological capital and supervisory support. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 25(1), 58-80.
- Mohamed, S. A., & Ali, M. (2016). The importance of supervisor support for employees' affective commitment: An analysis of job satisfaction. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 6(2), 435-439.
- Montani, F., Vandenberghe, C., Khedhaouria, A., & Courcy, F. (2020). Examining the inverted U-shaped relationship between workload and innovative work behavior: The role of work engagement and mindfulness. *Human Relations*, 73(1), 59–93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726718819055>
- Mubarak, N., Khan, J., Yasmin, R., & Osmadi, A. (2021). The impact of a proactive personality on innovative work behavior: the role of work engagement and transformational leadership. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 42(7), 989-1003.
- Muchiri, M. K., McMurray, A., Nkhoma, M., & Pham, H. C. (2020). Mapping Antecedents of Innovative Work Behavior : A Conceptual Review Mapping Antecedents of Innovative Work Behavior : A Conceptual Review. January. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2020.0047>
- Muktar, N. H., & Kassie, M. G. (2022). The Link between LMX, Engagement, Job Characteristics, and Workload on Innovative Performance: A Three-Way, Moderated Mediation Model. *International Journal on Leadership*, 10(2).
- Mulligan, R., Ramos, J., Martín, P., & Zornoza, A. (2021). Inspiring innovation: The effects of leader-member exchange (LMX) on innovative behavior as mediated by mindfulness and work engagement. *Sustainability*, 13(10), 5409.
- Nguyen, N. P., & McGuirk, H. (2022). Evaluating the effect of multifactors on employee's innovative behavior in SMEs: mediating effects of thriving at work and organizational commitment. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 34(12), 4458-4479.
- Nisula, A. M. (2015). The relationship between supervisor support and individual improvisation. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 36(5), 473-488.
- Nitzl, C., & Chin, W. W. (2017). The case of partial least squares (PLS) path modeling in managerial accounting research. *Journal of Management Control*, 28, 137-156.
- OECD. (2023). *Global Trends in Government Innovation 2023*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1787/0655b570-en>.

- Ombudsman. (2023). Pelayanan Publik Kita Masih Buruk. Ombudsman.Go.Id. <https://ombudsman.go.id/artikel/r/pwkinternal--pelayanan-publik-kita-masih-buruk>
- Othman, M. A., & Durmaz, O. (2022). Exploring the effect of supportive culture on employee's innovative behavior: The role of training and supervisor support. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science* (2147-4478), 11(1), 99-107.
- Parker, S. K., Bindl, U. K., & Strauss, K. (2010). Making things happen: A model of proactive motivation. *Journal of Management*, 36(4), 827–856. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310363732>
- Pattnaik, S. C., & Panda, N. (2020). Supervisor support, work engagement and turnover intentions: evidence from Indian call centres. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 14(5), 621–635. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-08-2019-0261>
- Pinela, N., Guevara, R., & Armijos, M. (2022). Entrepreneurial leadership, work engagement, and innovative work behavior: The moderating role of gender. *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 10(2), 19-40.
- Pires, M. L. (2021). The Impact of Supervisor support on Employee-Related Outcomes Through Work engagement. In *Eurasian Business Perspectives: Proceedings of the 29th Eurasia Business and Economics Society Conference* (pp. 3-18). Springer International Publishing.
- Podsakoff, N. P., LePine, J. A., & LePine, M. A. (2007). Differential challenge stressor-hindrance stressor relationships with job attitudes, turnover intentions, turnover, and withdrawal behavior: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(2), 438–454.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations on how to control it. *Annual review of psychology*, 63(1), 539-569.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of applied psychology*, 88(5), 879.
- Podsakoff, N. P., Shen, W., & Podsakoff, P. M. (2006). The role of formative measurement models in strategic management research: review, critique, and implications for future research. *Research methodology in strategy and management*, 3, 197-252.
- Purwohedi, U. (2022). Metode Penelitian Prinsip dan Praktik (T. RAS (ed.); II). Raih Asa Sukses (Penebar Swadaya Grup).
- Putrawan, I. M. (2019). Pengujian Hipotesis dalam Penelitian-Penelitian. Alfabeta.
- Putri. (2023). Kemenkop UKM - BPS Sepakat Perkuat Pendataan Koperasi dan UMKM 2023. Infopublik.Id. <https://www.infopublik.id/kategori/nasional-ekonomi-bisnis/758483/kemenkop-ukm-bps-sepakat-perkuat-pendataan-koperasi-dan-umkm-2023>

- Putri, A. R. (2023). *Kepemimpinan Inovatif dalam Mendorong Inovasi Pelayanan Publik di Dinas Kesehatan Kota Semarang*. Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan dan Politik, 12(2), 123–135.
- Radic, A., Arjona-Fuentes, J. M., Ariza-Montes, A., Han, H., & Law, R. (2020). Job demands–job resources (JD-R) model, work engagement, and well-being of cruise ship employees. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 88, 102518.
- Rahmawati, D., & Pusparini, E. S. (2023). The Effect of Flexible Working Arrangements and Perceived Supervisor support on Work engagement and Employee Performance: An Empirical Study of Government Financial Organization in Indonesia. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Organisasi*, 14(4), 322-342.
- Rainey, H. G. (2009). *Understanding and managing public organizations*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Reijseger, G., Peeters, M. C. W., Taris, T. W., Schaufeli, W. B., & Á, I. Á. C. (2016). From Motivation to Activation : Why Engaged Workers are Better Performers. *Journal of Business and Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-016-9435-z>
- Rhoades, L., & Eisenberger, R. (2002). Perceived organizational support: a review of the literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(4), 698-714.
- Rich, B. L., LePine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. (2010). Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(3), 617–635.
- Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., & Straub, D. W. (2012). Editor's comments: A critical look at the use of PLS-SEM. *MIS quarterly*, 36(1), 3-14.
- Ringle, C. M., Wende, S., and Becker, J.-M. 2024. "SmartPLS 4." Bönningstedt: SmartPLS, <https://www.smartpls.com>.
- Rossiter, John. (2002). The C-OA-SE Procedure for Scale Development in Marketing. *International Journal of Research in Marketing - INT J RES MARK*. 19. 305-335. 10.1016/S0167-8116(02)00097-6.
- Ryan RM, Deci EL. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55, 68–78. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68.
- Saari, T., Melin, H., Balabanova, E., & Efendiev, A. (2017). The job demands and resources as antecedents of work engagement: Comparative research on Finland and Russia. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 12(2), 240–254. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BJM-05-2016-0112>
- Saeed AlShamsi, S. S., Bin Ahmad, K. Z., & Jasimuddin, S. M. (2023). The relationship between curiosity and innovative work behavior in the aviation industry: the mediating effect of work engagement. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 31(7), 3119-3136.
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600–619.

- Sari, D. K., Christian, F., & Yudianto, A. (2021, April). Work engagement and innovative work behavior: Meta-analysis study. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Psychological Studies (ICPSYCHE 2020)* (Vol. 530, No. 53, pp. 359-366). Atlantis Press.
- Sarstedt, M., Hair Jr, J. F., Cheah, J. H., Becker, J. M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). How to specify, estimate, and validate higher-order constructs in PLS-SEM. *Australasian marketing journal*, 27(3), 197-211.
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., Thiele, K. O., & Gudergan, S. P. (2016). Estimation issues with PLS and CBSEM: Where the bias lies! *Journal of Business Research*, 69(10), 3998–4010.
- Saunders, D. M., Sheppard, B. H., Knight, V., & Roth, J. (1992). Employee voice to supervisors. *Employee responsibilities and rights Journal*, 5, 241-259.
- Schaufeli, W. B. (2006). The balance of give and take: Toward a social exchange model of burnout. *Revue internationale de psychologie sociale*, 19(1), 75-119.
- Schaufeli, W. B. (2012). Work engagement. What do we know and where do we go? *Romanian Journal of Applied Psychology*, 14(1), 3–10.
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2010). Defining and measuring work engagement: Bringing clarity to the concept. In *Work Engagement A Handbook of Essential Theory and Research* (pp. 10–24).
- Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Salanova, M. (2006). The measurement of work engagement with a short questionnaire: A cross-national study. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 66(4), 701–716.
- Schaufeli, W.B., & Bakker, A.B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293–315.
- Schaufeli, Wilmar B., & Taris, Toon W. (2014). A critical review of the job demands-resources model: Implications for improving work and health *Bridging Occupational, Organizational and Public Health: A Transdisciplinary Approach* (pp. 43-68). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Schuberth, F., Henseler, J., & Dijkstra, T. K. (2018). Confirmatory composite analysis. *Frontiers in psychology*, 9, 2541.
- Scott, S. G., & Bruce, R. A. (1994). Determinants of innovative behavior: A path model of individual innovation in the workplace. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38, 1442–1465.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill-Building Approach* (Vol. 34, Issue 7). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-06-2013-0079>
- Shafique, I., Ahmad, B., & Kalyar, M. N. (2020). How ethical leadership influences creativity and organizational innovation: Examining the underlying mechanisms. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 23(1), 114–133. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-12-2018-0269>
- Shanock, L. R., & Eisenberger, R. (2006). When supervisors feel supported: relationships with subordinates' perceived supervisor support, perceived

- organizational support, and performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91, 689–695.
- Shao, Y., Nijstad, B., & Täuber, S. (2019). Creativity under workload pressure and integrative complexity: The double-edged sword of paradoxical leadership. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 155, 7–19.
- Shaw, D. R., Achuthan, K., Sharma, A., & Grainger, A. (2019). Resilience orchestration and resilience facilitation: How government can orchestrate the whole UK ports market with limited resources – the case of UK ports resilience. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(2), 252–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2018.12.003>
- Sholihin, M., & Ratmono, D. (2021). Analisis SEM-PLS dengan WrapPLS 7.0 untuk Hubungan Nonlinier dalam Penelitian Sosial dan Bisnis (C. Mitak (ed.); I). Penerbit Andi.
- Smart Nation and Digital Government Office (2021). "Smart Nation: The Future of Singapore." <https://www.smartnation.gov.sg/>
- Somech, A., & Drach-Zahavy, A. (2013). Translating team creativity to innovation implementation: The role of team composition and climate for innovation. *Journal of Management*, 39, 684–708.
- Spector, P. E., and Jex, S. M. (1998). Development of four self-report measures of job stressors and strain: interpersonal conflict at work scale, organizational constraints scale, quantitative workload inventory, and physical symptoms inventory. *J. Occup. Health Psychol.* 3, 356–367.
- Srirahayu, D. P., Ekowati, D., & Sridadi, A. R. (2023). Innovative work behavior in public organizations: A systematic literature review. *Heliyon*, 9(2), e13557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13557>
- Statcan. (2021). Statistics: Power from Data! <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/edu/power-pouvoir/ch13/prob/5214899-eng.htm>
- State Services Commission New Zealand (2020). "Better Public Services." <https://www.publicservice.govt.nz/>
- Su, W., Lin, X., & Ding, H. (2019). The influence of supervisor developmental feedback on employee innovative behavior: a moderated mediation model. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 1581.
- Susanto, E. (2021). Does love of money matter for innovative work behavior in public sector organizations? Evidence from Indonesia. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 34(1), 71–85. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-01-2020-0028>
- Susanto, P., Hoque, M. E., Jannat, T., Emely, B., Zona, M. A., & Islam, M. A. (2022). Work-Life Balance, Job Satisfaction, and Job Performance of SMEs Employees: The Moderating Role of Family-Supportive Supervisor Behaviors. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13.
- Talebzadeh, N., & Karatepe, O. M. (2020). Work social support, work engagement and their impacts on multiple performance outcomes. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 69(6), 1227–1245.

- Taris, T. W., Leisink, P. L. M., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2017). Applying Occupational Health Theories to Educator Stress: Contribution of the Job Demands-Resources Model (pp. 237–259). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53053-6>
- Tsen, M. K., Gu, M., Tan, C. M., & Goh, S. K. (2023). Homeworking and Employee Job Stress and Work Engagement: A Multilevel Analysis from 34 European Countries. *Social Indicators Research*, 168(1–3), 511–538. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-023-03138-1>
- Turgut, T. (2011). Çalışmaya Tutkunluk: İş Yüğü, Esnek Çalışma Saatleri, Yönetici Desteği ve İş-Aile Çatışması ile İlişkileri. *Atatürk Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Dergisi* 25, 155–179.
- Turnley, W. H., Bolino, M. C., Lester, S. W., & Bloodgood, J. M. (2003). The impact of psychological contract fulfillment on the performance of in-role and organizational citizenship behaviors. *Journal of management*, 29(2), 187–206.
- Ugargol, J. D., & Patrick, H. A. (2018). The relationship of workplace flexibility to employee engagement among information technology employees in India. *South Asian Journal of Human Resources Management*, 5(1), 40–55.
- Ugwu, F. O., & Onyishi, I. E. (2020). The moderating role of person-environment fit on the relationship between perceived workload and work engagement among hospital nurses. *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences*, 13(July 2019), 100225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijans.2020.100225>
- UN. (2017). Promoting public sector innovation: Trends, evidence and practices from the EPSA. In *Innovation in the Public Sector*. <https://doi.org/10.18356/9789213627990c006>
- Urbach, N., & Ahlemann, F. (2010). Structural Equation Modeling in Information Systems Research Using Partial Least Squares. 11(2), 5–40.
- Uzunbacak, H. H. (2015). The impacts of employee empowerment on innovation: a survey on Isparta and Burdur organised industrial zones. *J. Int. Soc. Res.* 8, 977–989.
- van Woerkom, M., Bakker, A. B., & Nishii, L. H. (2016). Accumulative job demands and support for strength use: Fine-tuning the job demands-resources model using conservation of resources theory. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 101(1), 141–150.
- Van Yperen NW, Hagedoorn M. (2003). Do high job demands increase intrinsic motivation or fatigue or both? The role of job control and job social support. *Academy of Management Journal*, 46, 339–348. doi: 10.2307/3004062.
- Vigoda-gadot, E., Eldor, L., & Schohat, L. M. (2012). Engage Them to Public Service: Conceptualization and Empirical Examination of Employee Engagement in Public Administration. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074012450943>
- Wang, X.-H., Fang, Y., Qureshi, I., & Janssen, O. (2015). Understanding employee innovative behavior: Integrating the social network and leader-member exchange perspectives. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36, 403–420.

- Webster, C. S., & Weller, J. M. (2018). Self-reported ratings appear to be the best for workload measurement. *BMJ Simulation & Technology Enhanced Learning*, 4(3), 108.
- West, M. A., & Farr, J. L. (1989). Innovation at work: Psychological perspectives. *Social behaviour*, 4(1), 15-30.
- World Bank. (2020). COVID-19 (Coronavirus) Policy Response to Enhancing Institutions for Effective and Transparent Management. Worldbank.Org. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/russia/brief/covid-19-response-enhancing-institutions-russia>
- Wu, C. H., Parker, S. K., & de Jong, J. (2014). Need for cognition as an antecedent of individual innovation behavior. *Journal of Management*, 40(6), 1511–1534.
- Wu, H., Qiu, S., Dooley, L. M., & Ma, C. (2020). The relationship between challenge and hindrance stressors and emotional exhaustion: The moderating role of perceived servant leadership. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(1), 282.
- Wu, T. J., & Wu, Y. J. (2019). Innovative work behaviors, employee engagement, and surface acting: a delineation of supervisor-employee emotional contagion effects. *Management Decision*, 57(11), 3200-3216.
- Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A.B., Demerouti, E. & Schaufeli, W.B. (2009). Reciprocal relationships between job resources, personal resources, and work engagement. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 74(3), 235-244.
- Yang, F., Liu, J., Huang, X., Qian, J., Wang, T., Wang, Z., & Yu, H. (2018). How supervisory support for career development relates to subordinate work engagement and career outcomes: the moderating role of task proficiency. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 28(3), 496-509.
- Yoon, J., Han, N. C., and Seo, Y. J. (1996). Sense of control among hospital employees: an assessment of choice process, empowerment, and buffering hypotheses. *J. Appl. Soc. Psychol.* 26, 686–716.
- Yuan, F., & Woodman, R. W. (2010). Innovative behavior in the workplace: The role of performance and image outcome expectations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(2), 323–342. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.49388995>
- Zahari, N., & Kaliannan, M. (2023). Antecedents of Work Engagement in the Public Sector: A Systematic Literature Review. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 43(3), 557–582. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371X221106792>
- Zahrah, N., Aziz, A., & Abdul Hamid, S. N. (2019). Workload and work engagement among nurses in public hospitals: Moderating role of religious spirituality. *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 7(6), 1-10.
- Zappalà, S., Swanzy, E. K., & Toscano, F. (2022). Workload and mental well-being of homeworkers: The mediating effects of work-family conflict, sleeping problems, and work engagement. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 64(10), e647-e655.

- Zhang, G., & Wang, Y. (2022). Organizational identification and employees' innovative behavior: the mediating role of work engagement and the moderating role of creative self-efficacy. *Chinese Management Studies*, 16(5), 1108-1123.
- Zhang, J., van Eerde, W., Gevers, J. M. P., & Zhu, W. (2021). How temporal leadership boosts employee innovative job performance. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 24(1), 23–42. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-05-2019-0112>
- Zhang, M., Zhang, P., Liu, Y., Wang, H., Hu, K., & Du, M. (2021). Influence of perceived stress and workload on work engagement in front-line nurses during COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of clinical nursing*, 30(11-12), 1584-1595.

