DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Abdinejad, M., Talaie, B., Qorbani, H. S., & Dalili, S. (2021). Student perceptions using augmented reality and 3D visualization technologies in chemistry education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology, 30*(1), 87–96. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-020-09880-2

Adipat, S., Laksana, K., Busayanon, K., Ausawasowan, A., & Adipat, B. (2021). Engaging students in the learning process with game-based learning: The fundamental concepts. *International Journal of Technology in Education, 4*(3), 542–552. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.169

Ahrens, R. de B., Lirani, L. da S., & de Francisco, A. C. (2020). Construct validity and reliability of the Work Environment Assessment Instrument WE-10. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17*(20), 7364. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17207364

Al-Azawei, A., Parslow, P., & Lundqvist, K. (2017). Investigating the effect of learning styles in a blended e-learning system: An extension of the technology acceptance model (TAM). *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 33*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.2741

Albus, P., Vogt, A., & Seufert, T. (2021). Signaling in virtual reality influences learning outcome and cognitive load. *Computers & Education, 166*, 104154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104154

AlGerafi, M. A. M., Zhou, Y., Oubibi, M., & Wijaya, T. T. (2023). Unlocking the potential: A comprehensive evaluation of augmented reality and virtual reality in education. *Electronics, 12*(18), Article 18. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12183953

Ali, N., Ullah, S., & Khan, D. (2022). Minimization of students' cognitive load in a virtual chemistry laboratory via contents optimization and arrow-textual aids. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(6), 7629–7652. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10936-6

Aljohani, M. (2017). Principles of "constructivism" in foreign language teaching. *Journal of Literature and Art Studies, 7*(1), 97–107. https://doi.org/10.17265/2159-5836/2017.01.013

Analyti, E. (2024). Virtual reality in education: Transforming learning through immersive technology. *Technium Education and Humanities, 10*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.47577/teh.v10i.11766

Andersen, S. A. W., Mikkelsen, P. T., Konge, L., Cayé-Thomasen, P., & Sørensen, M. S. (2016). The effect of implementing cognitive load theory-based

design principles in virtual reality simulation training of surgical skills: A randomized controlled trial. *Advances in Simulation, 1*(1), 20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41077-016-0022-1

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Longman.

Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Sorensen, C., & Walker, D. A. (2010). *Introduction to research in education* (8th ed.). Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Asma, H., & Dallel, S. (2020). Cognitive load theory and its relation to instructional design: Perspectives of some Algerian university teachers of English. *Arab World English Journal, 11*(4), 110–127. https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol11no4.8

Atkins, P., & de Paula, J. (2006). *Physical chemistry for the life sciences*. Oxford University Press.

Aydin-Gunbatar, S., Tarkin-Celikkiran, A., Kutucu, E. S., & Ekiz-Kiran, B. (2018). The influence of a design-based elective STEM course on pre-service chemistry teachers' content knowledge, STEM conceptions, and engineering views. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 19*(3), 954–972. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RP00128F

Barger, M. M., Perez, T., Canelas, D. A., & Linnenbrink-Garcia, L. (2018). Constructivism and personal epistemology development in undergraduate chemistry students. *Learning and Individual Differences, 63*, 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2018.03.006

Bartsch, L. M., Fukuda, K., Loaiza, V. M., & Mizrak, E. (2024). The information exchange between working memory and long-term memory. *Memory & Cognition, 52*(8), 1717–1725. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13421-024-01640-6

Bretz, S. L. (2019). Evidence for the importance of laboratory courses. *Journal of Chemical Education, 96*(2), 193–195. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.8b00874

Camilleri, M. A. (2024). Factors affecting performance expectancy and intentions to use ChatGPT: Using SmartPLS to advance an information technology acceptance framework. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 201*, 123247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123247

Campos, E., Hidrogo, I., & Zavala, G. (2022). Impact of virtual reality use on the teaching and learning of vectors. *Frontiers in Education, 7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.965640

Canelas, D. A., Hill, J. L., & Novicki, A. (2017). Cooperative learning in organic chemistry increases student assessment of learning gains in key transferable

skills. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 18*(3), 441–456. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RP00014F

Cetin-Dindar, A. (2015). Student motivation in constructivist learning environment. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 12*(2), 233–247. https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016.1399a

Cetin-Dindar, A., Boz, Y., Sonmez, D. Y., & Celep, N. D. (2018). Development of pre-service chemistry teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 19*(1), 167–183. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RP00175D

Chen, R., Grierson, L., & Norman, G. (2015). Manipulation of cognitive load variables and impact on auscultation test performance. *Advances in Health Sciences Education, 20*(4), 935–952. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-014-9573-x

Chiu, J. L., DeJaegher, C. J., & Chao, J. (2015). The effects of augmented virtual science laboratories on middle school students' understanding of gas properties. *Computers & Education, 85*, 59–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.02.007

Christopoulos, A., Styliou, M., Ntalas, N., & Stylios, C. (2024). The impact of immersive virtual reality on knowledge acquisition and adolescent perceptions in cultural education. *Information, 15*(5), Article 261. https://doi.org/10.3390/info15050261

Chuang, S. (2021). The applications of constructivist learning theory and social learning theory on adult continuous development. *Performance Improvement, 60*(3), 6–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/pfi.21963

Coban, M., Bolat, Y. I., & Goksu, I. (2022). The potential of immersive virtual reality to enhance learning: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review, 36*, 100452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2022.100452

Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research methods in education* (8th ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315456539

Costley, J., & Lange, C. H. (2017). The effects of lecture diversity on germane load. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 18*(2). https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v18i2.2860

Cotton, K., & Ricker, T. J. (2022). Examining the relationship between working memory consolidation and long-term consolidation. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 29*(5), 1625–1648. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-022-02084-2

Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.

Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, implementing, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4th ed.). Pearson.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science, 24*(2), 97–140. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791

Davis, F. D., & Granić, A. (2024a). Evolution of TAM. In F. D. Davis & A. Granić (Eds.), *The technology acceptance model: 30 years of TAM* (pp. 19–57). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45274-2_2

Davis, F. D., & Granić, A. (2024b). Revolution of TAM. In F. D. Davis & A. Granić (Eds.), *The technology acceptance model: 30 years of TAM* (pp. 59–101). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45274-2_3

De Lorenzis, F., Pratticò, F. G., Repetto, M., Pons, E., & Lamberti, F. (2023). Immersive virtual reality for procedural training: Comparing traditional and learning by teaching approaches. *Computers in Industry, 144*, 103785. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2022.103785

Di Natale, A. F., Repetto, C., Riva, G., & Villani, D. (2020). Immersive virtual reality in K–12 and higher education: A 10-year systematic review of empirical research. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 51*(6), 2006–2033. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13030

Diepreye, F. F., & Odukoya, J. A. (2019). The impact of passive and active teaching methods on students' learning among secondary school students in Yenagoa, Bayelsa State. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1378*(2), 022099. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1378/2/022099

Drew, C. J., Hardman, M. L., & Hosp, J. L. (2000). *Designing and conducting research in education.* Allyn & Bacon.

Dubovi, I. (2022). Cognitive and emotional engagement while learning with VR The perspective of multimodal methodology. *Computers & Education, 188*, 104495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104495

EdTech Books. (n.d.). Cognitive Load Theory. Retrieved April 24, 2025, from https://edtechbooks.org/encyclopedia/cognitive_load_theory

Effectiveness of virtual laboratory vs. paper-based experiences to the hands-on chemistry practical in Tanzanian secondary schools. (n.d.). *Education and Information Technologies.* Retrieved April 24, 2025, from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10639-022-11327-7

Effects of virtual reality technology on primary school students' creativity performance, learning engagement and mental flow. (n.d.). *Education and Information Technologies.* Retrieved April 24, 2025, from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10639-024-12766-0

Evans, P., Vansteenkiste, M., Parker, P., Kingsford-Smith, A., & Zhou, S. (2024). Cognitive load theory and its relationships with motivation: A self-determination theory perspective. *Educational Psychology Review, 36*(1), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09841-2

Evans, P., Vansteenkiste, M., Parker, P., Kingsford-Smith, A., & Zhou, S. (2024). Cognitive load theory and its relationships with motivation: A self-determination theory perspective. *Educational Psychology Review, 36*(1), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09841-2

Forsberg, A., Guitard, D., Adams, E. J., Pattanakul, D., & Cowan, N. (2023). Working memory constrains long-term memory in children and adults: Memory of objects and bindings. *Journal of Intelligence, 11*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence11050094

Fraenkel, J. R., & Wallen, N. E. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

Galasso, V., Nannicini, T., & Nunnari, S. (2023). Positive spillovers from negative campaigning. *American Journal of Political Science, 67*(1), 5–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12610

Gegios, T., Salta, K., & Koinis, S. (2017). Investigating high-school chemical kinetics: The Greek chemistry textbook and students' difficulties. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 18*(1), 151–168. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RP00192K

Ghorbani, A., Shafiee, M. M., & Foroudi, P. (2022). Consumers' brand personality perceptions in a digital world: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies, 46*(3), 655–682. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12791

Global Education Monitoring Report Team. (2023). *Global education monitoring report, 2023: Technology in education: A tool on whose terms?* UNESCO. https://doi.org/10.54676/UZQV8501

Gorbunova, A., Lange, C., Savelyev, A., Adamovich, K., & Costley, J. (2024). The interplay of self-regulated learning, cognitive load, and performance in learner-controlled environments. *Education Sciences, 14*(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14080860

Grangeia, T. de A. G., Jorge, B. de, Franci, D., Santos, T. M., Setubal, M. S. V., Schweller, M., & Carvalho-Filho, M. A. de. (2016). Cognitive load and self-determination theories applied to e-learning: Impact on students' participation and academic performance. *PLOS ONE, 11*(3), e0152462. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152462

Granić, A. (2019). Technology acceptance model in educational context: A systematic literature review. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. https://bera-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/bjet.12864

Granić, A. (2019). Technology acceptance model in educational context: A systematic literature review. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. https://bera-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/bjet.12864

Guerra-Tamez, C. R. (2023). The impact of immersion through virtual reality in the learning experiences of art and design students: The mediating effect of the flow experience. *Education Sciences, 13*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13020185

Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). *An introduction to structural equation modeling*. In *Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) using R* (pp. 1–15). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7_1

Hamad, A., & Jia, B. (2022). How virtual reality technology has changed our lives: An overview of the current and potential applications and limitations. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(18), Article 11278. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811278

Han, J.-H., & Sa, H. J. (2022). Acceptance of and satisfaction with online educational classes through the technology acceptance model (TAM): The COVID-19 situation in Korea. *Asia Pacific Education Review, 23*(3), 403–415. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-021-09716-7

Herga, N. R., Čagran, B., & Dinevski, D. (2016). Virtual laboratory in the role of dynamic visualisation for better understanding of chemistry in primary

school. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 12*(3), 593–608. https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016.1224a

Holly, M., Pirker, J., Resch, S., Brettschuh, S., & Gütl, C. (2021). Designing VR experiences – Expectations for teaching and learning in VR *Educational Technology & Society, 24*(2), 107–119.

Huang, H.-M., & Liaw, S.-S. (2018). An analysis of learners' intentions toward virtual reality learning based on constructivist and technology acceptance approaches. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 19*(1). https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v19i1.2503

Huang, Y.-H. (2018). Influence of instructional design to manage intrinsic cognitive load on learning effectiveness. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 14*(6), 2653–2668. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/90264

Jensen, L., & Konradsen, F. (2018). A review of the use of virtual reality head-mounted displays in education and training. *Education and Information Technologies, 23*(4), 1515–1529. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-017-9676-0

Jere, S., & Mpeta, M. (2024). Enhancing learners' conceptual understanding of reaction kinetics using computer simulations – A case study approach. *Research in Science Education, 54*(6), 999–1023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-024-10182-5

Jian, Y., & Abu Bakar, J. A. (2024). Comparing cognitive load in learning spatial ability: Immersive learning environment vs. digital learning media. *Discover Sustainability, 5*(1), 111. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00310-6

Jiang, H., Zhu, D., Chugh, R., Li, M., & Wang, Y. (2025). Virtual reality and augmented reality-supported K-12 STEM learning: Trends, advantages and challenges. *Education and Information Technologies, 30*, 12827–12863. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13210-z

Ka, J., Kim, H., Kim, J., Lee, J., & Choi, H. (2025). Analysis of virtual reality teaching methods in engineering education: Assessing educational effectiveness and understanding of 3D structures. *Virtual Reality, 29*, Article 17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-024-01081-1

Kala, N., & Ayas, A. (2023). Effect of instructional design based on cognitive load theory on students' performances and the indicators of element interactivity. *Journal of Turkish Science Education, 20*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.36681/tused.2023.027

Katz, J. E., & Halpern, D. (2015). Can virtual museums motivate students? Toward a constructivist learning approach. *Journal of Science Education and Technology, 24*(6), 776–788. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-015-9563-7

Kelly, R., & Akaygun, S. (2019). Visualizations and representations in chemistry education. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 20*(4), 657–658. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RP90009H

Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., Kirschner, F., & Zambrano R., J. (2018). From cognitive load theory to collaborative cognitive load theory. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning, 13*(2), 213–233. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11412-018-9277-y

Klepsch, M., & Seufert, T. (2020). Understanding instructional design effects by differentiated measurement of intrinsic, extraneous, and germane cognitive load. *Instructional Science, 48*(1), 45–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-020-09502-9

Krieglstein, F., Beege, M., Rey, G. D., Nebel, S., Scharinger, C., & Schneider, S. (2023). Development and validation of a theory-based questionnaire to measure different types of cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review, 35*(1), Article 9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09738-0

Kumar, P. P., Thallapalli, R., Akshay, R., Sai, K. S., Sai, K. S., & Srujan, G. S. (2022). State-of-the-art: Implementation of augmented reality and virtual reality with the integration of 5G in the classroom. *AIP Conference Proceedings, 2418*(1), 020069. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0081774

Kwan, Y. W., & Wong, A. F. L. (2015). Effects of the constructivist learning environment on students' critical thinking ability: Cognitive and motivational variables as mediators. *International Journal of Educational Research, 70*, 68–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2015.02.006

Kwangmuang, P., Jarutkamolpong, S., Sangboonraung, W., & Daungtod, S. (2021). The development of learning innovation to enhance higher order thinking skills for students in Thailand junior high schools. *Heliyon, 7*(6), e07309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07309

Lampropoulos, G., & Kinshuk. (2024). Virtual reality and gamification in education: A systematic review. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 72*(3), 1691–1785. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-024-10351-3

Lawshe, C. H. (1975). A quantitative approach to content validity. *Personnel Psychology*, *28*(4), 563–575. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1975.tb01393.x

Leahy, W., & Sweller, J. (2016). Cognitive load theory and the effects of transient information on the modality effect. *Instructional Science*, *44*(1), 107–123. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-015-9362-9

Leppink, J., & van den Heuvel, A. (2015). The evolution of cognitive load theory and its application to medical education. *Perspectives on Medical Education*, *4*(3), 119–127. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-015-0192-x

Li, L. (2020). Education supply chain in the era of Industry 4.0. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, *37*(4), 579–592. https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.2702

Liew, T. W., Mat Zin, N. A., & Sahari, N. (2017). Exploring the affective, motivational and cognitive effects of pedagogical agent enthusiasm in a multimedia learning environment. *Human-Centric Computing and Information Sciences*, *7*, 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13673-017-0089-2

Lin, H. C.-S., Yu, S.-J., Sun, J. C.-Y., & Jong, M. S. Y. (2021). Engaging university students in a library guide through wearable spherical video-based virtual reality: Effects on situational interest and cognitive load. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *29*(8), 1272–1287. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1624579

Lin, X. P., Li, B. B., Yao, Z. N., Yang, Z., & Zhang, M. (2024). The impact of virtual reality on student engagement in the classroom: A critical review of the literature. *Frontiers in Psychology, 15*, 1360574. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1360574

Lindgren, R., Tscholl, M., Wang, S., & Johnson, E. (2016). Enhancing learning and engagement through embodied interaction within a mixed reality simulation. *Computers & Education, 95*, 174–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.01.001

Lu, Y., Xu, Y., & Zhu, X. (2021). Designing and implementing VR 2E2C, a virtual reality remote education for experimental chemistry system. *Journal of Chemical Education, 98*(8), 2720–2725. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.1c00439

Lum, H. C., Lee, J. R., Evans, S., Liu, Y., & Kampf, T. (2024). Impact of immersion in virtual reality VR and desktop environments on spatial ability. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, 68*(1), 1577–1581. https://doi.org/10.1177/10711813241265656

Makransky, G., Terkildsen, T. S., & Mayer, R. E. (2019). Motivational and cognitive benefits of training in immersive virtual reality based on multiple assessments. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 35*(6), 691–707. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12375

Margrett, J. A., Ouverson, K. M., Gilbert, S. B., Phillips, L. A., & Charness, N. (2022). Older adults' use of extended reality: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, *2*, Article 760064. https://doi.org/10.3389/frvir.2021.760064

Marougkas, A., Troussas, C., Krouska, A., & Sgouropoulou, C. (2023). Virtual reality in education: A review of learning theories, approaches and methodologies for the last decade. *Electronics*, *12*(13), Article 32832. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12132832

Martin, A. J., Ginns, P., Nagy, R. P., Collie, R. J., & Bostwick, K. C. P. (2023). Load reduction instruction in mathematics and English classrooms: A multilevel study of student and teacher reports. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, *72*, 102147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2023.102147

Milenković, D. D., Segedinac, M. D., & Hrin, T. N. (2014). Increasing high school students' chemistry performance and reducing cognitive load through an instructional strategy based on the interaction of multiple levels of knowledge representation. *Journal of Chemical Education*, *91*(9), 1409–1416. https://doi.org/10.1021/ed400805p

Nikolopoulos, F., & Likothanassis, S. (2018). A complete evaluation of the TAM3 model for cloud computing technology acceptance. In *On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems. OTM 2018 Conferences: CoopIS, C&TC, and ODBASE 2018* (pp. 289–296). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02671-4_17

Nyachwaya, J. M., & Gillaspie, M. (2016). Features of representations in general chemistry textbooks: A peek through the lens of the cognitive load theory. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, *17*(1), 58–71. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RP00140D

Orru, G., & Longo, L. (2019). The evolution of cognitive load theory and the measurement of its intrinsic, extraneous and germane loads: A review. In L. Longo & M. C. Leva (Eds.), *Human mental workload: Models and applications* (pp. 23–48). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-14273-5_3

Paas, F., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2020). Cognitive-load theory: Methods to manage working memory load in the learning of complex tasks. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *29*(4), 394–398. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721420922183

Parong, J., & Mayer, R. E. (2018). Learning science in immersive virtual reality. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *110*(6), 785–797. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000241

Parra-Pérez, L. G., Valdés-Cuervo, A. A., Urias-Murrieta, M., & Addo, R. (2024). Mexican college students' perspectives on teaching practice and critical thinking development. *Cogent Education, 11*(1), 2385866. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2385866

Patac, L. P., & Patac, A. V. (2025). Using ChatGPT for academic support: Managing cognitive load and enhancing learning efficiency – A phenomenological approach. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 11*, 101301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101301

Patel, D., & Alismail, A. (2024). Relationship between cognitive load theory, intrinsic motivation and emotions in healthcare professions education: A perspective on the missing link. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice, 15*, 57–62. https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S441405

Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education, 147*, 103778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778

Rahouti, A., Lovreglio, R., Datoussaïd, S., & Descamps, T. (2021). Prototyping and validating a non-immersive virtual reality serious game for healthcare fire safety training. *Fire Technology, 57*(6), 3041–3078. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10694-021-01098-x

Ramírez, J., Soto, D., López, S., Akroyd, J., Nurkowski, D., Botero, M. L., Bianco, N., Brownbridge, G., Kraft, M., & Molina, A. (2020). A virtual laboratory to support chemical reaction engineering courses using real-life problems and industrial software. *Education for Chemical Engineers, 33*, 36–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2020.07.002

Reeves, S. M., Crippen, K. J., & McCray, E. D. (2021). The varied experience of undergraduate students learning chemistry in virtual reality laboratories. *Computers & Education, 175*, 104320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104320

Rexigel, E., Kuhn, J., Becker, S., & Malone, S. (2024). The more the better? A systematic review and meta-analysis of the benefits of more than two external representations in STEM education. *Educational Psychology Review, 36*(4), Article 124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-024-09958-y

Schrader, C., Diekmann, C., Schulz, P., Mack, N., Bohrmann-Linde, C., & Zeller, D. (2024). Hands-on training: Effects on virtual presence, learning-centered emotions, cognitive load and learning outcome when learning with virtual reality. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports, 16*, 100487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2024.100487

Schwedler, S., & Kaldewey, M. (2020). Linking the submicroscopic and symbolic level in physical chemistry: How voluntary simulation-based learning activities foster first-year university students' conceptual understanding. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 21*(4), 1132–1147. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RP00211A

Seery, M. K. (2015). Flipped learning in higher education chemistry: Emerging trends and potential directions. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 16*(4), 758–768. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RP00136F

Sharifzadeh, M., Allahyari, M. A., Abdollahzadeh, G., & Ahmadi Gorgi, H. (2017). Predicting adoption of biological control among Iranian rice farmers: An application of the extended technology acceptance model (TAM2). *Crop Protection, 96*, 88–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2017.01.014

Shearer, R. L., Yu, J., & Peng, X. (2021). Cognitive load and working memory: A system view of measurement. *Learning: Research and Practice, 7*(1), 54–69. https://doi.org/10.1080/23735082.2020.1830150

Shemy, N. S. (2022). Effect of different levels of constructivist scaffolding within e-content on undergraduate students' mastery of learning and their attitudes towards it. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research, 9*(1), 17–27. https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v9i1.3737

Smith, P. L., & Ragan, T. J. (2005). *Instructional design* (3rd ed.). Wiley.

Statista. (2025, April 24). *Indonesia: Smartphone penetration rate 2029*. https://www.statista.com/forecasts/321485/smartphone-user-penetration-in-indonesia

Stavroulia, K. E., Christofi, M., Baka, E., Michael-Grigoriou, D., Magnenat-Thalmann, N., & Lanitis, A. (2019). Assessing the emotional impact of virtual reality-based teacher training. *International Journal of Information and Learning Technology, 36*(3), 192–217. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJILT-11-2018-0127

Su, C.-H., & Cheng, T.-W. (2019). A sustainability innovation experiential learning model for virtual reality chemistry laboratory: An empirical study with PLS-SEM and IPMA. *Sustainability, 11*(4), Article 1027. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041027

Su, C.-H., & Cheng, T.-W. (2019). A sustainability innovation experiential learning model for virtual reality chemistry laboratory: An empirical study with PLS-SEM and IPMA. *Sustainability, 11*(4), Article 1027. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041027

Sudár, A., & Csapó, Á. B. (2023). Enhancing user capabilities while reducing cognitive load in VR: A comparative analysis of desktop 3D virtual reality and 2D environments. *Research Square*. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3640301/v1

Sudár, A., & Csapó, Á. B. (2023). Enhancing user capabilities while reducing cognitive load in VR: A comparative analysis of desktop 3D virtual reality and 2D environments. *Research Square*. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3640301/v1

Sweller, J. (2016). Working memory, long-term memory, and instructional design. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 5*(4), 360–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2015.12.002

Sweller, J. (2016). Working memory, long-term memory, and instructional design. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 5*(4), 360–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2015.12.002

Sweller, J. (2020). Cognitive load theory and educational technology. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 68*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-019-09701-3

Sweller, J. (2020). Cognitive load theory and educational technology. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 68*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-019-09701-3

Tarng, W., Pan, I.-C., & Ou, K.-L. (2022). Effectiveness of virtual reality on attention training for elementary school students. *Systems, 10*(4), Article 104. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems10040104

Tarng, W., Pan, I.-C., & Ou, K.-L. (2022). Effectiveness of virtual reality on attention training for elementary school students. *Systems, 10*(4), Article 104. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems10040104

Thornhill-Miller, B., Camarda, A., Mercier, M., Burkhardt, J.-M., Morisseau, T., Bourgeois-Bougrine, S., Vinchon, F., El Hayek, S., Augereau-Landais, M., Mourey, F., Feybesse, C., Sundquist, D., & Lubart, T. (2023). Creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration: Assessment, certification, and promotion of 21st century skills for the future of work and education. *Journal of Intelligence, 11*(3), Article 54. https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence11030054

Thornhill-Miller, B., Camarda, A., Mercier, M., Burkhardt, J.-M., Morisseau, T., Bourgeois-Bougrine, S., Vinchon, F., El Hayek, S., Augereau-Landais, M., Mourey, F., Feybesse, C., Sundquist, D., & Lubart, T. (2023). Creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration: Assessment,

certification, and promotion of 21st century skills for the future of work and education. *Journal of Intelligence, 11*(3), Article 54. https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence11030054

Timotheou, S., Miliou, O., Dimitriadis, Y., Sobrino, S. V., Giannoutsou, N., Cachia, R., Monés, A. M., & Ioannou, A. (2023). Impacts of digital technologies on education and factors influencing schools' digital capacity and transformation: A literature review. *Education and Information Technologies, 28*(6), 6695–6726. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11431-8

Timotheou, S., Miliou, O., Dimitriadis, Y., Sobrino, S. V., Giannoutsou, N., Cachia, R., Monés, A. M., & Ioannou, A. (2023). Impacts of digital technologies on education and factors influencing schools' digital capacity and transformation: A literature review. *Education and Information Technologies, 28*(6), 6695–6726. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11431-8

Trif, L. (2015). Training models of social constructivism: Teaching based on developing a scaffold. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 180*, 978–983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.02.184

Trif, L. (2015). Training models of social constructivism: Teaching based on developing a scaffold. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 180*, 978–983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.02.184

Turner, J. L. (2014). *Using statistics in small-scale language education research: Focus on non-parametric data*. Routledge.

Turner, J. L. (2014). *Using statistics in small-scale language education research: Focus on non-parametric data*. Routledge.

Uluay, G., & Dogan, A. (2016). Pre-service teachers' practices towards digital game design for technology integration into science classrooms. *Universal Journal of Educational Research, 4*(10), 2471–2486. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2016.041028

Urbano, R. D., & Caballes, D. G. (2020). Measuring cognitive load in blended laboratory setting: Toward enhanced learning in chemistry. *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences, 5*(3). https://ijels.com/detail/measuring-cognitive-load-in-blended-laboratory-setting-toward-enhanced-learning-in-chemistry/

van Nooijen, C. C. A., de Koning, B. B., Bramer, W. M., Isahakyan, A., Asoodar, M., Kok, E., van Merrienboer, J. J. G., & Paas, F. (2024). A cognitive load theory approach to understanding expert scaffolding of visual problem-

solving tasks: A scoping review. *Educational Psychology Review, 36*(1), Article 12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-024-09848-3

Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Wang, Y., & Liu, Y. (2018). An investigation on the development of informatization ability of teachers by applying the Technology Acceptance Model. In *2018 International Joint Conference on Information, Media and Engineering (ICIME)* (pp. 172–176). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIME.2018.00043

Wen, P., & Lu, F. (2024). Using attentional guidance methods in virtual reality laboratories reduces students' cognitive load and improves their academic performance. *Virtual Reality*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-024-01012-0

Wibowo, M. (2019). Technology acceptance models and theories in library and information science research. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-Journal).* https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/3674

Wohlgenannt, I., Simons, A., & Stieglitz, S. (2020). Virtual reality. *Business & Information Systems Engineering, 62*(5), 455–461. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-020-00658-9

Xiao, Y., & Zhi, Y. (2023). An exploratory study of EFL learners' use of ChatGPT for language learning tasks: Experience and perceptions. *Languages, 8*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.3390/languages8030212

Xu, L. (2022). Towards a social semiotic interpretation of the chemistry triangle: Student exploration of changes of state in an Australian secondary science classroom. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 20*(4), 705–726. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-021-10190-1

Yang, C., Zhang, J., Hu, Y., Yang, X., Chen, M., Shan, M., & Li, L. (2024). The impact of virtual reality on practical skills for students in science and engineering education: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of STEM Education, 11*, Article 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-024-00487-2

Zainil, M., Kenedi, A. K., Rahmatina, Indrawati, T., & Handrianto, C. (2024). The influence of STEM-Based digital learning on 6C skills of elementary school students. *Open Education Studies*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1515/edu-2024-0039

Zeitlhofer, I., Zumbach, J., & Schweppe, J. (2024). Complexity affects performance, cognitive load, and awareness. *Learning and Instruction*, *94*, 102001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2024.102001

Zeitlhofer, I., Zumbach, J., & Schweppe, J. (2024). Complexity affects performance, cognitive load, and awareness. *Learning and Instruction*, *94*, 102001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2024.102001

Zhonggen, Y., Ying ,Zhu, Zhichun ,Yang, & and Wentao, C. (2019). Student satisfaction, learning outcomes, and cognitive loads with a mobile learning platform. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, *32*(4), 323–341. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2018.1517093

Zou, Y., Kuek, F., Feng, W., & Cheng, X. (2025). Digital learning in the 21st century: Trends, challenges, and innovations in technology integration. *Frontiers in Education, 10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1562391