

## REFERENCES

- Afrilyasanti, R. (2021). *Bahasa Inggris Tingkat Lanjut*.
- Allagui, B. (2021). Writing a Descriptive Paragraph Using an Augmented Reality Application: An Evaluation of Students' Performance and Attitudes. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 26(3), 687–710.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-019-09429-2>
- Allan, G. (2019). *Curriculum Leadership: Strategies for Development...* - Google Scholar (Fifth). Sage.  
[https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as\\_sdt=0%2C5&q=Curriculum+Leadership%3A+Strategies+for+Development+and+implementation&btnG=](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Curriculum+Leadership%3A+Strategies+for+Development+and+implementation&btnG=)
- Alrajafi, G. (2021). The use of English in Indonesia: Status and Influence. *SIGEH ELT: Journal of Literature and Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–10.  
<https://doi.org/10.36269/sigeh.v1i1.355>
- Alsulami, Y. A. K. (2021). An Evaluation of a Fourth Level English Textbook Used in Secondary Schools in Riyadh City. *English Language Teaching*, 14(2), 68.  
<https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v14n2p68>
- Ananda, C. R., Mardiah, R., & Fajaryani, N. (2024). Writing Argumentative Essays: Jambi EFL Students' Challenges and Strategies. *IJELTAL (Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics)*, 9(2), 339.  
<https://doi.org/10.21093/ijeltal.v9i2.1722>
- Boeriswati, E., Eriyani, R. N., & Wibawani, S. (2024). Needs analysis of expository writing teaching materials based on Toulmin's argumentation patterns.

- Diglosia: Jurnal Kajian Bahasa, Sastra, Dan Pengajarannya*, 7(4), 683–692.  
<https://doi.org/10.30872/diglosia.v7i4.1071>
- Brown, H. D. (2015). Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy. In *Longman*.
- Dawadi, S., Shrestha, S., & Giri, R. A. (2021). Mixed-Methods Research: A Discussion on its Types, Challenges, and Criticisms. *Journal of Practical Studies in Education*, 2(2), 25–36. <https://doi.org/10.46809/jpse.v2i2.20>
- Denzin, N. K. (2011). Book Review: The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research. In *Evaluation Journal of Australasia* (Vol. 11, Issue 2).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1035719x1101100208>
- Derewianka, B., & Jones, P. (2016). *Teaching Language in Context*. Oxford University Press.
- Dinh, T. N., & Sharifian, F. (2017). Vietnamese cultural conceptualisations in the locally developed English textbook: a case study of ‘Lunar New Year’/‘Tet.’ *Asian Englishes*, 19(2), 148–159.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13488678.2017.1279763>
- Djatmika, D., Santosa, R., Wiratno, T., Hari Wibowo, A., & Mustika Sari, I. (2022). LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network SFL-driven Analysis of English Reading Materials Within the Textbooks for High School Students in Indonesia APA Citation. *Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network*, 15(1), 205–231.  
<https://so04.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/LEARN/index>
- Elo, S., Kääriäinen, M., Kanste, O., Pölkki, T., Utriainen, K., & Kyngäs, H. (2014).

Qualitative Content Analysis. Sage Open, 4(1).

<https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244014522633>

Enosh, G., Tzafrir, S. S., & Stolovy, T. (2015). The Development of Client Violence Questionnaire (CVQ). *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 9(3), 273–290.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689814525263>

Gavora, P. (2015). The State-of-the-Art of Content Analysis. *Education Sciences*, 6–18.

[http://nevelestudomany.elte.hu/downloads/2015/nevelestudomany\\_2015\\_1\\_6-18.pdf](http://nevelestudomany.elte.hu/downloads/2015/nevelestudomany_2015_1_6-18.pdf)

Hakim, A. A., Setyaningsih, E., & Cahyaningrum, D. (2021). Examining the Readability Level of Reading Texts in English Textbook for Indonesian Senior High School. *Journal of English Language Studies*, 6(1), 18. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jels.v6i1.8898>

Hardini, S. R. (2022). *Life Today*. Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi.

Hutchinson, T., & Torres, E. (1994). The textbook as agent of change. *ELT Journal*, 48(4), 315–328. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/48.4.315>

Ika Noviyanti, N., Rosyadah Mukti, W., Dahlia Yuliskurniawati, I., Mahanal, S., & Zubaidah, S. (2019). Students' Scientific Argumentation Skills Based on Differences in Academic Ability. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1241(1), 012034. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1241/1/012034>

Ilieva, R. (2018). Textbooks. In *The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching* (pp. 1–13). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118784235.eelt0666>

Klieger, A., & Rochsar, A. (2017). Impartation of Argumentation Skills: Impact of Scaffolds on the Quality of Arguments. *Journal of Advances in Education Research*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.22606/jaer.2017.23006>

Knudson, R. E. (1994). An analysis of persuasive discourse: Learning how to take a stand. *Discourse Processes*, 18(2), 211–230. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638539409544892>

Kuckartz, U., & Rädiker, S. (2023). *Qualitative Content Analysis: Methods, Practice and Software* (2nd ed.). Sage.

Lazarou, D., Sutherland, R., & Erduran, S. (2016). Argumentation in science education as a systemic activity: An activity-theoretical perspective. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 79, 150–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2016.07.008>

Majidi, A. el, Janssen, D., & de Graaff, R. (2021). The effects of in-class debates on argumentation skills in second language education. *System*, 101, 102576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2021.102576>

Marttunen, M., Salminen, T., & Utriainen, J. (2021). Student evaluations of the credibility and argumentation of online sources. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 114(3), 294–305. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2021.1929052>

Maxwell, J. A. (2016). Expanding the History and Range of Mixed Methods Research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 10(1), 12–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689815571132>

Mayring, P. (2015). *Qualitative Content Analysis: Theoretical Background and Procedures* (pp. 365–380). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9181-6\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9181-6_13)

Murtadho, F. (2021). Metacognitive and critical thinking practices in developing EFL students' argumentative writing skills. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v10i3.31752>

Nakrowi, Z. S., & Yeti Mulyati. (2017). *Evaluasi Kualitas Argumen Pada Artikel Jurnal*. 20(1), 90–109.

Nasution, H. S. (2024). Toulmin Model Based Argument Diagnosing: Constructing and Evaluating in The Argumentation Essay in Indonesia Efl Text Book. *Indonesian Journal Education*, 3(2), 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.56495/ije.v3i2.675>

Nippold, M. A., & Ward-Lonergan, J. M. (2010). Argumentative writing in pre-adolescents: The role of verbal reasoning. *Child Language Teaching and Therapy*, 26(3), 238–248. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265659009349979>

Noroozi, O., Banihashem, S. K., Biemans, H. J. A., Smits, M., Vervoort, M. T. W., & Verbaan, C.-L. (2023). Design, implementation, and evaluation of an online supported peer feedback module to enhance students' argumentative essay quality. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(10), 12757–12784. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11683-y>

Noviyenti, L. (2021). Analysis of English Alive Textbook in Terms of Genres and Lexical Density. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 13(2), 799–812. <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v13i2.587>

Perdanasari, A., Sudiyanto, & Sangka, K. B. (2021). Development Needs Analysis of Teaching Materials for Improving Critical Thinking Skills Students in Century 21. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1808(1), 012035.

<https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1808/1/012035>

Polikoff, M. S., & Porter, A. C. (2014). Instructional Alignment as a Measure of Teaching Quality. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 36(4), 399–416. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0162373714531851>

Richards, J. C. (2017). *Curriculum Development in Language Teaching*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009024556>

Senowarsito, S., Suwandi, S., Musarokah, S., & Nur Ardini, S. (2023). The Analysis of Feasibility in EFL Textbook: A Contribution to Kurikulum Merdeka. *Arab World English Journal*, 9, 305–319. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/call9.21>

Shinta, D. K., & Filia. (2020). Improving students' arguments through collaborative learning. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 10(2), 349–358. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v10i2.28602>

Siregar, R. A., Sukyadi, D., & Yusuf, F. N. (2024). A Critical Content Analysis of Writing Materials Covered in Indonesian High School English Textbooks. *Studies in English Language and Education*, 11(1), 205–227. <https://doi.org/10.24815/siele.v11i1.30169>

Smart, A., & Jagannathan, S. (2018). *Textbook Policies in Asia*: <https://doi.org/10.22617/TCS189651-2>

Sulastini, S. (2020). *Keselarasan Konten Buku Teks "Bahasa Inggris Kelas X Sma/Ma/Smk/Mak" Dengan Standar Isi Kurikulum Mata Pelajaran Bahasa Inggris: Analisis Isi*. <http://repository.unj.ac.id/12138/>

Sulistyo, U., Wulan, R., Al Arif, T. Z. Z., Efriza, D., & Anwar, K. (2021). A critical

- content analysis of English textbook for senior high school students in Indonesia. *Studies in English Language and Education*, 8(1), 84–98. <https://doi.org/10.24815/siele.v8i1.16778>
- Sundari, H., & Febriyanti, R. H. (2021). The Analysis of Indonesian EFL Argumentative Writing Using Toulmin's Model: The Structure and Struggles from the Learners. *Scope : Journal of English Language Teaching*, 5(2), 67. <https://doi.org/10.30998/scope.v5i2.8544>
- Toulmin, S. E. (2003). *The Uses of Argument*. Cambridge University Press.
- Valverde, G. A., Bianchi, L. J., Wolfe, R. G., Schmidt, W. H., & Houang, R. T. (2002). According to the Book. In *According to the Book* (Issue November 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0844-0>
- van Amelsvoort, M., Andriessen, J., & Kanselaar, G. (2007). Representational Tools in Computer-Supported Collaborative Argumentation-Based Learning: How Dyads Work With Constructed and Inspected Argumentative Diagrams. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 16(4), 485–521. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508400701524785>
- Wahyunan Widhi, M. T., Hakim, A. R., Wulansari, N. I., Solahuddin, M. I., & Admoko, S. (2021). Analisis Keterampilan Argumentasi Ilmiah Peserta Didik Pada Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Toulmin's Argumentation Pattern (TAP) Dalam Memahami Konsep Fisika Dengan Metode Library Research. *PENDIPA Journal of Science Education*, 5(1), 79–91. <https://doi.org/10.33369/pendipa.5.1.79-91>
- Walqui, A. (2006). Scaffolding Instruction for English Language Learners: A

- Conceptual Framework. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 9(2), 159–180. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050608668639>
- Waruwu, P., & Syamsudin, O. R. (2024). *The effects of vocabulary mastery and grammar on student's writing ability in analytical exposition text*. 176–186. <https://doi.org/10.30998/senndika.v1i1.7433>

Wingate, U. (2012). ‘Argument!’ helping students understand what essay writing is about. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 11(2), 145–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2011.11.001>

Wulandari, I. A., Nurhadi, N., & Roekhan, R. (2024). Needs Analysis for Developing Exposition Writing Materials Using the DTMT Method for Senior High School Students. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 16(3), 3237–3247. <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v16i3.5357>

Yang, R. (2022). An empirical study of claims and qualifiers in ESL students' argumentative writing based on Toulmin model. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, 7(1), 6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-022-00133-w>

Yuliana, D., & Gandana, I. S. S. (2018). Writers' Voice And Engagement Strategies In Students' Analytical Exposition Texts. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7(3), 613. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v7i3.9812>