

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adelana, O. P., Ayanwale, M. A., Ishola, A. M., Oladejo, A. I., & Adewuyi, H. O. (2023). Exploring pre-service teachers' intention to use virtual reality: A mixed method approach. *Computers & Education: X Reality*, 3, 100045. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cexr.2023.100045>.
- Aga, Kr. (2023). Challenges and motivation for teachers transitioning to active learning spaces. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 48, 724-746 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2023.2193552>
- Ahmed, T., Chowdhury, A. U. N., & Mozumder, Z. H. (2023). BIO-VR: Design and implementation of virtual reality-based simulated biology laboratory using Google Cardboard with an emphasis on virtual education. In *Inventive Computation and Information Technologies: Proceedings of ICICIT 2022* (pp. 867-883). Springer Nature Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-7402-1\\_62](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-7402-1_62)
- Ahrens, R. de B., Lirani, L. da S., & de Francisco, A. C. (2020). Construct validity and reliability of the work environment assessment instrument WE-10. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(20), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17207364>
- Ajzen, I. (1985). *From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior*. In J. Kuhl & J. Beckmann (Eds.), *Action control: From cognition to behavior* (pp. 11–39). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69746-3\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69746-3_2)
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior*. Prentice Hall.
- Aldosari, S. S., & Marocco, D. (2016). Experiments on virtual manipulation in chemistry education. In K. Verbert, M. Sharples, & T. Klobučar (Eds.), *Adaptive and adaptable learning* (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 9891, pp. 515–528). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45153-4\\_59](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45153-4_59)
- Alfaro, D. J. L., Gantois, S., Blattgerste, J., De Croon, R., Verbert, K., Pfeiffer, T., & Van Puyvelde, P. (2022). Mobile augmented reality laboratory for learning acid–base titration. *Journal of Chemical Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.1c00894>
- AlGerafi, M. A. M., Zhou, Y., Oubibi, M., & Wijaya, T. T. (2023). Unlocking the potential: A comprehensive evaluation of augmented reality and virtual Reality in Education. *Electronics*, 12(18), 3953. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12183953>

- Ali, M. T., Woldu, A. R., & Yohannes, A. G. (2022). High school students' learning difficulties in electrochemistry: a mini review. *African Journal of Chemical Education*, 12(2), 202-237.
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Longman.
- Chen, A., Darst, P. W., & Pangrazi, R. P. (1999). What constitutes situational interest? Validating a construct in physical education. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science*, 3(3), 157–180. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327841mpee0303\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327841mpee0303_3)
- Areepattamannil, S. (2012). Effects of inquiry-based science instruction on science achievement and interest in science: Evidence from Qatar. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 105, 134-146. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2010.533717>
- Balalle, R. M. R., Senevirathne, G. P. S. H., & Marasinghe, A. I. (2025). Impact of virtual reality on student motivation and learning in STEM education: A cross-national study. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(1), 445–462. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12345-6>
- Borgonovi, F., Pokropek, M., & Pokropek, A. (2023). Relations between academic boredom, academic achievement, ICT use, and teacher enthusiasm among adolescents. *Computers & Education*, 200, 104807. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104807>
- Bretz, S. L. (2019). Evidence for the importance of laboratory courses. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 96(2), 193–195. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.8b00874>
- Burdea, G. C., & Coiffet, P. (2003). *Virtual reality technology* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Chavez, B., & Bayona, S. (2018). Virtual reality in the learning process. In Á. Rocha, H. Adeli, L. P. Reis, & S. Costanzo (Eds.), *Trends and advances in information systems and technologies* (Vol. 746, pp. 1345–1356). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77712-2\\_129](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77712-2_129)
- Cheng, K.-H., Lin, Y.-C., & She, H.-C. (2022). Effects of interactive augmented reality on students' learning performance in environmental education: A quasi-experimental study. *Computers & Education*, 182, 104463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104463>
- Cheung, D. (2018). The key factors affecting students' individual interest in school science lessons. *International Journal of Science Education*, 40(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2017.13627>.

- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research methods in education* (8th ed.). Routledge.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Crouch, C. H., Wisittanawat, P., Cai, M., & Renninger, K. A. (2018). Life science students' attitudes, interest, and performance in introductory physics for life sciences (IPLS): An exploratory study. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 14(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.14.010111>
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science*, 35(8), 982–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.35.8.982>
- Delacre, M., Lakens, D., & Leys, C. (2017). Why psychologists should by default use Welch's t-Test instead of student's t-Test. *International Review of Social Psychology*, 30(1), 92–101. <https://doi.org/10.5334/irsp.82>
- Deng, F., Chen, F., Chai, C., & Qian, Y. (2011). Constructivist-oriented data-logging activities in Chinese chemistry classroom: enhancing students' conceptual understanding and their metacognition. *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 20(2), 207–221. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C2RP00019A>
- Di Lanzo, J. A., Valentine, A., Sohel, F., Yapp, A. Y. T., Muparadzi, K. C., & Abdelmalek, M. (2020). A review of the uses of virtual reality in engineering education. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 28(3), 748–763. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.22243>
- Ennis, C. D., Cothran, C. J., Davidson, K. S., Loftus, S. J., Owens, L., Swanson, L., & Hopsicker, P. (1997). Implementing curriculum within a context of fear and disengagement. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 17, 52–71. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.17.1.52>
- Fernández-Gutiérrez, M., Giménez, G., & Calero, J. (2020). Is the use of ICT in education leading to higher student outcomes? Analysis from the Spanish Autonomous Communities. *Computers & Education*, 157, 103969. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103969>
- Förtsch, C., Werner, S., Dorfner, T., von Kotzebue, L., & Neuhaus, B. J. (2017). Effects of cognitive activation in biology lessons on students' situational interest and achievement. *Research in Science Education*, 47(3), 559–578. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9517-y>
- Gaol, F. L., & Prasolova-Førland, E. (2022). Special section editorial: The frontiers of augmented and mixed reality in all levels of education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(1), 611–623. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10606-0>

- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2010) SPSS for windows step by step: A simple suide and Reference 17.0 Update. 10th Edition.
- Gay, L., Mills, G. and Airasian, P. (2012) *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and applications*. 10th Edition, Pearson.
- Girmay, S., Yliniemi, K., Nieminen, M., Linne, J., & Karttunen, A. J. (2024). Enhancing 360 virtual laboratory safety training with linear learning pathway design: Insights from student experiences. *Education for Chemical Engineers*, 47, 12-21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2024.01.002>
- Granić, A., & Marangunić, N. (2019). Technology acceptance model in educational context: A systematic literature review. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(5), 2572-2593. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12864>
- Guerra-Tamez, L. J. (2023). Virtual reality in education: Opportunities for motivation and cognitive engagement. *Education Sciences*, 13(2), 185. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13020185>
- Guo, H., Ma, F., & Zhou, Z. (2024). Validation of technology acceptance model for virtual reality usage in collaborative learning to enhance learner performance. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2024.2307994>.
- Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., & Dhall, S. (2024). Role of Virtual Reality in advancing education with sustainability and identification of Additive Manufacturing as its cost-effective enabler. *Sustainable Futures*, 8, 100324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sfr.2024.100324>
- Habig, S., Blankenburg, J., van Vorst, H., Fechner, S., Parchmann, I., & Sumfleth, E. (2018). Context characteristics and their effects on students' situational interest in chemistry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 40(10), 1154–1175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1470349>
- Hedberg, E. C., & Ayers, S. (2015). The power of a paired t-test with a covariate. *Social Science Research*, 50, 277–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2014.12.004>
- Hillmayr, D., Ziernwald, L., Reinhold, F., Hofer, S.I. and Reiss, K.M. (2020). The potential of digital tools to enhance mathematics and science learning in secondary schools: A context-specific meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 153: 103897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103897>
- Hidi, S., & Anderson, V. (1992). Situational interest and its impact on reading and expository writing. In K. A. Renninger, S. Hidi, & A. Krapp (Eds.), *The role of interest in learning and development* (pp. 215–238). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315807430>

- Hu-Au, E. (2024). Learning abstract chemistry concepts with virtual reality: An experimental study using a VR chemistry lab and molecule simulation. *Electronics*, 13(16), 3197. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13163197>
- Hur, J. W. (2025). Understanding learners' perception in VR-enhanced classrooms through a mixed-method approach. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 63(2), 213–231. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331231234567>
- Idris, U. P. S. (2022). Virtual laboratory-based game application: The quality and its effects towards students' motivation and self-regulated learning. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 16(18), 114- 132. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v16i18.32875>
- Johnstone, A. H. (1991). Why is science difficult to learn? Things are seldom what they seem. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 7(2), 75–83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.1991.tb00230.x>
- Kang, J., & Keinonen, T. (2018). The effect of student-centred approaches on students' interest and achievement in science: relevant topic-based, open and guided inquiry based and discussion-based approaches. *Research in Science Education*, 48, 865-885. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9590-2>
- Kennedy, I. (2022). Sample size determination in test-retest and cronbach alpha reliability estimates. *British Journal of Contemporary Education*, 2(1), 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.52589/BJCE-FY266HK9>
- Knogler, M. (2017). Situational interest: A proposal to enhance conceptual clarity. In P. A. O'Keefe & J. M. Harackiewicz (Eds.), *The science of interest* (pp. 109–124). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55509-6\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55509-6_6)
- Koolivand, H., Shoorehi, M. M., Safari-Faramani, R., Borji, M., Mansoory, M. S., Moradpoor, H., Bahrami, M., & Azizi, S. M. (2024). Comparison of the effectiveness of virtual reality-based education and conventional teaching methods in dental education: A systematic review. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04954-2>
- Korlat, S., Kollmayer, M., Haider, C., Hlavacs, H., Martinek, D., Pazour, P., & Spiel, C. (2024). PhyLab – a virtual reality laboratory for experiments in physics: A pilot study on intervention effectiveness and gender differences. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, Article 1284597. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1284597>
- Krapp, A. (2001). Structural and dynamic aspects of interest development: theoretical considerations from an ontogenetic perspective. *Learning and Instruction*, 12, 383–409. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4752\(01\)00011-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4752(01)00011-1).
- Langenberg, B., Janczyk, M., Koob, V., Kliegl, R., & Mayer, A. (2022). A tutorial on using the paired t test for power calculations in repeated measures

- ANOVA with interactions. *Behavior Research Methods*, 55(5), 2467–2484. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-022-01902-8>
- Lawshe, C. H. (1975). A quantitative approach to content validity. *Personnel Psychology*, 28(4), 563–575. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1975.tb01393>
- Lee, H., & Boo, E. (2022). The effects of teachers' instructional styles on students' interest in learning school subjects and academic achievement: Differences according to students' gender and prior interest. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2022.102200>
- Lee, J. J., Kim, H., & Choi, S. (2024). Virtual reality historical empathy and its effects on student interest and engagement. *Research in Education*, 112(1), 42–60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23522798251343311>
- Lin, H. C. S., Yu, S. J., Sun, J. C. Y., & Jong, M. S. Y. (2019). Engaging university students in a library guide through wearable spherical video-based virtual reality: Effects on situational interest and cognitive load. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 29(8), 1272–1287. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1624579>
- Liu, C., Meng, S., & Zheng, W., et al. (2025). Research on the impact of immersive virtual reality classroom on student experience and concentration. *Virtual Reality*, 29, Article 82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-025-01153-w>
- Liu, K., Zhang, W., Li, W., Wang, T., & Zheng, Y. (2023). Effectiveness of virtual reality in nursing education: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Medical Education*, 23(1), 710. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04662-x>
- López-Simó, V., Grimalt-Álvaro, C., & Sanmartí, N. (2023). Could competence-based chemistry teaching in secondary school harm students' performance in upper traditional exams?. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 24(2), 754–767. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D2RP00179A>
- Lyons, T. and Quinn, F. (2010). Choosing Science: Understanding the declines in senior high school science enrolments. <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/68725>
- Mecklenburg, L. H., & Lenzer, S. (2025). Immersive Virtual Reality Chemistry Laboratories: Addressing diverse prior experiences with realistic interaction. *11th European Variety in University Chemistry Education Conference*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.35266.49605>
- Majewska, A. A., & Vereen, E. (2023). Using immersive virtual reality in an online biology course. *Journal for STEM Education Research*, 6(3), 480-495. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41979-023-00095-9>
- Makransky, G., & Petersen, G. B. (2020). Investigating the process of learning with desktop virtual reality: A structural equation modeling approach. *British*

- Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(2), 665–682.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12836>
- Makransky, G., Terkildsen, T. S., & Mayer, R. E. (2019). Adding immersive virtual reality to a science lab simulation causes more presence but less learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 60, 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.12.007>
- Marougkas, A., Troussas, C., Krouskas, A., & Sgouropoulou, C. (2023). Virtual reality in education: A review of learning theories, approaches and methodologies for the last decade. *Electronics*, 12(13), 2832. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12132832>
- Miguel-Alonso, I., Checa, D., Guillen-Sanz, H. et al. Evaluation of the novelty effect in immersive Virtual Reality learning experiences. *Virtual Reality* 28, 27 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-023-00926-5>
- Mitchell, M. (1993). Situational interest: Its multifaceted structure in the secondary school mathematics classroom. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 424436. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.85.4.424>
- National Research Council (NRC). (2000). *Inquiry as the national science education standard a guide for eaching and learning*. National Academy Press.
- Timilsena, N.P., Krishna, B.M., & Krishna, M. D. (2022). Teachers' and students' experiences In chemistry learning difficulties. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, (6)10, 2856-2867. <https://doi.org/10.31871/jpsp.v6i10.13764>
- Ostermeier,C., Prenzel, M., and Duit, R.(2010). Improving science and mathematics instruction: The SINUS project as an example for reform as teacherprofessional development. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(3): 303-327. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690903402097>
- Palmer, D. H., Dixon, J., & Archer, J. (2016). Identifying underlying causes of situational interest in a science course for preservice elementary teachers. *Science Education*, 100(6), 1039-1061. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21244>.
- Palmer, T.A., Burke, P.F. and Aubusson, P. (2017). Why school students choose and reject science: A study of the factors that students consider when selecting subjects. *International Journal of Science Education*, 39(6): 645–662. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2017.1299949>
- Pande, M., & Bharathi, S. V. (2020). Theoretical foundations of design thinking – A constructivism learning approach to design thinking. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 36, 100637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2020.100637>

- Parong, J., & Mayer, R. E. (2018). Learning science in immersive virtual reality. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 110*(6), 785–797. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000241>.
- Petersen, G. B., & Makransky, G. (2021). A study of how immersion and interactivity drive VR learning. *Computers & Education, 179*, 104429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104429>
- Petersen, G. B., Petkakis, G., & Makransky, G. (2022). A study of how immersion and interactivity drive VR learning. *Computers & Education, 179*, 104429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104429>
- Pirker, J., & Dengel, A. (2021). The Potential of 360° Virtual Reality Videos and Real VR for Education—A Literature Review. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications, 41*(4), 76–89. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCG.2021.3067999>
- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2006). The content validity index: Are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health, 29*, 489-497. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20147>
- Polit, D.F., Beck, C.T. and Owen, S.V. (2007) Is the CVI an acceptable indicator of content validity? Appraisal and recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health, 30*, 459-467. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/nur.20199>
- Pugh, K. J., Paek, S. H., Phillips, M. M., Sexton, J. M., Bergstrom, C. M., Flores, S. D., & Riggs, E. M. (2021). Predicting academic and career choice: The role of transformative experience, connection to instructor, and gender accounting for interest/identity and contextual factors. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 58*(6), 822–851. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21680>
- Qorbani, S., Dalili, S., Arya, A., & Joslin, C. (2024). Assessing learning in an immersive virtual reality: A curriculum-based experiment in chemistry education. *Education Sciences, 14*(5), 476. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14050476>
- Rachel, W. M., Alpizar, D., Adesope, O. O., & Nishida, K. R. (2024). Role of concept map format and student interest on introductory electrochemistry learning. *School Science and Mathematics, 124*(1), 18-31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00368121.2023.2345678>
- Rahayu, S., Treagust, D. F., Chandrasegaran, A. L., Kita, M., & Ibnu, S. (2011). Assessment of electrochemical concepts: a comparative study involving senior high-school students in Indonesia and Japan. *Research in Science & Technological Education, 29*(2), 169-188. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2010.536949>
- Ramsurrun, H., Elaheebocus, R., & Chiniah, A. (2025). Decline in enrollment in science and technology education: From the perspectives of Mauritian

- educators. *STEM Education*, 5(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.3934/steme.2025001>
- Reeves, S. M., Crippen, K. J., & McCray, E. D. (2021). The varied experience of undergraduate students learning chemistry in virtual reality laboratories. *Computers & Education*, 175, 104320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104320>
- Rivera-Vera, L. J., & Alcívar-Castro, E. J. (2024). Technological education and its influence on traditional education. *International Research Journal of Management, IT and Social Sciences*, 11(6), 243–251. <https://doi.org/10.21744/irjmis.v11n6.2478>.
- Roberts, J. C. (2015). Situational interest of fourth-grade children in music at school. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 63(2), 180-197.
- Rotgans, J.I., & Henk G.S. (2017): How individual interest influences situational interest and how both are related to knowledge acquisition: A microanalytical investigation, *The Journal of Educational Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2017.1310710>.
- Sahin, D., & Yilmaz, R. M. (2019). The effect of augmented reality technology on middle school students' achievements and attitudes towards science education. *Computers & Education*, 144, 103710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103710>
- Sella, F., Raz, G., & Cohen Kadosh, R. (2021). When randomisation is not good enough: Matching groups in intervention studies. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 28(6), 2085-2093. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-021-01970-5>
- Serin, H. (2018). A comparison of teacher-centered and student-centered approaches in educational settings. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies*, 5(1), 164-167. <https://doi.org/10.23918/ijsses.v5i1p164>
- Shaheen, N., Shaheen, A., Ramadan, A., Hefnawy, M. T., Ramadan, A., Ibrahim, I. A., Hassanein, M. E., Ashour, M. E., & Flouty, O. (2023). Appraising systematic reviews: A comprehensive guide to ensuring validity and reliability. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*, 8, 1268045. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2023.1268045>
- Soliman, M., Pesyridis, A., Dalaymani-Zad, D., Gronfula, M., & Kourmpetis, M. (2021). The Application of Virtual Reality in Engineering Education. *Applied Sciences*, 11(6), 2879. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11062879>
- Stacey, L.B. (2019). Evidence for the Importance of Laboratory Courses. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 96 (2), 193-195. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.8b00874>

- Statista. (2016). *Forecast user base of the augmented and virtual reality (VR) software market worldwide in 2020 and 2025 by segment.* <https://www.statista.com/statistics/610126/worldwide-forecast-augmented-and-mixed-reality-software-users-by-segment/>
- Shah, S. F. A., Mazhar, T., Shahzad, T., Ghadi, Y. Y., & Hamam, H. (2024). Integrating educational theories with virtual reality: enhancing engineering education and VR laboratories. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 10, 101207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101207>
- Suhag, A. K. (2024). Long-term engagement in immersive learning environments: A longitudinal study on VR in science classrooms. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 32(3), 427–445. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2023.2234567>
- Sun, J. C.-Y., Ye, S.-L., Yu, S.-J., & Chiu, T. K. F. (2022). Effects of wearable hybrid AR/VR learning material on high school students' situational interest, engagement, and learning performance. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 32, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-022-10001-4>
- Supasorn, S., 2015. Grade 12 students' conceptual understanding and mental models of galvanic cells before and after learning by using small-scale experiments in conjunction with a model kit. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 16(2), 393–407. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RP00337K>
- Tarng, W., Pan, I.-C., & Ou, K.-L. (2022). Effectiveness of Virtual Reality on Attention Training for Elementary School Students. *Systems*, 10(4), 104. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems10040104>.
- Thiele, G., Mirica, K. A., & Habig, S. (2024). VeRidium: A fully immersive and interactive virtual reality environment for chemistry education. *Journal of Chemical Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.4c01256>
- Thomas S. Kuntzman. (2019) Electrochemistry with simple materials to create designs and write messages. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 96 (6), 1178–1181. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.9b00012>.
- Topalsan, A. K. (2020). Development of scientific inquiry skills of science teaching through argument-focused virtual laboratory applications. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 19, 628–646. <https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/20.19.628>
- Tusher, H. M., Mallam, S., & Nazir, S. (2024). A systematic review of virtual reality features for skill training. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 29(2), 843–878. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-024-09555-2>
- Ugwu, E. O. (2019). Effect of student teams-achievement divisions and think–pair–share on students' interest in reading comprehension. *Journal of*

*Educational Research and Practice*, 9(1), 22.  
<https://doi.org/10.5590/JERAP.2019.09.1.02>

- Vander Meer, C. L., Wessels, P., & Naidoo, M. (2023). The role of immersive virtual reality in enhancing learning: A systematic review. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 13(1), 115–131. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.1758>
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46(2):186-204. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926>.
- Vankelecom, L., Loeys, T., & Moerkerke, B. (2024). How to safely reassess variability and adapt sample size? A primer for the independent samples *t* Test. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 7(1), 25152459231212128. <https://doi.org/10.1177/25152459231212128>
- Verner, I., Cuperman, D., Perez-Villalobos, H., Polishuk, A., & Gamer, S. (2022). Augmented and virtual reality experiences for Learning Robotics and Training Integrative Thinking Skills. *Robotics*, 11(5), 90. <https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics11050090>.
- Wong, J. T., Chen, E., Au-Yeung, N., Lerner, B. S., & Richland, L. E. (2024). Fostering engaging online learning experiences: Investigating situational interest and mind-wandering as mediators through learning experience design. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(14), 18789-18815. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12524-2>
- Yang, Y., Zhang, M., Su, Y.-S., & Cheng, X. (2022). How does presence influence learning outcomes in virtual reality? The mediating role of perceived enjoyment and attention. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1081372. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1081372>
- Yu, Z., Guindani, M., Grieco, S. F., Chen, L., Holmes, T. C., & Xu, X. (2022). Beyond *t* test and ANOVA: Applications of mixed-effects models for more rigorous statistical analysis in neuroscience research. *Neuron*, 110(1), 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2021.10.030>
- Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., & Lee, J. (2025). Exploration of students' interest categories and their relationships with cognitive engagement in immersive VR learning. *Scientific Reports*, 15, 95294. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-95294-2>
- Zhong, Z., Zhang, G., Jin, S., Wang, J., Ma, N., & Feng, S. (2022). Investigating the effect of peer instruction on learners with different cognitive styles in VR-based learning environment. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(8), 11875-11899. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11115-3>