

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Agustyaningrum, N., & Pradanti, P. (2022). Teori Perkembangan Piaget Dan Vygotsky: Bagaimana Implikasinya Dalam Pembelajaran Matematika Sekolah Dasar? In *Agustyaningrum* (Vol. 5).
- AI for Education. (2023). *Generative AI explainer [Graphic]*. Retrieved March 12, 2024, from <https://www.aiforeducation.io/ai-resources/generative-ai-explainer>.
- Akpan, B., & Kennedy, T. J. (n.d.). *Springer Texts in Education Science Education in Theory and Practice An Introductory Guide to Learning Theory*. <http://www.springer.com/series/13812>
- Ali Khan, B., & Abbas, A. (2021). Evaluating the Perceptions of Primary School Teachers' regarding SOLO Taxonomy. *Asian Social Studies*, 2. www.solotaxonomy.com
- Anak, P., Dini, U., Pendidikan Dasar, J., Jenjang, D., Menengah, P., Standar, B., & Pendidikan, D. A. (2025). *Pembelajaran dan Asesmen*.
- Anderman, E. M., & Sinatra, G. M. (2019). *The Challenges of Teaching and Learning about Science in the 21 st Century: Exploring the Abilities and Constraints of Adolescent Learners*.
- Anderson, L. W. , & K. D. R. (2001). A Taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing; A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. . *New York: Longman*.
- Arizona Institute For Education. (2025). *Generative Artificial Intelligence in K-12 Education . Artificial Intelligence, Robots, and Work*. (n.d.). <https://doi.org/10.2307/26594285>
- Atmaca, A. C., Kiray, S. A., & Pehlivan, M. (2019). Development of a Measurement Tool for Sustainable Development Awareness. *International Journal of Assessment Tools in Education*, 6(1), 80–91. <https://doi.org/10.21449/ijate.518099>
- Bailin, S. (2002). *Critical thinking and science education*. *Sci. Educ.* 11, 361–375.
- Bakırcı,. (2015). *Science teachers' views on the common knowledge construction model*.
- Baron, P. , & A. S. (2015). *A cybernetic approach to contextual teaching and learning*.
- Bates, T., Cobo, C., Mariño, O., & Wheeler, S. (2020). Can artificial intelligence transform higher education? In *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* (Vol. 17, Issue 1). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-00218-x>
- Biernacka,(2006). *Developing scientific literacy of grade five students: A teacher researcher collaborative effort*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Manitoba.
- Branch,(1996). *Instructional design as a response to the complexities of instruction*. In N. Venkataiah (Ed.), *Educational technology* (pp. 21–49). New Delhi: S. B. Nangia for APH Publishing Corporation.
- Bransford, (2006). *Learning theories and education: Toward a decade of synergy*. In P. A. Alexander & P. H. Winne (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 209–244). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- BSKAP, K. (2025a). *Panduan Mata Pelajaran Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam dan Sosial (IPAS) Fase B dan C*.
- BSKAP, K. (2025b). *Panduan Pengembangan Kurikulum Satuan pendidikan Edisi Revisi Tahun 2025*.
- BSKAP, K. (2025d). *Pembelajaran Koding dan Kecerdasan Artificial*.
- BSKAP, K. (2025e). *Sains Teknologi Enjinering Matematika (STEM)*.
- BSKSP, K. P. K. dan P. (2025). *Kementerian Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah Republik Indonesia- Pembelajaran Mendalam*.
- Burton, N. (2012a). Primary science: knowledge and understanding. *Education 3-13*, 40(2), 220–221. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2011.605066>
- Çalık, M. , & Cobern, W. W. (2017). *A cross-cultural study of CKCM efficacy in an undergraduate chemistry classroom*.
- Çalık M., A. A. and E. J. V. (2009). *Analogical reasoning for understanding solution rates: Students' conceptual change and chemical explanations*, *Res. Sci. Technol. Educ.*, 27(3), 283–308.
- Cambridge. (2018). *40 Implementing the curriculum with Cambridge: A guide for school leaders*.
- Cambridge. (2020). *Cambridge Life Competencies Framework: Critical Thinking*.

- Cambridge Education. (2023). International Education Cambridge Mission Statements. <https://www.cambridgeinternational.org/Why-Choose-Us/Benefits-of-a-Cambridge-Education/International-Curriculum/>.
- Campbell, D. T. , & S. J. C. (1963). Experimental and quasi-experimental design for research. *Hope- Well, NJ: Houghton Mifflin Company*.
- Candaş, B., & Çalik, M. (2022a). Effect of CKCM- Oriented Instruction on Grade 8 Students' Conceptual Understanding of Sustainable Development. *Journal of Biological Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2021.2006748>
- Carroll, W. M. (n.d.-a). *Artificial Intelligence, Critical Thinking, and the Nursing Process*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338111542>
- Cecilia Ka Yuk Chan and Tom Colloton. (2024). *Generative AI in Higher Education The ChatGPT Effect*.
- Chiu, (2020). *Sustainable curriculum planning for artificial intelligence education: A self-determination theory perspective*. *Sustainability*.
- Chu, S., Reynolds, Tavares, Notari, M., & Lee, (2016). 21st century skills development through inquiry-based learning: From theory to practice. In *21st Century Skills Development Through Inquiry-Based Learning: From Theory to Practice*. Springer Singapore. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2481-8>
- Clark, (2012). *Why Should We Expect Media to Teach Anyone Anything? Learning from Media*. North Carolina: IAP. .
- Combs(2009). *Developing Critical and Creative Thinkers: Toward a Conceptual Model of Creative and Critical Thinking Processes*. *E ducational Technology* , 49 (5), 3-14.
- Computer Science Teachers Association. (2025). *AI Learning Priorities For All K-12 Students*.
- Costa. (1985). *Goals for a Critical Thinking Curriculum*. In A. L. Costa (Ed.), *Developing Mind: A Resource Book for Teaching Thinking*. ASCD: Alexandria, Virginia. .
- Creswell.(2005). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (2nd ed.). *Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson*.
- Creswell. (2015). *Educational Reserach; Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research, 5th Edition*. Boston: Person.
- Creswell. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry & Reserach Design, Choosing Among Five Approaches, 4th edition*.
- Danry, V. M. (2023). *AI Enhanced Reasoning: Augmenting Human Critical Thinking with AI Systems* *AUTHORED BY*.
- Dick & Carey. (2015). *The Systematic Design of Instruction (8th ed.)*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Dick, W. , C. L. , & C. J. O. (2005). *The systematic design of instruction*. Boston, Massachusetts: Pearson/Allyn and Bacon.
- Dorling Kindesley. (2023). *Simply Artificial Intelligence*.
- Dr. Robert Sweetland. (n.d.). *Common Knowledge Construction Model*.
- Ebenezer, J. (2013b). Social justice pedagogy for all science learners. *Studies in Science Education*, 49(2), 252–264. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2013.802461>
- Ebenezer, J. , & F. D. M. (2001). *First year chemical engineering students' conceptions of energy in solution processes: Phenomenographic categories for common knowledge construction*. *Science Education*, 85(5), 509–535.
- Ebenezer, J. , C. S. , & I. N. (2004). *Common knowledge construction model for teaching and learning science: Applications in the Indian context*. Retrieved Jan. 12, 2017 from http://www.hbcse.tifr.res.in/episteme-1/themes/jazlin_Ebenezer_finalpaper.pdf.
- Ebenezer, J. (2010). *The Effects of Common Knowledge Construction Model Sequence of Lessons on Science Achievement and Relational Conceptual Change*, *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47, 1, 25–46.
- Ebenezer, J., Chacko, S., & Immanuel, N. (2019). *Common Knowledge Construction Model for Teaching and Learning Science: Applications in the Indian Context*.
- Ebenezer, J. V. , & C. S. (1998). *Learning to teach science: A model for the 21 century*. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice- Hall, Inc., Simon and Schuster/A. Viacom Company. .

- Elder, L. , & P. R. (1996). *Critical thinking: A stage theory of critical thinking: Part I. Journal of Developmental Education*, 20 (1), 34-35.
- Elder, L. , & P. R. (1997). *Critical thinking: Crucial distinctions for questioning. Journal of Developmental Education*, 21 (2), 34-35.
- Elder, L. , & P. R. (2002). *Critical thinking: Distinguishing between inferences and assumptions. Journal of Developmental Education* 25(3), 34-35.
- Eloundou, (2023). *Gpts are gpts: An early look at the labor market impact potential of large language m.*
- Ennis, R. H. (1996). *Critical thinking, Reasoning, Logic.*
- Ennis R.H. (2015). *A streamlined conception. In M.Davies & R. Barnett (Eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of Critical Thinking in Higher Education .*
- Ennis, Robert. (2015). *The Nature of Critical Thinking: Outlines of General Critical Thinking Dispositions and Abilities.*
- Ernst, D. C. (2023). *An Introduction to Proof via Inquiry-Based Learning.*
- Ernst, D. C., Hodge, A., & Yoshinobu, S. (2017). What Is Inquiry-Based Learning? *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, 64(06), 570–574. <https://doi.org/10.1090/noti1536>
- Ertuğrul, N. (2015). *The effect of the common knowledge construction model on learning products in science teaching.*
- Essien, A., Bukoye, O. T., O'Dea, C., & Kremantzis, M. (2024). The influence of AI text generators on critical thinking skills in UK business schools. *Studies in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2024.2316881>
- Farhana, F., Suryadi, A., & Wicaksono, D. (n.d.). *Pengembangan Bahan Ajar Berbasis Digital Pada Mata Pelajaran Bahasa Inggris.*
- Feldbacher-Escamilla, C. J., Gebharter, A., & Schurz, G. (2017). Philosophy of Science Between the Natural Sciences, the Social Sciences, and the Humanities: Introduction. *Journal for General Philosophy of Science*, 48(3), 317–326. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10838-017-9378-8>
- Fitzgerald, A., & Smith, K. (2016b). Science that matters: Exploring science learning and teaching in primary schools. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(4), 64–78. <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2016v41n4.4>
- Fitzgerald, A., & Smith, K. (2016c). Science that Matters: Exploring Science Learning and Teaching in Primary Schools. In *Australian Journal of Teacher Education* (Vol. 41, Issue 4). <http://ro.ecu.edu.au/ajte/vol41/iss4/4> Retrieved from <http://ro.ecu.edu.au/ajte/vol41/iss4/4>
- Fitzgerald, Angela. (2013). *Learning and teaching primary science*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gagné, R. M. (1970). *The conditions of learning*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Gagne, R. M. , et. al. , (2005). *Principles of Instructional Design*. New York: Thomson Learning, Inc.,.
- Gall, M (2003). *Educational Research, an Introduction, 7th Edition*. Boston: Perason.
- Garraway-Lashley, Y. M. (2019). Teaching Science at the Primary school Level: “Problems Teachers” are facing”. In *Asian Journal of Education and e-Learning*. www.ajouronline.com
- Gerlich, M. (2025). AI Tools in Society: Impacts on Cognitive Offloading and the Future of Critical Thinking. *Societies*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc15010006>
- Gholam, A. (n.d.). Inquiry-Based Learning: Student Teachers’ Challenges and Perceptions. In *Journal of Inquiry & Action in Education* (Vol. 10, Issue 2).
- Gocen, A., & Aydemir, F. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Education and Schools. *Research on Education and Media*, 12(1), 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.2478/rem-2020-0003>
- Grover, V. (n.d.). *Classroom Cybernetics: an Approach for Effective and Efficient Classroom Teaching*. www.ijrat.org
- Halpern, D. F. (2014). *Thought and knowledge: An introduction to critical thinking (5th ed.)*. Psychology Press.
- Hamzah. (2015). *Belajar dan pembelajaran*.
- Halpern Diane F., D. D. S. (2022). *Thought and Knowledge An Introduction to Critical Thinking*.
- Hannie de Bie, P. W. H. van der M. (2015). *The Halpern Critical Thinking Assessment: Toward a Dutch appraisal of critical thinking*.

- Hansson, L., et al. (2021). *Judul tidak tersedia terkait pembelajaran sains*.
- Hary Cloke. (2023). *Edgar Dale's Cone of Experiences: A Comprehensive Guide Learning Theory online learning*.
- Hattie, J. (2012). *Visible Learning for Teachers: Maximizing Impact on Learning*. London: Routledge.
- Hook, P., & Roberts, J. (2018). The who, what, when, where, and why of SOLO taxonomy. *Set: Research Information for Teachers*, 2, 48. <https://doi.org/10.18296/set.0109>
- How, M. L., & Hung, W. L. D. (2019). Educing AI-thinking in science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics (STEAM) education. *Education Sciences*, 9(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci9030184>
- Hunter, W. J. (2017). *Evidence-Based Teaching in the 21st Century*. 40(2), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.2307/90010108>
- IBO. (2025). *PYP Science Subject*.
- Ihsan Dacholfany, M., Latif Saifi, I., & Sulaiman, S. (n.d.). *Connectivism And Constructivism Approaches To Social Learning Theory*.
- Inquiry-Based Learning*. (n.d.). <http://www.ecologicalfootprint.com/>
- International Forum on AI and Education Ensuring AI as a Common Good to Transform Education Synthesis Report*. (n.d.). <http://www.unesco>.
- İyibil, Ü. (2011). A new Approach for Teaching “Energy” Concept: The Common Knowledge Construction Model. *Western Anatolia Journal of Educational Sciences (WAJES)*.
- Jafari Amineh, R., & Davatgari Asl, H. (2015). Journal of Social Sciences, Literature and Languages Review of Constructivism and Social Constructivism. In ©2015 JSSLL Journal (Vol. 1, Issue 1).
- Johannesson and E. Perjons. (2014). *An Introduction to Design Science*, 1st ed Springer.
- Joyce Bruce; Weil Marsha; Calhoun Emily. (2015). *Models of Teaching*.
- Kaya. (2016). *Discourse patterns and communicative approaches for teaching nature of science*. *Education and Science*, 41 (185), 83-99.
- Kemdikbudristek. (2022). *Capaian Pembelajaran Mata Pelajaran Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam dan Sosial (IPAS) Fase A-Fase C Untuk SD/MI/Program Paket A*.
- Kemdikbudristek. (2024). *Kemdikbudristek nomor 032/H/KR/2024 tentang capaian pembelajaran pada tingkat usia dini, dasar, dan menengah*.
- Kemedikbudristek. (2025). *Pembelajaran dan Asesmen*.
- Kemedikdasmen. (2025). *Garis Besar Pembelajaran Mendalam*.
- Kemendidasmen. (2025). *Pedoman Implementasi Pembelajaran Mendalam, Nomor 126/P/2025*.
- Kemendikdasmen. (2025a). *Alur Perkembangan Kompetensi*.
- Kemendikdasmen. (2025b). *Capaian Pembelajaran Pada Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini, Jenjang Pendidikan Dasar dan Jenjang Pendidikan Menengah*.
- Kemendikdasmen. (2025c). *Pembelajaran Mendalam*.
- Kemendikdasmen. (2025d). *Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah Republik Indonesia- Nomor 13 Tahun 2025*.
- Kemendikdasmen. (2025e). *Standar Kompetensi Lulusan Pada Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini, Jenjang Pendidikan Dasar, dan Jenjang Pendidikan Menengah- Nomor 10 Tahun 2025*.
- Khalil, M. K., & Elkhider, I. A. (2016). Best Practices Applying learning theories and instructional design models for effective instruction Khalil MK, Elkhider IA. Applying learning theories and instructional design models for effective instruction. *Adv Physiol Educ*, 40, 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00138.2015>.-Faculty
- Kharbach, M. (2025). *Choosing the Right AI Tool for Your Class*.
- Khatimah, H., Nurhalisa, E., Afifah, N., & Agama Islam Negeri Palangka Raya, I. (2022). *Stimulasi Zone Of Proximal Development Anak Usia Dini Melalui Metode Eksplorasi* (Vol. 3, Issue 2).
- Kidman, G., & Casinader, N. (2019). Developing teachers' environmental literacy through inquiry-based practices. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 15(6). <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/103065>

- Kim, S., Raza, M., & Seidman, E. (2019). Improving 21st-century teaching skills: The key to effective 21st-century learners. *Research in Comparative and International Education*, 14(1), 99–117. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745499919829214>
- Kotsis, K. T. (2024). Significance of Experiments in Inquiry-based Science Teaching. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy*, 5(2), 86–92. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejedu.2024.5.2.815>
- Krathwohl, D. R. (2017). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: Affective domain*. Longman.
- Krenn, M., Pollice, R., Guo, S. Y., Aldeghi, M., Cervera-Lierta, A., Friederich, P., dos Passos Gomes, G., Häse, F., Jinich, A., Nigam, A. K., Yao, Z., & Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2022). On scientific understanding with artificial intelligence. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 4(12), 761–769. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-022-00518-3>
- Kronberg, J. R., & Griffin, M. S. (2000). *Analysis Problems-A Means to Developing Students' Critical-Thinking Skills: Pushing the Boundaries of Higher-Order Thinking* (Vol. 29, Issue 5).
- Kurniawati, S., S. D., B. L., & Y. A. (2018). Education in Indonesia: A White Elephant? *Journal of Southeast Asian Economies (JSEAE)*, 35, 185 - 199.
- Kurniawati, W., Mardian Sungkari, F., Fitri Utami, A., Ria Adini, A., Puspitasari, L., Nurbiyanti, A., Pramudiyanti, H., Widiastuti, I., Septi Besdaningrum, D., Praptiwi, N., Vera Santi, E., Kholifah, E., & Marsanti, Y. (2023). *Pembelajaran IPA di Sekolah Dasar*.
- Lampert, N. (2006a). Enhancing Critical Thinking with Aesthetic Critical, and Creative Inquiry. In *Education* (Vol. 59, Issue 5).
- Lancor, R. L., & Schiebel, K. (2015)
- Latta, M. M., Hanson, K., Ragoonaden, K., Briggs, W., & Middleton, T. (2017). *Accessing the Curricular Play of Critical and Creative Thinking*. 40(3), 191–218. <https://doi.org/10.2307/90014776>
- Lee, H. P. (Hank), Sarkar, A., Tankelevitch, L., Drosos, I., Rintel, S., Banks, R., & Wilson, N. (2025, April 26). The Impact of Generative AI on Critical Thinking: Self-Reported Reductions in Cognitive Effort and Confidence Effects From a Survey of Knowledge Workers. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3706598.3713778>
- Lee, J., An, T., Chu, H. E., Hong, H. G., & Martin, S. N. (2023). Improving Science Conceptual Understanding and Attitudes in Elementary Science Classes through the Development and Application of a Rule-Based AI Chatbot. *Asia-Pacific Science Education*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.1163/23641177-bja10070>
- Li, H., G. J., & D. R. (2019). *Evaluating the transfer of scaffolded inquiry: What sticks and does it last?*. In *International Conference on artificial intelligence in education* (pp. 163–168). Springer.
- Lindner, A., & Romeike, R. (n.d.-a). *Teachers' Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence*. <https://experiments.withgoogle.com/teachable-machine>,
- Linn. (2004). *The scaffolded knowledge integration framework for instruction*. In M. C. Linn, E. A. Davis, & P. Bell (Eds.), *Internet environments for science education* (pp. 47–72). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Luh Gede Sutaryani, Ni Made Pujani, & I Nyoman Tika. (2024). Project-Based Learning on Science Process Skills and Learning Outcomes in High School Physics: A Quasi-Experimental Study on the Topic of Fluids. *Journal of Education Research and Evaluation*, 8(4), 806–815. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jere.v8i4.83769>
- Lumpkin, A. (2020). Effective Teaching and Learning—A Five-Step Process. *Journal of Education and Culture Studies*, 4(3), p32. <https://doi.org/10.22158/jecs.v4n3p32>
- Magiera, K., Pittou, D., Papasalouros, A., Kotis, K., Zangogianni, P., & Daradoumis, A. (2022). Educational AI Chatbots for Content and Language Integrated Learning. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 12(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12073239>
- Marbun, T. O. (2023). The Implementation of Artificial Intelligence, Chatgpt, And Critical Thinking Method for Academic Endeavors at Theological Higher Education. *Journal Didaskalia*, 6(2), 84–100. <https://doi.org/10.33856/didaskalia.v6i2.309>
- Mark Bennett. (n.d.). The Invisible Hand of Inquiry-based Learning. *Childhood Education*, 91(5), 388–389.
- Marzano, R. (1988). *Dimensions of thinking: A framework for curriculum and instruction*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

- Mayer, R. M. (1999). *Designing Instruction for Constructivist Learning*. C. M. Reigeluth's *Instructional Design Theories and Models: A New Paradigm of Instructional Theory*, Vol. II, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Pub.
- McGrath, D. , & K. H. (2024). *How critically can an AI think? A framework for evaluating the quality of thinking of generative artificial intelligence*.
- McKinsey Company. (2024, April). *What is Generative AI*.
- McMurtrie, B. (2018). *How Artificial Intelligence Is Changing Teaching*. *Media dan Teknologi Pembelajaran*. (n.d.).
- Mengistie, S. M. (2020). Available online at ijci.wcci-international.org International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction 12(1) Primary School Teachers' Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Differentiated Instruction: The Case of In-Service Teacher-Trainees of Debre Markos College of Teacher Education, West Gojjam Zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia. In *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction*.
- Meyers, C. (1986). *Teaching students to think critically*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Miao, F. , S. K. , & L. N. (2024). *AI competency framework for students*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. <https://doi.org/10.54675/JKJB9835>.
- Mieg Editor, H. A. (n.d.). *Inquiry-Based Learning-Undergraduate Research*.
- Mishra, P. (2023). *Generative AI is WEIRD*. <https://punyamishra.com/2023/12/19/generative-ai-is-weird/>.
- Murdoch. (2015). *Murdoch (2015) The Power of Inquiry: teaching and learning with curiosity, creativity and purpose in the contemporary classroom*. Seastar Education, Melbourne.
- Mustafa, A. N. (2023). *Reflection on the Latest PISA Results of Indonesia*. *Int. J. of Adv. Res.* 11 (May). 1223-1228.
- Narayanti, P. S., Purba, A., & Nugraha, A. R. (2024). Measuring the Improvement of Students' Critical Thinking Abilities through Seamless Learning: A Quasi-Experimental Approach. *Journal of Social Science Utilizing Technology*, 2(1), 349–359. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jssut.v2i1.749>
- Nassar, S. (n.d.). *Future Aspects of Critical Thinking and AI*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/347879174>
- Niemi, H., Pea, R. D., & Lu, Y. (2022). AI in Learning: Designing the Future. In *AI in Learning: Designing the Future*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-09687-7>
- North Carolina Department of Public Instruction. (2024). *North Carolina generative AI recommendations and considerations for PK-13 public schools, version 24.02*. https://drive.google.com/file/d/1AMH50jKoulQwQ0j_YAOrSgAKh8Bs4n_q/view.
- Nugroho, et al. (2025). *Deep Learning dalam Pembelajaran di Sekolah Dasar*. Jakarta: Edupedia Publisher.
- Nurfillaili, U., Yusuf, M., Santih, T., Jurusan, A., Fisika, P., Tarbiyah, F., & Keguruan, D. (2016). Pengembangan Instrumen Tes Hasil Belajar Kognitif Mata Pelajaran Fisika pada Potok Bahasan Usaha dan Energi di tingkat SMA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika*, 4(2). <http://journal.uin-alauddin.ac.id/indeks.php/PendidikanFisika>
- OECD. (2023). *OECD Future of Education and Skills*. <https://www.Oecd.Org/Education/2030-Project/>.
- OECD. (2024). *OECD Future of Education and Skills 2030*.
- OECD. (2025). *Empowering Learners for the Age of AI*.
- Özdemir, G., & Clark, D. B. (2007). An overview of conceptual change theories. In *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education* (Vol. 3, Issue 4, pp. 351–361). Modestum LTD. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/75414>
- Pahru, et al. (2025). *Hasil belajar dan proses kognitif IPA di sekolah dasar*.
- Park, J., Teo, T. W., Teo, A., Chang, J., Huang, J. S., & Koo, S. (2023). Integrating artificial intelligence into science lessons: teachers' experiences and views. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00454-3>
- Paul, R. , & E. L. (2006). . *Critical Thinking: Learn the Tools the Best Thinkers Use*. Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Peter A. Facione. (2016). *Think Critically 3d Edition*.
- Petri, H. L. (1986). *Motivation: Theory and Research*.
- Piaget, J. (n.d.). *THE PSYCHOLOGY OF THE CHILD*.

- Pinarbaşı, T., Canpolat, N., Bayrakçeken, S., & Geban, Ö. (2006). An investigation of effectiveness of conceptual change text-oriented instruction on students' understanding of solution concepts. *Research in Science Education*, 36(4), 313–335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-005-9003-4>
- Popescu, E., & Badea, G. (2020a). International Forum of Educational Technology & Society Exploring a Community of Inquiry Supported by a Social Media-Based Learning. *Technology & Society*, 23(2), 61–76. <https://doi.org/10.2307/26921134>
- Rabak, T. (1974). Beyond Theory: The Art and Practice of Critical Thinking. In *Source: Journal of Correctional Education* (Vol. 39, Issue 2). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23294152>
- Reiser, R. A., R. R. A., & D. J. V. (2011). *Trends and issues in instructional design and technology*. Pearson.
- Reyna, J., Hanham, J., & Meier, P. (2017). A taxonomy of digital media types for Learner-Generated Digital Media assignments. *E-Learning and Digital Media*, 14(6), 309–322. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2042753017752973>
- Richard, J. C. dan R. (2001). *Approach, Method, and Technique Teaching Language*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Ritchhart, R. C. M. and M. K. (2011). *Making thinking visible: How to promote engagement, understanding, and independence for all learners*. San Francisco, CA, USA. Jossey-Bass.
- Routledge. (2023). *Handbook of research on science education : Vol. III* (Norman G., Lederman Dana L. Zeidler, & L. Judith S., Eds.). Routledge Taylor and Francis Group.
- Samatowa, U. (2016). *Pembelajaran IPA di sekolah dasar*. Prenada Media.
- Sammel, A. J. (2014). Science as a Human Endeavour: Outlining Scientific Literacy and Rethinking Why We Teach Science. *Creative Education*, 05(10), 849–857. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2014.510098>
- Sandika, B., Josephirra, P., & Heryadi, D. (2024). *Pembelajaran IPA dan keterampilan proses sains*.
- Schmaltz, R. M., Jansen, E., & Wenckowski, N. (2017). Redefining critical thinking: Teaching students to think like scientists. In *Frontiers in Psychology* (Vol. 8, Issue MAR). Frontiers Research Foundation. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00459>
- Schunk, D. H. (2012). *Learning theories : an educational perspective*. Pearson.
- Science, Engineering & Technology. (n.d.). <https://doi.org/10.2307/27204458>
- Setty, R. & P. I. (2023). AI-generated art lacks copyright protection, D.C. court says. *Bloomberg Law*. <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/ip-law/ai-generated-art-lacks-copyright-protection-d-c-court-rules>.
- Shaughnessy, M. F., Varela, M., & Liu, Z. (2017). Critical Thinking in Science: What Are the Basics? *World Journal of Educational Research*, 4(4), 585. <https://doi.org/10.22158/wjer.v4n4p585>
- Sing Chai, C., Lin, P.-Y., Siu-Yung Jong, M., Dai, Y., F Chiu, T. K., & Qin, J. (2021). International Forum of Educational Technology & Society Perceptions of and Behavioral Intentions towards Learning Artificial Intelligence in Primary School Students. *Technology & Society*, 24(3), 89–101. <https://doi.org/10.2307/27032858>
- Singh, S., & Yaduvanshi, S. (2014a). Constructivism in Science Classroom: Why and How. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 5(3). www.ijsrp.org
- Singh, S., & Yaduvanshi, S. (2014b). Constructivism in Science Classroom: Why and How. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 5(3). www.ijsrp.org
- Siregar, Torang. (2023). Stages of Research and Development Model Research and Development (R&D). *DIROSAT: Journal of Education, Social Sciences & Humanities*, 1(4), 142–158. <https://doi.org/10.58355/dirosat.v1i4.48>
- SOLO Taxonomy for Deepening the Learning Journey. (n.d.).
- Standar, badan kubikulum, dan asesmen pendidikan K. (n.d.). *CP Bahasa Indonesia*.
- Sternberg, R. J., & L. J. P. (2004). *The nature of reasoning: Teaching for thinking*. Washington, DC: Cambridge University Press.
- Suastara, I. W. (2017). *Pembelajaran sains terkontekstualisasi untuk mengembangkan kompetensi abad 21*. *Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia*, 6(1), 1–13.
- Sugiyono. (2014). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*.
- Susanto, A. (2013). *Teori belajar dan pembelajaran di sekolah dasar*. Kencana.

- Sutman, J. , S. J. & J. D. (2008). *The Science quest: Using inquiry / discoery to enchange studentlearning*. New Jersey: Jossey-Bass.
- Suwartiningsih, S. (2021). Penerapan Pembelajaran Berdiferensiasi untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa pada Mata Pelajaran IPA Pokok Bahasan Tanah dan Keberlangsungan Kehidupan di Kelas IXb Semester Genap SMPN 4 Monta Tahun Pelajaran 2020/2021. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Indonesia (JPPI)*, 1(2), 80–94. <https://doi.org/10.53299/jppi.v1i2.39>
- Svensäter, G., & Rohlin, M. (2023). Assessment model blending formative and summative assessments using the SOLO taxonomy. *European Journal of Dental Education*, 27(1), 149–157. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eje.12787>
- Swargiary, K. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8191257>
- Swiecki, Z. , K. H. , & C. G. (2022). *Assessment in the age of artificial intelligence*. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*.
- TeachAI & Computer Science Teachers Association. (2024). *Guidance on the future of computer science education in an age of AI*. <https://www.teachai.org/media/guidance-on-the-future-of-computer-science-education-in-an-age-of-ai>.
- The Teaching of Science in Primary Schools*. (n.d.).
- Touretzky, D. , & G.-M. C. (2022). *Artificial intelligence thinking in K–12*. In S.C. Kong & H. Abelson (Eds.). *Computational Thinking Education in K–12: Artificial Intelligence Literacy and Physical Computing*. The MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/13375.001.0001>.
- Unesco. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. <https://www.unesco.org/en/open-access/cc-sa>
- UNESCO (United Nations Educational, S. and C. O. (2021). *Report of the Social and Human Sciences Commission (SHS).UNESCO. General Conference, 41st, 2021Item 8.2, the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence, Adopted. 2411.2021. 41 C/73Annex. Document code:41 C/73. Paris.*<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379920.page=14> *Introduction to AI in Learning: Designing the Future*.
- United Nations Educational, S. and C. O. (n.d.). *Digital Literacy*. In *TVETipedia Glossary*. Retrieved March 25, 2024, from <https://unevoc.unesco.org/home/TVETipedia+Glossary/show=term/term=Digital+literacy>.
- Urbani, J. M., Roshandel, S., & Michaels, R. (2017). Developing and Modeling 21st-Century Skills with Preservice Teachers. *Truesdell Source: Teacher Education Quarterly*, 44(4), 27–50. <https://doi.org/10.2307/90014088>
- U.S. Department of Education, O. of E. T. (2024). *Empowering education leaders: A toolkit for safe, ethical, and equitable AI integration*.
- Van, H. B., & Doan, T. N. (2021). The Teaching Method of Science Subject in Primary Schools Based on Students' Competency Development: Results From a Field Study. *Asian Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 136–152. <https://doi.org/10.34256/ajir21112>
- Viveiro, A., Cristina, M., Zancul, S., Neves Da Rocha, P., & Lorenzetti, L. (2024). *Science Teaching in Primary School: theoretical essays and experiences from American, Asian and European researchers*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382206799>
- Voon Xin Pei, Chee-Kit Looi, Lung-Hsiang Wong, & Wenli Chen. (2020). *Constructivism-informed variation theory lesson designs in enriching and elevating science learning: Case studies of seamless learning design*.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1986). *Mind and Behavior*.
- Wahyuni, S., Irmawanty, I., & Hambali, H. (2023). *Pembelajaran IPA dan keterampilan proses sains murid SD*.
- Wang, Y., et al. (2024). *IPA learning characteristics in elementary schools*.
- Wall, S., & Manger, R. (2015). Going SOLO to enhance learning and teaching. In *Source: Teaching Geography* (Vol. 40, Issue 3). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26383210>
- Walters, K. S. (n.d.). *How Critical Is Critical Thinking?* (Vol. 64, Issue 1).

- Wang, C., Chen, X., Yu, T., Liu, Y., & Jing, Y. (2024). Education reform and change driven by digital technology: a bibliometric study from a global perspective. In *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* (Vol. 11, Issue 1). Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02717-y>
- Washington Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction. (2024). *Human-centered AI guidance for K-12 public schools*. <https://ospi.k12.wa.us/student-success/resources-subject-area/human-centered-artificial-intelligence-schools>.
- Whannell, R., & Yeigh, T. (2017). Theory and practice in science education. In *Teaching Secondary Science: Theory and Practice* (pp. 45–72). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316882535.005>
- Wibowo Firmanul Catur, (2025). *Integrating artificial intelligence chatbot climate change with physics digital modules for student learning assistants*.
- Wiener, N. (1948). *Cybernetics, or, Control and communication in the animal and the machine*. The Technology Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Retrieved from <https://worldcat.org/title/14673572>.
- World Economic Forum. (2025). *Future of jobs report 2025: Insight report January 2025*. https://reports.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_Report_2025.pdf.
- Xiao, L., Vrabie, C., Jose, B., Cherian, J., Molly Verghis, A., Mary Varghise, S., & Joseph, S. (n.d.). *OPEN ACCESS EDITED BY The cognitive paradox of AI in education: between enhancement and erosion*. <https://www.ideta.io/blog-posts-english/top---ai-tools->
- Xie, C., Ding, X., & Jiang, R. (n.d.). *Making Science Visible in Engineering Design for Learning and Teaching*.
- Yablonskaya, L. V. (2023). *Innovative cybernetic approach in learning process*. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 164, <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202316400108>.
- Yair Levy. (2011). *A Guide for Novice Researchers on Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Studies in Information Systems Research*.
- Yang, S. Y., Lin, Y. C., & Lin, Y. T. (2024). Improving Elementary Students' Computational Thinking Skills through an Educational Robot Intervention: A Quasi-Experimental Study. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 23(9), 343–360. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.23.9.18>
- Zewe, A. (2025). *Generative AI's environmental impact*. MIT News. <https://news.mit.edu/2025/explained-generative-ai-environmental-impact-0117>.
- Zeynep Koyunlu Unlu, I. D. (2020). *The Effect of Technology-Supported Inquiry-Based Learning in Science Education: Action Research*.
- Zhai, C., Wibowo, S., & Li, L. D. (2024). The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: a systematic review. *Smart Learning Environments*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00316-7>