

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ackerman, R., & Thompson, V. A. (2017). Meta-reasoning: Monitoring and control of thinking and reasoning. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 21(8), 607-617.
- Ainsworth, S. (2006). DeFT: A conceptual framework for considering learning with multiple representations. *Learning and Instruction*, 16(3), 183–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2006.03.001>
- Alonzo, A. C., & Gotwals, A. W. (Eds.). (2012). *Learning progressions in science: Current challenges and future directions*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Araújo, J. L., & Saúde, I. (2024). Can ChatGPT Enhance Chemistry Laboratory Teaching? Using Prompt Engineering to Enable AI in Generating Laboratory Activities. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 101(5), 1858–1864. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00745>
- Arievitch, I. M. (2020). Reprint of: The vision of Developmental Teaching and Learning and Bloom's Taxonomy of educational objectives. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 27, 100473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lcsi.2020.100473>
- Arslan, H. O., & Genc, M. (2024). The STEM Education Approach for Conceptual Learning: In R. Kumar, E. T. Ong, S. Anggoro, & T. L. Toh (Eds.), *Advances in Higher Education and Professional Development* (pp. 43–73). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3699-1.ch002>
- Bächtold, M. (2013). What Do Students “Construct” According to Constructivism in Science Education? *Research in Science Education*, 43(6), 2477–2496. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-013-9369-7>
- Badger, E., & Thomas, B. (n.d.). *Open-Ended Questions in Reading*. <https://doi.org/10.7275/FRYF-Z044>
- Baidoo-Anu, D., & Owusu Ansah, L. (2023). Education in the Era of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI): Understanding the Potential Benefits of ChatGPT in Promoting Teaching and Learning. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4337484>
- Banihashem, S. K., Bond, M., Bergdahl, N., Khosravi, H., & Noroozi, O. (2025). A systematic mapping review at the intersection of artificial intelligence and self-regulated learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 22(1), 50.
- Barke, H.-D. (with Hazari, A., & Sileshi Yitbarek). (2009). *Misconceptions in Chemistry: Addressing Perceptions in Chemical Education*. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg.
- Bell, T., Urhahne, D., Schanze, S., & Ploetzner, R. (2010). Collaborative Inquiry Learning: Models, tools, and challenges. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(3), 349–377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690802582241>
- Bellary, S., Sarkar, S., & Mishra, A. N. (2025). Generative artificial intelligence for management education: Applications, benefits, challenges and future research directions. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 39(5), 1217–1239. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-10-2024-0653>

- Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (Eds.). (2013). *The Psychology of Written Composition* (0 ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203812310>
- Berland, L. K., & Reiser, B. J. (2009). Making sense of argumentation and explanation. *Science Education*, 93(1), 26–55. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20286>
- Bhutta, S. M., Chauhan, S., Ali, S. K., Gul, R., Cassum, S., & Khamis, T. (2019). Developing a rubric to assess critical thinking in a multidisciplinary context in higher education. *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in the South*, 3(1), 6–25. <https://doi.org/10.36615/sotls.v3i1.69>
- Biggs, J., & Collis, K. (1989). Towards a model of school-based curriculum development and assessment using the SOLO taxonomy. *Australian journal of education*, 33(2), 151-163.
- Bogdan, R., & Biklen, S. K. (1998). *Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theory and methods* (3rd ed). Allyn and Bacon.
- Bretz, S. L., Fay, M., Bruck, L. B., & Towns, M. H. (2013). What Faculty Interviews Reveal about Meaningful Learning in the Undergraduate Chemistry Laboratory. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 90(3), 281–288. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed300384r>
- Brookhart, S. M. (2010). *How to assess higher-order thinking skills in your classroom*. ASCD.
- Cao, C., Ding, Z., Lee, G.-G., Jiao, J., Lin, J., & Zhai, X. (2023). *Elucidating STEM Concepts through Generative AI: A Multi-modal Exploration of Analogical Reasoning*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2308.10454>
- Cartrette, D. P., & Mayo, P. M. (2011). Students' understanding of acids/bases in organic chemistry contexts. *Chem. Educ. Res. Pract.*, 12(1), 29–39. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C1RP90005F>
- Cascella, M., Montomoli, J., Bellini, V., & Bignami, E. (2023). Evaluating the Feasibility of ChatGPT in Healthcare: An Analysis of Multiple Clinical and Research Scenarios. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 47(1), 33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-023-01925-4>
- Castro, C., & Andrade, A. (2012). *Teaching Chemistry in a Social Learning Environment: Facing Drivers and Barriers* (Version 1). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1204.3164>
- Ceylin Büyüksoy, Zeynep Taşcıoğlu, & İbrahim Ergin. (1970). Determining Learning Techniques by Using Artificial Intelligence and Observing the Impacts of Differentiated Education Model. *European Journal of Teaching and Education*, 2(2), 122–130. <https://doi.org/10.33422/ejte.v2i2.256>
- Chang, R., & Overby, J. (2011). *General chemistry: The essential concepts* (6th ed). McGraw-Hill.
- Chi, M. T. H. (2009). Active-Constructive-Interactive: A Conceptual Framework for Differentiating Learning Activities. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 1(1), 73–105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-8765.2008.01005.x>
- Chittleborough, G., & Treagust, D. F. (2007). The modelling ability of non-major chemistry students and their understanding of the sub-microscopic level. *Chem. Educ. Res. Pract.*, 8(3), 274–292. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B6RP90035F>

- Clark, M. J., Reynders, M., & Holme, T. A. (2024). Students' Experience of a ChatGPT Enabled Final Exam in a Non-Majors Chemistry Course. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 101(5), 1983–1991. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.4c00161>
- Clark, T. M., Anderson, E., Dickson-Karn, N. M., Soltanirad, C., & Tafini, N. (2023). Comparing the Performance of College Chemistry Students with ChatGPT for Calculations Involving Acids and Bases. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 100(10), 3934–3944. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00500>
- Clayden, J., Greeves, N., & Warren, S. (2012). *Organic chemistry* (2nd ed). Oxford university press.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2002). *Research Methods in Education* (0 ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203224342>
- Conradty, C., & Bogner, F. X. (2020). STEAM teaching professional development works: Effects on students' creativity and motivation. *Smart Learning Environments*, 7(1), 26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-020-00132-9>
- Cooper, M., & Klymkowsky, M. (2013). Chemistry, Life, the Universe, and Everything: A New Approach to General Chemistry, and a Model for Curriculum Reform. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 90(9), 1116–1122. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed300456y>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4. ed). SAGE.
- Daher, W., Diab, H., & Rayan, A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Generative Tools and Conceptual Knowledge in Problem Solving in Chemistry. *Information*, 14(7), 409. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info14070409>
- Danczak, S. M., Thompson, C. D., & Overton, T. L. (2017). 'What does the term Critical Thinking mean to you?' A qualitative analysis of chemistry undergraduate, teaching staff and employers' views of critical thinking. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 18(3), 420–434. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RP00249H>
- Danczak, S. M., Thompson, C. D., & Overton, T. L. (2020). Development and validation of an instrument to measure undergraduate chemistry students' critical thinking skills. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 21(1), 62–78. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RP00130H>
- Dasborough, M. T. (2023). Awe-inspiring advancements in AI: The impact of ChatGPT on the field of Organizational Behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 44(2), 177–179. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2695>
- David F. Treagust, M. I. M. D., & A. L. Chandrasegaran, M. W. (2016). High School Students' Understanding of Acid-Base Concepts: An Ongoing Challenge for Teachers. *The International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ijese.2015.284a>
- De Almeida, A. F., Moreira, R., & Rodrigues, T. (2019). Synthetic organic chemistry driven by artificial intelligence. *Nature Reviews Chemistry*, 3(10), 589–604. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-019-0124-0>
- De Jong, T., & Ferguson-Hessler, M. G. M. (1996). Types and qualities of knowledge. *Educational Psychologist*, 31(2), 105–113. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep3102_2

- De Jong, T., Sotiriou, S., & Gillet, D. (2014). Innovations in STEM education: The Go-Lab federation of online labs. *Smart Learning Environments*, 1(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-014-0003-6>
- Demirdöğen, B., Nelsen, I., & Lewis, S. E. (2023). Organic chemistry students' use of stability in mental models on acid and base strength. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 24(4), 1127–1141. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3RP00049D>
- diSessa, A. A. (1993). Toward an Epistemology of Physics. *Cognition and Instruction*, 10(2–3), 105–225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07370008.1985.9649008>
- Dowd, J. E., Thompson, R. J., Schiff, L. A., & Reynolds, J. A. (2018). Understanding the Complex Relationship between Critical Thinking and Science Reasoning among Undergraduate Thesis Writers. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 17(1), ar4. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.17-03-0052>
- Driver, R., & Oldham, V. (1986). A Constructivist Approach to Curriculum Development in Science. *Studies in Science Education*, 13(1), 105–122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057268608559933>
- Duffy, T. M., & Jonassen, D. H. (Eds.). (1992). *Constructivism and the technology of instruction: A conversation*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203461976>
- Dunlosky, J., & Metcalfe, J. (2008). *Metacognition*. Sage Publications.
- Ennis, R. H. (2018). Critical Thinking Across the Curriculum: A Vision. *Topoi*, 37(1), 165–184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-016-9401-4>
- Eroğlu, S., & Bektaş, O. (2022). The effect of 5E-based STEM education on academic achievement, scientific creativity, and views on the nature of science. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 98, 102181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2022.102181>
- Evans, J. St. B. T. (2008). Dual-Processing Accounts of Reasoning, Judgment, and Social Cognition. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59(1), 255–278. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093629>
- Exintaris, B., Karunaratne, N., & Yuriev, E. (2023). Metacognition and Critical Thinking: Using ChatGPT-Generated Responses as Prompts for Critique in a Problem-Solving Workshop (SMARTCHEMPer). *Journal of Chemical Education*, 100(8), 2972–2980. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00481>
- Fang, W., Wen, X. Z., Zheng, Y., & Zhou, M. (2017). A Survey of Big Data Security and Privacy Preserving. *IETE Technical Review*, 34(5), 544–560. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02564602.2016.1215269>
- Fergus, S., Botha, M., & Ostovar, M. (2023). Evaluating Academic Answers Generated Using ChatGPT. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 100(4), 1672–1675. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00087>
- Fernández, A. A., López-Torres, M., Fernández, J. J., & Vázquez-García, D. (2024). ChatGPT as an Instructor's Assistant for Generating and Scoring Exams. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 101(9), 3780–3788. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.4c00231>

- Feuerriegel, S., Hartmann, J., Janiesch, C., & Zschech, P. (2024). Generative AI. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 66(1), 111–126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-023-00834-7>
- Firat, M. (2023). *How Chat GPT Can Transform Autodidactic Experiences and Open Education?* Open Science Framework. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/9ge8m>
- Fisher, A., & Scriven, M. (1997). *Critical thinking its definition and assessment*. Centre for research in Critical Thinking.
- Gagné, R. M. (1985). *The conditions of learning and theory of instruction* (4. ed). Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Gallagher, S. A., Stepien, W. J., & Rosenthal, H. (1992). The Effects of Problem-Based Learning On Problem Solving. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 36(4), 195–200. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001698629203600405>
- Gasteiger, J. (2020). Chemistry in Times of Artificial Intelligence. *ChemPhysChem*, 21(20), 2233–2242. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.202000518>
- Gentner, D., & Stevens, A. L. (Eds.). (2014). *Mental Models* (0 ed.). Psychology Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315802725>
- Gunawan, G., Harjono, A., Kusdiastuti, M., & Herayanti, L. (2020). Improving Students' Problem-Solving Skills Using Inquiry Learning Model Combined with Advance Organizer. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(4), 427–442. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2020.13427a>
- Guo, Y., & Lee, D. (2023). Leveraging ChatGPT for Enhancing Critical Thinking Skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 100(12), 4876–4883. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00505>
- Haber, J. (2020). *Critical thinking*. The MIT Press.
- Hacıoğlu, Y., & Gülhan, F. (2021). The Effects of STEM Education on the 7th Grade Students' Critical Thinking Skills and STEM Perceptions. *Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health*. <https://doi.org/10.21891/jeseh.771331>
- Hafni, R. N., Herman, T., Nurlaelah, E., & Mustikasari, L. (2020). The importance of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education to enhance students' critical thinking skill in facing the industry 4.0. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1521(4), 042040. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1521/4/042040>
- Halpern, D. F. (2013). *Thought and Knowledge* (0 ed.). Psychology Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315885278>
- Hiğde, E., & Aktamış, H. (2022). The effects of STEM activities on students' STEM career interests, motivation, science process skills, science achievement and views. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 43, 101000. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101000>
- Hitchcock, D. (2017). Critical Thinking as an Educational Ideal. In D. Hitchcock, *On Reasoning and Argument* (Vol. 30, pp. 477–497). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53562-3_30
- Ho, M.-T., Mantello, P., & Vuong, Q.-H. (2024). Emotional AI in education and toys: Investigating moral risk awareness in the acceptance of AI technologies from a cross-

- sectional survey of the Japanese population. *Heliyon*, 10(16), e36251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e36251>
- Hofstein, A., & Lunetta, V. N. (2004). The laboratory in science education: Foundations for the twenty-first century. *Science Education*, 88(1), 28–54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.10106>
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. The Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Hsiao, H.-S., Chen, J.-, Hong, J.-C., Chen, P.-H., Lu, C.-C., & Chen, S. Y. (2017). A Five-Stage Prediction-Observation-Explanation Inquiry-Based Learning Model to Improve Students' Learning Performance in Science Courses. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(7). <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00735a>
- Huberman, A. M., & Miles, Matthew B. (1983). Drawing valid meaning from qualitative data: Some techniques of data reduction and display. *Quality & Quantity*, 17(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00167541>
- Humphry, T., & Fuller, A. L. (2023). Potential ChatGPT Use in Undergraduate Chemistry Laboratories. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 100(4), 1434–1436. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00006>
- Hyder, Z., Siau, K., & Nah, F. (2019). Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Autonomous Technologies in Mining Industry: *Journal of Database Management*, 30(2), 67–79. <https://doi.org/10.4018/JDM.2019040104>
- Hyytinen, H., Toom, A., & Shavelson, R. J. (2019). Enhancing Scientific Thinking Through the Development of Critical Thinking in Higher Education. In M. Murtonen & K. Balloo (Eds.), *Redefining Scientific Thinking for Higher Education* (pp. 59–78). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24215-2_3
- Jacobson, M. J., & Wilensky, U. (2006). Complex Systems in Education: Scientific and Educational Importance and Implications for the Learning Sciences. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 15(1), 11–34. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327809jls1501_4
- Jamshed, S. (2014). Qualitative research method-interviewing and observation. *Journal of Basic and Clinical Pharmacy*, 5(4), 87. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0976-0105.141942>
- Janesick, V. J. (1999). A Journal About Journal Writing as a Qualitative Research Technique: History, Issues, and Reflections. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 5(4), 505–524. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107780049900500404>
- Jespersen, N. D., Senese, F., & Brady, J. E. (2009). *Chemistry: Matter and its changes : study guide to accompany Chemistry : matter and its changes [by] James E. Brady and Frederick Senese* (5th ed). Wiley & Sons.
- Johnstone, A. H. (1991). Why is science difficult to learn? Things are seldom what they seem. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 7(2), 75–83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.1991.tb00230.x>
- Johnstone, A. H. (2006). Chemical education research in Glasgow in perspective. *Chem. Educ. Res. Pract.*, 7(2), 49–63. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B5RP90021B>

- Kane, M. (2006). Validation. In R. Brennan (Ed.), *Educational measurement* (4th ed., pp. 17–64), Westport, CT: American Council on Education and Praeger.
- Karnishyna, D. A., Selivanova, T. V., Nechypurenko, P. P., Starova, T. V., & Stoliarenko, V. G. (2022). The use of augmented reality in chemistry lessons in the study of “Oxygen-containing organic compounds” using the mobile application Blippar. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2288(1), 012018. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2288/1/012018>
- Karthikeyan, A., & Priyakumar, U. D. (2022). Artificial intelligence: Machine learning for chemical sciences. *Journal of Chemical Sciences*, 134(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12039-021-01995-2>
- Kasneci, E., Sessler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeffer, J., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., ... Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 103, 102274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274>
- Kearney, M. (2004). Classroom Use of Multimedia-Supported Predict?Observe?Explain Tasks in a Social Constructivist Learning Environment. *Research in Science Education*, 34(4), 427–453. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-004-8795-y>
- Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques* (2nd ed). New Age International.
- Kozma, R., & Russell, J. (2005). Students Becoming Chemists: Developing Representational Competence. In J. K. Gilbert (Ed.), *Visualization in Science Education* (pp. 121–145). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3613-2_8
- Kuhn, D. (2005). *Education for thinking*. Harvard University Press.
- Kuhn, D. (1999). A Developmental Model of Critical Thinking. *Educational Researcher*, 28(2), 16–46. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X028002016>
- Kuhn, D., Black, J., Keselman, A., & Kaplan, D. (2000). The Development of Cognitive Skills To Support Inquiry Learning. *Cognition and Instruction*, 18(4), 495–523. https://doi.org/10.1207/S1532690XC11804_3
- Lawson, A. E. (2004). The Nature and Development of Scientific Reasoning: A Synthetic View. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 2(3), 307–338. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-004-3224-2>
- Lee, G.-G., & Zhai, X. (2024). Using ChatGPT for Science Learning: A Study on Pre-service Teachers’ Lesson Planning. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 17, 1643–1660. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2024.3401457>
- Lehman, D. R., & Nisbett, R. E. (1990). A longitudinal study of the effects of undergraduate training on reasoning. *Developmental Psychology*, 26(6), 952–960. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.26.6.952>
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage Publications.
- Lofland, J., & Lofland, L. H. (1984). *Analyzing social settings: A guide to qualitative observation and analysis* (2. ed). Wadsworth.

- Mabruroh, F., & Suhandi, A. (2017). Construction Of Critical Thinking Skills Test Instrument Related The Concept On Sound Wave. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 812, 012056. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/812/1/012056>
- Mafinejad, M. K. (2017). Use of Multi-Response Format Test in the Assessment of Medical Students' Critical Thinking Ability. *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH*. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2017/24884.10607>
- Magno, C. (2010). The role of metacognitive skills in developing critical thinking. *Metacognition and Learning*, 5(2), 137–156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-010-9054-4>
- Mahaffy, P. (2004). THE FUTURE SHAPE OF CHEMISTRY EDUCATION. *Chem. Educ. Res. Pract.*, 5(3), 229–245. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B4RP90026J>
- Mauthner, N. S., & Doucet, A. (2003). Reflexive Accounts and Accounts of Reflexivity in Qualitative Data Analysis. *Sociology*, 37(3), 413–431. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00380385030373002>
- McAlpin, M. (2025). Impact Beyond the Classroom: Teaching for Transfer. *Journal of Legal Education*, 73(2), 2.
- McBain, B., Yardy, A., Martin, F., Phelan, L., Van Altena, I., McKeowen, J., Pemberton, C., Tose, H., Fratus, L., & Bowyer, M. (2021). Teaching Science Students How to Think. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education*, 28(2). <https://doi.org/10.30722/IJISME.28.02.003>
- McNeill, K. L., & Krajcik, J. (2008). Inquiry and scientific explanations: Helping students use evidence and reasoning. *Science as inquiry in the secondary setting*, 121, 34.
- Merriam, S. B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education* (2nd ed). Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Messick, S. (1989). Validity. em r. linn (org.), educational measurement.(13-103). New York, NY: American Council on Education and Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Middlecamp, C. H. & American Chemical Society (Eds.). (2011). *Chemistry in context: Applying chemistry to society; a project of the American Chemical Society* (7. ed., internat. student ed). McGraw-Hill.
- Moleong, L. J. (1989). *Metologi penelitian kualitatif*. PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Musick, G., Maloney, D., Flathmann, C., McNeese, N. J., & Walton, J. (2020). Differentiated Instruction further Realized through Teacher-Agent Teaming. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 64(1), 1318–1322. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1071181320641315>
- Nakhleh, M. B. (1992). Why some students don't learn chemistry: Chemical misconceptions. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 69(3), 191. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed069p191>
- National Research Council, Division of Behavioral, Social Sciences, Board on Science Education, & Committee on a Conceptual Framework for New K-12 Science Education Standards. (2012). *A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas*. National Academies Press.

- Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090>
- Nitko, A. J., & Brookhart, S. M. (2011). *Educational assessment of students* (6th ed). Pearson/Allyn & Bacon.
- Overton, T. L., & Randles, C. A. (2015). Beyond problem-based learning: Using dynamic PBL in chemistry. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 16(2), 251–259. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RP00248B>
- Padmanabha C. H. (2018). CRITICAL THINKING: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK. *I-Manager's Journal on Educational Psychology*, 11(4), 45. <https://doi.org/10.26634/jpsy.11.4.14221>
- Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods* (2nd ed). Sage Publications.
- Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2011). *The miniature guide to critical thinking concepts & tools*. Langara College.
- Pedaste, M., Mäeots, M., Siiman, L. A., De Jong, T., Van Riesen, S. A. N., Kamp, E. T., Manoli, C. C., Zacharia, Z. C., & Tsourlidaki, E. (2015). Phases of inquiry-based learning: Definitions and the inquiry cycle. *Educational Research Review*, 14, 47–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.02.003>
- Perkins, D. N. (2013). *Knowledge As Design* (0 ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315803067>
- Peters, M. A., Jackson, L., Papastephanou, M., Jandrić, P., Lazaroiu, G., Evers, C. W., Cope, B., Kalantzis, M., Araya, D., Tesar, M., Mika, C., Chen, L., Wang, C., Sturm, S., Rider, S., & Fuller, S. (2024). AI and the future of humanity: ChatGPT-4, philosophy and education – Critical responses. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 56(9), 828–862. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2023.2213437>
- Petrucchi, R. H. (1993). General chemistry: principles and modern applications. (No Title).
- Pradana, M., Elisa, H. P., & Syarifuddin, S. (2023). Discussing ChatGPT in education: A literature review and bibliometric analysis. *Cogent Education*, 10(2), 2243134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2243134>
- Pratama, R. D., Sangka, K. B., & Nugroho, J. A. (2023). The Influence of Fraud Diamond Perspective and Artificial Intelligence Factors on Academic Dishonesty Indonesian College Student. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 10(11), 164. <https://doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v10i11.5248>
- Preissle, J., & LeCompte, M. D. (1984). *Ethnography and qualitative design in educational research*. Acad. Pr.
- Qiao, H., Liu, V., & Chilton, L. (2022). Initial Images: Using Image Prompts to Improve Subject Representation in Multimodal AI Generated Art. *Creativity and Cognition*, 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3527927.3532792>
- Quattrucci, J. G. (2018). Problem-Based Approach to Teaching Advanced Chemistry Laboratories and Developing Students' Critical Thinking Skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 95(2), 259–266. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.7b00558>

- Qu, X., Sherwood, J., Liu, P., & Aleisa, N. (2025, April). Generative AI Tools in Higher Education: A Meta-Analysis of Cognitive Impact. In *Proceedings of the Extended Abstracts of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-9).
- Rahmawati, Y., Hadinugrahaningsih, T., Ridwan, A., Palimbunga, U. S., & Mardiah, A. (2021). *Developing the critical thinking skills of vocational school students in electrochemistry through STEM - project-based learning (STEM-PjBL)*. 040002. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0041915>
- Renkl, A., Mandl, H., & Gruber, H. (1996). Inert knowledge: Analyses and remedies. *Educational Psychologist*, 31(2), 115–121. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep3102_3
- Rojas, A. J. (2024). An Investigation into ChatGPT's Application for a Scientific Writing Assignment. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 101(5), 1959–1965. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.4c00034>
- Rojas-Sánchez, M. A., Palos-Sánchez, P. R., & Folgado-Fernández, J. A. (2023). Systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis on virtual reality and education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(1), 155–192. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11167-5>
- Russ, R. S., Scherr, R. E., Hammer, D., & Mikeska, J. (2008). Recognizing mechanistic reasoning in student scientific inquiry: A framework for discourse analysis developed from philosophy of science. *Science Education*, 92(3), 499–525. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20264>
- Sandoval, W. A. (2005). Understanding students' practical epistemologies and their influence on learning through inquiry. *Science Education*, 89(4), 634–656. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20065>
- Sandoval, W. A., & Millwood, K. A. (2005). The Quality of Students' Use of Evidence in Written Scientific Explanations. *Cognition and Instruction*, 23(1), 23–55. https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xc2301_2
- Santos, R. P. dos. (2023). *Enhancing Chemistry Learning with ChatGPT and Bing Chat as Agents to Think With: A Comparative Case Study* (Version 1). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2305.11890>
- Schraw, G., & Dennison, R. S. (1994). Assessing Metacognitive Awareness. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 19(4), 460–475. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1994.1033>
- Serrano-Torregrosa, E., García-Martínez, J., & Atkins, P. W. (Eds.). (2015). *Chemistry education: Best practices, opportunities and trends*. Wiley-VCH. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527679300>
- Siau, K., & Wang, W. (2020). Artificial Intelligence (AI) Ethics: Ethics of AI and Ethical AI. *Journal of Database Management*, 31(2), 74–87. <https://doi.org/10.4018/JDM.2020040105>
- Sim, J., & Sharp, K. (1998). A critical appraisal of the role of triangulation in nursing research. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 35(1–2), 23–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7489\(98\)00014-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7489(98)00014-5)
- Smith, M. B. (2020). *March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure* (eighth edition). Wiley.

- Spector, J. M. (2014). Conceptualizing the emerging field of smart learning environments. *Smart Learning Environments*, 1(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-014-0002-7>
- Stanovich, K. E., & West, R. F. (2000). Advancing the rationality debate. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 23(5), 701–717. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00623439>
- Steffe, L. P., & Gale, J. E. (1995). *Constructivism in education*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Sternberg, R. J., & Halpern, D. F. (Eds.). (2020). *Critical Thinking in Psychology* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108684354>
- Stieff, M. (2019). Improving learning outcomes in secondary chemistry with visualization-supported inquiry activities. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 96(7), 1300–1307.
- Taber, K. S. (2019). Progressing chemistry education research as a disciplinary field. *Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Science Education Research*, 1(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43031-019-0011-z>
- Taber, K. S., & García-Franco, A. (2010). Learning Processes in Chemistry: Drawing Upon Cognitive Resources to Learn About the Particulate Structure of Matter. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 19(1), 99–142. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508400903452868>
- Talanquer, V. (2011). Macro, Submicro, and Symbolic: The many faces of the chemistry “triplet.” *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(2), 179–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690903386435>
- Tarhan, L., & Acar, B. (2007). Problem-based learning in an eleventh grade chemistry class: ‘Factors affecting cell potential.’ *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 25(3), 351–369. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635140701535299>
- Tarhan, L., & Acar-Sesen, B. (2013). PROBLEM BASED LEARNING IN ACIDS AND BASES: LEARNING ACHIEVEMENTS AND STUDENTS’ BELIEFS. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 12(5), 565–578. <https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/13.12.565>
- Taylor, C. A., Hogarth, H., Hacking, E. B., & Bastos, E. (2022). Posthuman Object Pedagogies: Thinking with Things to Think with Theory for Innovative Educational Research. *Cultural and Pedagogical Inquiry*, 14(1), 206–221. <https://doi.org/10.18733/cpi29662>
- Tena Sánchez, J. (2022). Y aún más al fondo del bullshit: El papel de la falsificación de preferencias en la difusión del oscurantismo en la teoría social y en la sociedad. *SCIO: Revista de Filosofía*, 22, 209–233. https://doi.org/10.46583/scio_2022.22.949
- Van Dis, E. A. M., Bollen, J., Zuidema, W., Van Rooij, R., & Bockting, C. L. (2023). ChatGPT: Five priorities for research. *Nature*, 614(7947), 224–226. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-00288-7>
- Vasconcelos, M. A. R., & Santos, R. P. dos. (2023). *Enhancing STEM Learning with ChatGPT and Bing Chat as Objects to Think With: A Case Study*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2305.02202>
- Wang, S., Li, L., Ding, Y., & Yu, X. (2022). One-Shot Talking Face Generation from Single-Speaker Audio-Visual Correlation Learning. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 36(3), 2531–2539. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v36i3.20154>

- Willingham, D. T. (2008). Critical Thinking: Why Is It So Hard to Teach? *Arts Education Policy Review*, 109(4), 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.3200/AEPR.109.4.21-32>
- Woldemariam, D. Y., Ayele, H. S., Kedanemariam, D. A., Mengistie, S. M., & Beyene, B. B. (2024). Effects of technology-assisted chemistry instruction on students' achievement, attitude, and retention capacity: A systematic review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(11), 13763–13785. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12411-2>
- Wong, C. H. S., Tsang, K. C. K., & Chiu, W.-K. (2021). Using Augmented Reality as a Powerful and Innovative Technology to Increase Enthusiasm and Enhance Student Learning in Higher Education Chemistry Courses. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 98(11), 3476–3485. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.0c01029>
- Yamtinah, S., Susanti Vh, E., Saputro, S., Ariani, S. R. D., Shidiq, A. S., Sari, D. R., & Ilyasa, D. G. (2023). Augmented reality learning media based on tetrahedral chemical representation: How effective in learning process? *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 19(8), em2313. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/13436>
- Yang, H., Wang, J., Mo, R., Hu, P., Liu, X., Liu, Y., Cui, J., & Xiao, Y. (2025). An intelligent approach: Integrating ChatGPT for experiment planning in biochar immobilization of soil cadmium. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 352, 128170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2024.128170>
- Yu, P., Xu, H., Hu, X., & Deng, C. (2023). Leveraging Generative AI and Large Language Models: A Comprehensive Roadmap for Healthcare Integration. *Healthcare*, 11(20), 2776. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11202776>
- Zebua, N. (2024). Evaluating the Impact of GenAI in High School Education: A Critical Review. *Polygon : Jurnal Ilmu Komputer Dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam*, 2(6), 79–86. <https://doi.org/10.62383/polygon.v2i6.296>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner: An Overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2
- Zimmerman, C. (2000). The Development of Scientific Reasoning Skills. *Developmental Review*, 20(1), 99–149. <https://doi.org/10.1006/drev.1999.0497>