

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abraham, M. R., Grzybowski, E. B., & Renner, J. W. (1992). Understandings and misunderstandings of eighth graders of five chemistry concepts found in textbooks. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 29(2), 105–120.
- Alemneh, E. D., Kidanmariam, D. A., Mengistie, S. M., & Beyene, B. B. (2024). Effect of computer simulation and animation-integrated instruction on pre-service science teacher trainees' conceptual understanding and retention of acid-base chemistry and stoichiometry. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*.
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Longman.
- Ausubel, D. P. (1968). *Educational psychology: A cognitive view*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Bybee, R. W., dkk. (2006). *The BSCS 5E instructional model: Origins and effectiveness*. A Report Prepared for the Office of Science Education National Institutes of Health.
- Chang, R., & Overby, J. (2019). *Chemistry* (13th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Pendekatan metode kualitatif, kuantitatif, dan campuran*. Pustaka Pelajar.
- Damayanti, Y. D., & Putri, R. A. (2025). Cognitive load reduction strategy in learning stoichiometry for 3T students: An approach to overcoming cognitive load in chemistry numeration. *International Journal of Active Learning*, 10(1).
- Eggen, P., & Kauchak, D. (2012). *Strategies and models for teachers: Teaching content and thinking skills* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- Eymur, G., & Geban, Ö. (2017). The collaboration of cooperative learning and conceptual change: Enhancing the students' understanding of chemical bonding concepts. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 15(5), 853–871.
- Fang, S.-C., Hart, C., & Clarke, D. (2014). Unpacking the meaning of the mole concept for secondary school teachers and students. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 91(3), 351–356

- Fullan, M., Quinn, J., & McEachen, J. (2017). *Deep learning: Engage the world, change the world*. SAGE Publications.
- Ghimire, A., & Singh, C. (2025). How often does unguided peer interaction lead to correct response consensus? An example from Conceptual Survey of Electricity and Magnetism. *arXiv preprint*.
- Holme, T. A., dkk. (2015). Defining conceptual understanding in general chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*.
- Huda, M. (2014). *Model-model pengajaran dan pembelajaran: Isu-isu metodis dan paradigmatis*. Pustaka Pelajar.
- Jeppu, A. K., Kumar, K. A., & Sethi, A. (2023). 'We work together as a group': Implications of jigsaw cooperative learning. *BMC Medical Education*, 23, 734.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365–379.
- Kagan, S. (2009). *Kagan cooperative learning*. Kagan Publishing.
- Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi. (2024). *Keputusan Kepala Badan Standar, Kurikulum, dan Asesmen Pendidikan Nomor 032/H/KR/2024 tentang Capaian Pembelajaran pada Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini, Jenjang Pendidikan Dasar, dan Jenjang Pendidikan Menengah pada Kurikulum Merdeka*. Jakarta.
- Lederman, N. G., & Lederman, J. S. (2019). Teaching and learning of nature of science. In *Nature of science in science instruction*. Springer.
- Lie, A. (2002). *Cooperative learning: mempraktikkan cooperative learning di ruang-ruang kelas*. Grasindo.
- Maryono, M., Islawati, I., Hardin, H., & Zubair, S. (2025). The influence of inquiry-based Two Stay Two Stray strategy on understanding the concept of electrolyte and nonelectrolyte solutions. *East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(5), 2261–2272.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

- Mubarak, S., Susilaningsih, E., & Cahyono, E. (2016). Pengembangan tes diagnostik three tier multiple choices untuk mengidentifikasi miskonsepsi peserta didik kelas XI. *Journal of Innovative Science Education*, 5(2), 101–110.
- Nurjanah, S., & Suryadi, A. (2025). Analisis kesiapan guru dalam menerapkan pendekatan deep learning pada pembelajaran sejarah kelas X SMA Sint Louis. *Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan (JKIP)*, 6(3), 943–953.
- Nyachwaya, J. M., Warfa, A.-R. M., Roehrig, G. H., & Schneider, J. L. (2014). College chemistry students' use of memorized algorithms in chemical reactions. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 15(1), 81–93.
- Permatasari, M. B., Rahayu, S., & Dasna, I. W. (2022). Chemistry learning using multiple representations: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Science Learning*, 5(2), 334–341.
- Pesman, H., & Eryilmaz, A. (2010). Development of a three-tier test to assess high school students' misconceptions concerning states of matter. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 103(3), 208–222.
- Rahayu, S. (2017). Mengoptimalkan literasi sains peserta didik melalui pembelajaran berorientasi socioscientific issues. *Jurnal Pengajaran MIPA*.
- Ruiz-Martín, H., & Bybee, R. W. (2022). The cognitive principles of learning underlying the 5E model of instruction. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 9(1), 21.
- Scager, K., Boonstra, J., Peeters, T., Vulperhorst, J., & Wiegant, F. (2016). Collaborative learning in higher education: Evoking positive interdependence. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 15(4), ar69.
- Sevian, H., & Talanquer, V. (2014). Rethinking chemistry education: A focus on chemical thinking. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 21(2), 523–539.
- Shoffa, S., dkk. (2021). *Perkembangan model pembelajaran di perguruan tinggi*. CV. Agrapana Media.
- Sotáková, I., & Ganajová, M. (2023). The effect of the 5E instructional model on students' cognitive processes and their attitudes towards chemistry as a subject. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 19(7).

- Sulisworo, D., & Suryani, F. (2014). The effect of cooperative learning, motivation and information technology literacy to achievement. *International Journal of Learning and Development*, 4(2).
- Taber, K. S. (2013). *Modelling learners and learning in science education: Developing a knowledge research programme*. Springer.
- Taber, K. S. (2015). The role of conceptual integration in understanding and learning chemistry. In J. García-Martínez & E. Serrano-Torregrosa (Eds.), *Chemistry education: Best practices, opportunities and trends* (pp. 359–381). Wiley-VCH.
- Taber, K. S. (2016). Constructivism in education: Interpretations and criticisms from science education. In E. Railean, G. Walker, A. Elçi, & L. Jackson (Eds.), *Handbook of research on applied learning theory and design in modern education* (pp. 116–144). IGI Global.
- Talanquer, V. (2011). Macro, submicro, and symbolic: The many faces of the chemistry "triplet". *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(2), 179–195.
- Thoms, L.-J., Blick, G., Schmidt, L., Furrer, F., Purandare, M., Loch, F., & Huwer, J. (2025). Chemistry teachers' perception of students' difficulties in reading and drawing chemical structures. *Journal of Chemical Education*.
- Trivić, D. D., & Milanović, V. D. (2018). The macroscopic, submicroscopic and symbolic level in explanations of a chemical reaction provided by thirteen-year olds. *Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society*, 83(10), 1177–1192.
- Tullis, J. G., & Goldstone, R. L. (2020). Why does peer instruction benefit student learning? *Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications*, 5(1), 15.
- Üce, M., & Ceyhan, İ. (2019). Misconception in chemistry education and practices to eliminate them: Literature analysis. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 7(3), 202–208.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.