

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adams, W. K., & Wieman, C. E. (2011). Development and validation instruments to measure learning of expert-like thinking. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(9), 1289-1312.
- Adu-Gyamfi, K., & Ampiah, J. G. (2019). Chemistry students' difficulties in learning oxidation-reduction reactions. *Chemistry: Bulgarian Journal of Science Education*, 28(2), 180-200.
- Aiken, L. R. (1985). Three coefficients for analyzing the reliability and validity of ratings. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 45(1), 131-142.
- Aini, F., & Silfianah, I. (2022). Identification of Students' Misconceptions on Acid-Base Using Four Tier Diagnostic Tests. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Kimia*, 7(1), 33-43. <https://doi.org/10.17977/um026v7i12022p03>
- Akmali, A. A. (2018). Konstruksi four-tier test untuk mengidentifikasi level dan penyebab miskonsepsi pada materi kalor. *Jurnal Kependidikan: Penelitian Inovasi Pembelajaran*, 2(2), 274-284.
- Akram, M., Surif, J. Bin, & Ali, M. (2014). Conceptual difficulties of secondary school students in electrochemistry. *Asian Social Science*, 10(19), 276-281. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v10n19p276>
- Annisa, R., Astuti, B., & Mindyarto, B. N. (2019). Tes Diagnostik Four Tier untuk identifikasi pemahaman dan miskonsepsi siswa pada materi gerak melingkar beraturan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Keilmuan (JPFK)*, 5(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.25>
- Ardianti, S., Wiji, W., & Widhiyanti, T. (2021). Conceptions and troublesome knowledge on acid-base using the two-tier multiple-choice diagnostic test. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2098(1), 012032. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2098/1/012032273/jpfk.v5i1.3546>
- Atkinson, M. B., Croisant, M., & Bretz, S. L. (2021). Using word association to investigate students' Misconceptions regarding reaction coordinate diagrams. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 98(2), 263-272.
- Ausubel, D. P. (1968). *Educational psychology: A cognitive view*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Barke, H. D., Harsch, G., & Schmid, S. (2012). *Essentials of Chemical Education*. Springer Science & Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-21756-2>
- Boateng, S. (2024). Assessing conceptual difficulties experienced by pre-service chemistry teachers in organic chemistry. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics*,

Science and Technology Education, 20(2), em2398.
<https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/14156>

- Brinus, K. S. W., Makur, A. P., & Nendi, F. (2019). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Kontekstual terhadap Pemahaman Konsep Matematika Siswa SMP. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 261–272. <https://doi.org/10.31980/mosharafa.v8i2.558>
- Brown, J. D. (2004). Research methods for applied linguistics: Reliability. *Shiken: JALT Testing & Evaluation SIG Newsletter*, 8(1), 17–21.
- Cahyani, N., Auliah, A., & Majid, A. F. (2024). Application of two-tier multiple choice assessment equipped with certainty of response index as a Misconception diagnosis instrument in chemistry learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika dan Sains*, 12(1), 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jpms.v12i1.74390>
- Caleon, I., & Subramaniam, R. (2010). Development and application of a three-tier diagnostic test to assess secondary students' understanding of waves. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(7), 939–961. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690902890130>
- Carr, M., (1996). *Interviews about instances and interviews about events*. Di dalam: Treagust, D. F., Duit, R., & Fraser, B. J., (Eds.), *Improving teaching and learning in science and mathematics* (pp 44-53). Teacher College Press.
- Cetin-Dindar, A., & Geban, O. (2011). Development of a three-tier test to assess high school students' understanding of acids and bases. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 15, 600–604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.03.147>
- Chang, R., & Goldsby, K. A. (2016). *Chemistry* (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Chang, R. (2005). *Chemistry* (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Education Research: Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research Fourth Edition*. United States of America: Pearson Education Inc.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Cooper, M. M., Kouyoumdjian, H., & Underwood, S. M. (2016). Investigating students' reasoning about acid–base reactions. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 93(10), 1703–1712. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00417>
- Cozby, P. C., & Bates, S. C. (2015). *Methods in behavioral research* (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Djarwo, C. (2019). Analisis Miskonsepsi Mahasiswa Pendidikan Kimia Pada Materi

Hidrokarbon. *Journal Ilmiah IKIP Mataram*, 6(2):2355-6358.

- Driver, R., & Warrington, L. (1985). Students' use of the principle of energy conservation in problem situations. *Physics Education*, 20(4), 171–176. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9120/20/4/308>
- Erman, E. (2017). Factors contributing to students' Misconceptions in learning covalent bonds. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 54(4), 520–537. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21375>
- Faizah, H., Haryati, S., & Holiwarni, B. (2024). Identifikasi miskonsepsi peserta didik pada materi asam basa menggunakan instrument test diagnostic four tier multiple choice. *Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia Universitas Riau*, 9(2), 134–142.
- Fariyani, Q., Rusilowati, A., & Sugianto, S. (2015). Pengembangan instrumen four-tier diagnostic test untuk mendeteksi miskonsepsi siswa pada materi getaran, gelombang dan bunyi. *Journal of Innovative Science Education*, 4(2), 24–31.
- Fitriyah, N., & Sukarmin. (2013). Penerapan Media Animasi Untuk Mencegah Miskonsepsi Pada Materi Pokok Asam-Basa di Kelas XI SMAN 1 Menganti Gresik. *Unesa Journal of Chemical Education*, 2(3), 78–84.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2011). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill Humanities/Social Sciences/Language.
- Gabel, D. (1999). Improving Teaching and Learning through Chemistry Education Research: A Look to the Future. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 76(2–4), 548–554. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed076p548>
- Griffiths, A. K., & Preston, K. R. (1992). Grade-12 students' *Misconceptions* relating to fundamental characteristics of atoms and molecules. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 29(6), 611–628.
- Gudyanga, E. & Madambi, T. (2014). Students' Misconception about Bonding and Chemical Structure in Chemistry. *The Dyke*, 8(1), 24–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95714-2_300162
- Gurel, D. K., Eryilmaz, A., & McDermott, L. C. (2015). A review and comparison of diagnostic instruments to Raidentify students' *Misconceptions* in science. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 11(5), 989–1008. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1369a>
- Guzzetti, B. J. (2000). Learning counter-intuitive science concepts: What have we learned from over a decade of research? *Reading and Writing Quarterly: Overcoming Learning Difficulties*, 16(2), 89–98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/105735600277971>
- Habiddin, H., & Amala, F. (2022). Pemahaman konsep dalam topik sifat asam basa larutan garam: Studi pada siswa SMA di Blitar. *Jurnal Zarah*, 10(2), 91–100.

<https://doi.org/10.31629/zarah.v10i2.4321>

- Habiddin, H., & Page, E. M. (2019). Development of a multi-tier diagnostic test to explore the nature of students' alternative conceptions on reaction kinetics. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry*, 19(3), 720-736.
- Hala, A., Syahdan, S., & Mantasia, M. (2018). Identifikasi miskonsepsi guru biologi SMA pada konsep sel. *Jurnal Sainsmat*, 7(1), 12-23.
- Haladyna, T. M., Downing, S. M., & Rodriguez, M. C. (2002). A review of multiple-choice item-writing guidelines for classroom assessment. *Applied Measurement in Education*, (3), 309-333.
- Hamdi, A. S., & Bahrudin, E. (2014). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif aplikasi dalam pendidikan*. Deepublish.
- Handayani, G. (2018). Analisis kesalahan informasi dan struktur kognitif siswa dalam mengonstruksi pemahaman konsep ilmiah. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 6 (3), 204-215.
- Hans-Dieter Barke, Hazari, A., & Yitbarek, S. (2009). *Misconceptions in chemistry: Addressing perceptions in chemical education*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-70989-3>
- Hanson, R. (2002). Students' alternative conceptions in chemistry: A challenge to effective learning. *Ghana Journal of Education and Teaching*, 1(1), 85-92.
- Hashweh, M. (1988). Descriptive Studies of Students' Conceptions in Science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 25(2), 121-134.
- Hoiriyah, D. (2020). Analisis Kemampuan Pemahaman Konsep Matematis Mahasiswa Program Studi PGSD. *Logaritma : Jurnal Ilmu-ilmu Pendidikan dan Sains*, 8(02), 199-212. <https://doi.org/10.24952/logaritma.v8i02.2773>
- Johnstone, A. H. (1991). Why is science difficult to learn? Things are seldom what they seem. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 7(2), 75-83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.1991.tb00230.x>
- Kaltakci-Gurel, D., Eryilmaz, A., & McDermott, L. C. (2017). Development and application of a four-tier test to assess pre-service physics teachers' Misconceptions about geometrical optics. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 35(2), 238-260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2017.1310094>
- Karonen, M., Murtonen, M., Södervik, I., Manninen, M., & Salomäki, M. (2021). Heuristics hindering the development of understanding of molecular structures in university level chemistry education: The Lewis structure as an example. *Education Sciences*, 11(6), Article 258. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11060258>

- Kimberlin, C. L., & Winterstein, A. G. (2008). Validity and reliability of measurement instruments used in research. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 65(23), 2276-2284.
- Klopfer, L. E., Champagne, A. B., & Gunstone, R. F. (1983). Naive knowledge and science learning. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 1(2), 173-183.
- Lachapelle, C. P., Hertel, J. D., Jocz, J., & Cunningham, C. M. (2013). *Measuring students' naive conceptions about technology*. Dalam: *NARST Annual International Conference, Rio Grande, Puerto Rico*.
- Lambi, A. (2009). *The analysis of students' Misconceptions in science education*. Science Trust Publishing.
- Lemmer, M. (2013). Nature cause and effect of students' intuitive conceptions regarding changes in velocity. *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(2), 239-261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950063.2011.647110>.
- Lestari, K. E., & Yudhanegara, M. R. (2015). *Penelitian Pendidikan Matematika*. Refika Aditama.
- Lumadya, S. A., & Wiyarsi, A. (2024). Identifikasi miskonsepsi asam basa dengan three-tier multiple choice test menggunakan certainty response index. *Jurnal Riset Pembelajaran Kimia*, 9(2), 93-99. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jrp.k.v9i2.21286>
- McDermott, L. C. (1991). Milikan Lecture 1990: What we teach and what is learned - Closing the gap. *American Journal of Physics*, 59(4), 301-315. <https://doi.org/10.1119/16539>.
- Mubarak, S., Susilaningsih, E., & Cahyono, E. (2016). Pengembangan tes diagnostik three tier multiple choice untuk mengidentifikasi miskonsepsi peserta didik kelas XI. *Journal of Innovative Science Education*, 5(2), 101-110.
- Mubarak, S., & Yahdi. (2020). Identifying undergraduate students' Misconceptions in understanding acid base materials. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9(2), 276-286. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v9i2.23193>
- Mubarokah, F. D., Mulyani, S., & Indriyanti, N. Y. (2018). Identifying students' Misconceptions of acid-base concepts using a three-tier diagnostic test: A case of Indonesia and Thailand. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15(Special Issue), 51-58. <https://doi.org/10.12973/tused.10256a>
- Mujibaturrahmi, M., Winarni, S., & Hanum, L. (2022). Patterns of students' macroscopic, submicroscopic, and symbolic representation ability in acid-base topic. *EduChemia*, 7(2), 204-222. <https://doi.org/10.30870/educhemia.v7i2.14250>
- Munawwarah, & Ashari, A. (2025). Unveiling Misconceptions in chemistry: A review

- of causes, common patterns, and levels of student understanding. *Jurnal Studi Guru dan Pembelajaran*, 8(3), 1765–1778. <https://doi.org/10.30605/jsgp.8.3.2025.6935>
- Nahum, T. L., Mamlok-Naaman, R., Hofstein, A., & Taber, K. S. (2010). Teaching and learning the concept of chemical bonding. *Studies in Science Education*, 46(2), 179–207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2010.504548>
- National Research Council, Education. (1997). *Science teaching reconsidered*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Amsterdam University Press.
- Nawawi, H. (1983). *Metode penelitian bidang sosial*. Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Ndruru, F., Pardede, H., & Sitinjak, K. (2024). Identifikasi miskonsepsi menggunakan Four-Tier Diagnostic Test pada materi kalor kelas VII SMP Negeri 13 Medan. *Jurnal pendidikan fisika undiksha*. 2024, 12(1), 154–163.
- Ngalimun. (2014). *Strategi dan model pembelajaran*. Aswaja Pressindo.
- Nisak, K. N. F., Alfianita, F., & Tipa, I. S., (2025). Tes diagnostik untuk mengidentifikasi kebutuhan belajar siswa yang membuat kesulitan belajar. *Jurnal Bimbingan dan Konseling*, 12(1), 1-15.
- Novak, J. D. (1996). *Concept mapping: A strategy for organizing knowledge*. Di dalam: S. M. Glynn & R. Duit (Eds.), *Learning science in the schools: Research reforming practice* (pp. 229-246). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Nurulwati, Veloo, & Ruslan. (2014). Suatu Tinjauan Tentang Jenis-Jenis Dan Penyebab Miskonsepsi Fisika. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia*, 02(01), 87–95.
- Oktavia, V. E., & Setyo, A. (2019). Penggunaan Instrumen Four-Tier Diagnostic Test Untuk Mengidentifikasi Miskonsepsi Siswa Pada Materi Dinamika Rotasi. *Inovasi Pendidikan Fisika*, 08(02), 540–543.
- Pahriah, P., Sudatha, I. G. W., Suartama, I. K., & Santosa, M. H. (2025). Students' Misconceptions in chemistry learning: A systematic literature review from 2015 to 2025. *Hydrogen: Jurnal Kependidikan Kimia*, 13(3), 510–529.
- Paik, S.-H. (2015). Understanding the relationship among Arrhenius, Brønsted–Lowry, and Lewis theories. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 92(9), 1484–1489. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed500891w>
- Patil, S., Chavan, R., Khandagale, V. (2019) Identification of Misconceptions in science: tools, techniques & skills for teachers. *Aarhat Multidisciplinary International Educational Research Journal*, 8(2), 466-472.
- Pavlovic, J. (2021). *Coaching psychology*. Abingdon, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- Pertiwi, F. N., & Putri, F. P. A. (2024). Menelisik kemampuan berpikir logis ditinjau

dari kemampuan kognitif melalui tes diagnostik awal pada mata pelajaran IPAS kelas IV di MIN 4 Ponorogo. *Jurna Ibriez: Jurnal Kependidikan Dasar Islam Berbasis Sains*, 9(2), 179-204.

Peterson, R. F., & Treagust, D. F. (1989). Grade-12 students' Misconceptions of covalent bonding and structure. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 66(6), 459–460. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed066p459>

Petrucchi, R. H., Herring, F. G., Madura, J. D., & Bissonnette, C. (2017). *General chemistry: Principles and modern applications* (11th ed.). Pearson.

Podolner, A. S. (2000). *Eradicating physics Misconceptions using the conceptual change method*. Department of Education, Kalamazoo College.

Priyatna, E. S., Noornia, A., & Wijayanti, D. A. (2019). Upaya meningkatkan pemahaman konsep matematika menggunakan pendekatan saintifik dengan model pembelajaran think pair square share (TPSS) pada pokok bahasan polinomial di kelas XI IPA 3 SMA Negeri 50 Jakarta. *Jurnal Riset Pembelajaran Matematika Sekolah*, 3(1), 45-57. <https://doi.org/10.21009/jrpms.031.05>

Putri, R. S., & Laksono, P. J. (2022). Instrumen tes three tier multiple choice sebagai deteksi miskonsepsi pada materi asam basa. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Kimia*, 9(2), 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.36706/jppk.v9i2.19040>

Rachmawati, L. (2014). Pengembangan dan Penerapan Instrumen Diagnostik Two-Tier Dalam Mengidentifikasi Miskonsepsi Siswa Tentang Atom dan Molekul. *Edusentris*, 1(2), 146. <https://doi.org/10.17509/edusentris.v1i2.141>

Rahmadani, S., & Azra, F. (2025). Student's mental model using four-tier diagnostic test on acid-base. *Journal of Educational Chemistry (JEC)*, 7(1), 29–42. <https://doi.org/10.21580/jec.2025.7.1.24160>

Ramadhan, M. F., Siroj, R. A., & Afgani, M. W. (2024). Validitas and Reliabilitas. *Journal on Education*, 6(2), 10967–10975. <https://doi.org/10.31004/joe.v6i2.4885>

Romadhona, N., Qodriyah, L., Rokhim, D. A., Widarti, H. R., & Habiddin, D. (2020). Kekhasan Atom Karbon (23,6%). *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia*, 14(2), 2645.

Rosita, I., Liliawati, W., & Samsudin, A. (2020). Five-Tier Newton's Laws Test (5TNLT): Pengembangan instrumen tes diagnostik untuk mengidentifikasi miskonsepsi siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Teknologi*, 6(2), 297–306. [doi.org https://doi.org/10.29303/jpft.v6i2.2018](https://doi.org/10.29303/jpft.v6i2.2018)

Salame, I. I., Montero, A., & Eschweiler, D. (2022). Examining some of the students' challenges and alternative conceptions in learning about acid-base titrations. *IJECER (International Journal of Chemistry Education Research)*, 6(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.20885/ijcer.vol6.iss1.art1>

- Samsudin, A., Suhandi, A., Rusdiana, D., Kaniawati, I., & Coştu, B. (2016). Investigating the effectiveness of an active learning based-interactive conceptual instruction (ALBICI) on electric field concept. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 17(1), 1–41.
- Santrock, J. W. (2014). *Psikologi Pendidikan* (Edisi 5, Buku 1). Salemba Humanika.
- Seligin, D. (2012). Alternative framework, attitudes towards science and problem learning: A pilot study. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 2(2), 41-47.
- Shiddiqi, M. H. A., Arthamena, V. D., Ayyubi, M., Manarisip, A. J., & Aznam, N. (2024). Systematic literature review: Analysis of Misconception problems and diagnostic instruments for learning chemistry. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 10(4), 168–179. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v10i4.5189>
- Sholihat, F. N., Samsudin, A., & Nugraha, M. G. (2017). Identifikasi Miskonsepsi dan Penyebab Miskonsepsi Siswa Menggunakan Four-Tier Diagnostic Test Pada Sub-Materi Fluida Dinamik: Azas Kontinuitas. *Jurnal Penelitian & Pengembangan Pendidikan Fisika*, 3(2), 175–180. <https://doi.org/10.21009/1.03208>
- Silalaban, M. *et al.* (2024) Standardisasi dan pengembangan instrumen evaluasi diagnostik berbasis indikator kesulitan belajar siswa. *Jurnal Evaluasi dan Asesmen Pendidikan*, 6(2), 112-125.
- Simanjuntak, B. R. N., Wiji, W., & Widhiyanti, T. (2025). Systematic literature review: Three-tier diagnostic test to identifying *Misconceptions* in chemistry. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 11(7), 37–47. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v11i7.11011>
- Siska, & Ritonga, P. S. (2021). Asam Basa Menggunakan Tes Diagnostik Four-Tier. *JEDCHEM (Journal Education and Chemistry)*, 3(2), 67–78.
- Skelly, K. M., (1993). *The development and validation of a categorization of sources of Misconceptions in chemistry*. Di dalam: Novak, J. (Ed) *The Proceedings of the Third International Seminar on Misconceptions and Educational Strategies in Science and Mathematics*. New York: Ithaca. (pp 1-40).
- Sreenivasulu, B., & Subramaniam, N. (2013). University students' alternative conceptions of chemical kinetics. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 14(2), 224-236.
- Sunyono, S., Fadly, W., & Yuanita, L. (2015). Model mental siswa dalam memahami konsep ikatan kimia setelah pembelajaran dengan strategi SiMaYang. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 4(2), 130-137.
- Suparno, Paul. (2005). *Miskonsepsi dan perubahan konsep dalam pendidikan fisika*. PT. Grasindo.

- Taber, K. (2002). *Chemical Misconceptions*. London: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Taber, K. S. (2013). Revisiting the chemistry triplet: Drawing upon the nature of chemical knowledge and the psychology of learning to inform chemistry education. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 14(2), 156–168. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3RP00012E>
- Treagust, D. F., Chittleborough, G., & mamiamala, T. L. (2003). The role of submicroscopic and symbolic representations in chemical explanations. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(11), 1353-1368.
- Treagust, D. F. (1988). Development and use of diagnostic tests to evaluate students' Misconceptions in science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 10(2), 159–169. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069880100204>
- Tsania, A., & Kurniawati, W. (2024). Tes diagnostik miskonsepsi peserta didik pada materi gaya kelas IV sekolah dasar. *Edukasi: Jurnal Penelitian & Artikel Pendidikan*, 16(2), 285-296.
- Uzuntiryaki, E., & Geban, Ö. (2005). Effect of conceptual change approach accompanied with concept mapping on understanding of solution concepts. *Instructional Science*, 33(4), 311–339. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-005-2812-z>
- Van der Stoep, S. W., & Johnson, D. D. (2009). *Research methods for everyday life: Blending qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Jossey-Bass.
- Viyandari, A., Priatmoko, S., & Latifah. (2012). Analisis Miskonsepsi Siswa Terhadap Materi Kelarutan dan Hasil Kali Kelarutan (Ksp) dengan Menggunakan Two-Tier Diagnostic Instrument. In *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia* (Vol. 6, Nomor 1, hal. 852–861).
- Wafiyah, Rusmansyah, Iriani, R., & Syahmani. (2025). Analysis of high school students' Misconceptions using four-tier multiple diagnostic test on chemical equilibrium material. *Orbital: Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia*, 9(1), 56–64. <https://doi.org/10.19109/ojpk.v9i1.28127>
- Wee, B. (2012). A Cross-cultural Exploration of Children's Everyday Ideas: Implications for science teaching and learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(4), 609–627. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2011.579193>
- Yan, Y. K., & Subramaniam, R. (2018). Using a multi-tier diagnostic test to explore the nature of students' alternative conceptions on reaction kinetics. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 19(1), 213–226. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RP00143F>
- Yang, D. C., & Lin, Y. C. (2015). Assessing 10th-grade students' conceptual understanding of floating and sinking using a multi-tier diagnostic test. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 13(2), 351-376.

Yuliati, Y. (2017). Miskonsepsi Siswa Pada Pembelajaran IPA Serta Remediasinya. *Literature review*, 27(8), 22–39.

Zakariya, Y. F. (2022). Cronbach's alpha in mathematics education research: Its appropriateness, overuse, and alternatives in estimating scale reliability. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1074430. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1074430>

Zumdahl, S. S., & Zumdahl, S. A. (2020). *Chemistry* (10th ed.). Cengage Learning.

