

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Alwin, D. F., & Krosnick, J. A. (1985). The Measurement of Values in Surveys: A Comparison of Ratings and Rankings. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 49(4), 535. <https://doi.org/10.1086/268949>
- Andrich, D. (1978). A Rating Formulation for Ordered Response Categories. *Psychometrika*, 43(4), 561–573. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02293814>
- Andrich, David. (1988). *Rasch models for measurement*. Sage Publications.
- Anggraeni, E. M. P., Roshayanti, F., & Hayat, M. S. (2026). IMPLEMENTASI RASCH MODEL DALAM UJI INSTRUMENT KEMAMPUAN DESIGN THINKING PADA MATERI PENCEMARAN LINGKUNGAN. *JP3 (Jurnal Pendidikan dan Profesi Pendidik)*, 12(1), 75–87. <https://doi.org/10.26877/jp3.v12i1.531>
- Ariyani, M., Wahyuni, L. D., Putri, F. R., & Izzati, A. Z. (2022). Offline and Online Mate Selection Preferences for Indonesians: An Initial Study. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Current Advancement in Psychology (ICCAP 2022)*, 47–50. <https://psikologi.unair.ac.id/proceeding-series-of-psychology/index.php/psp/article/download/64/52/158>
- Ariyani, M., Wahyuni, L. D., Putri, F. R., Kurniati, K., & Isah, M. (2025). Exploring Mate Selection Preferences in Indonesia: A Preliminary Study for Instrument Development in Non-formal Education Contexts. *Journal of Nonformal Education*, 11(1), 101–111. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jone.v11i1.12147>
- Aryadoust, V., Tan, H. A. H., & Ng, L. Y. (2019). A Scientometric Review of Rasch Measurement: The Rise and Progress of a Specialty. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, Article 2197. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02197>
- Boateng, G. O., Neilands, T. B., Frongillo, E. A., Melgar-Quinonez, H. R., & Young, S. L. (2018). Best Practices for Developing and Validating Scales for Health, Social, and Behavioral Research: A Primer. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 6, Article 149. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00149>
- Bond, T. G. ., Yan, Zi., & Heene, Moritz. (2021). *Applying the Rasch model : fundamental measurement in the human sciences*. Routledge.

- Boone, W. J. (2016). Rasch analysis for instrument development: Why,when,and how? *CBE Life Sciences Education*, 15(4), Article rm4. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.16-04-0148>
- Buss, D. M. (1989). Sex differences in human mate preferences: Evolutionary hypotheses tested in 37 cultures. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 12(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00023992>
- Buss, D. M., & Barnes, M. (1986). Preferences in Human Mate Selection. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50(3), 559–570. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.50.3.559>
- Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (2019). Constructing validity: New developments in creating objective measuring instruments. *Psychological Assessment*, 31(12), 1412–1427. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000626>
- Conroy-Beam, D., & Buss, D. M. (2021). Mate Preferences. Dalam *Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Psychological Science* (hlm. 4850–4860). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19650-3_1
- Dawes, J. (2008). Do data characteristics change according to the number of scale points used? An experiment using 5-point, 7-point and 10-point scales. *International Journal of Market Research*, 50(1), 61–104.
- DeVellis, R. F. ., & Thorpe, C. T. . (2022). *Scale development: theory and applications*. SAGE Publications.
- Driebe, J. C., Stern, J., Penke, L., & Gerlach, T. M. (2024). Probing the predictive validity of ideal partner preferences for future partner traits and relationship outcomes across 13 years. *European Journal of Personality*, 38(4), 707–723. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08902070231213797>
- Eastwick, P. W., Finkel, E. J., & Joel, S. (2023). Mate evaluation theory. *Psychological Review*, 130(1), 211–241. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000360>
- Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11>
- Fisher Jr, W. P. (1994). The Rasch debate: Validity and revolution in educational measurement. *Objective measurement: Theory into practice*, 2, 36–72. <https://www.rasch.org/rmt/rmt211m.htm>

- Gough, H. G. (1973). Personality assessment in the study of population. Dalam *Psychological perspectives on population* In J. T. Fawcett (hlm. 329–353). Basic Book.
- Harzing, A. W., Baldueza, J., Barner-Rasmussen, W., Barzantny, C., Canabal, A., Davila, A., Espejo, A., Ferreira, R., Giroud, A., Koester, K., Liang, Y. K., Mockaitis, A., Morley, M. J., Myloni, B., Odusanya, J. O. T., O’Sullivan, S. L., Palaniappan, A. K., Prochno, P., Choudhury, S. R., ... Zander, L. (2009). Rating versus ranking: What is the best way to reduce response and language bias in cross-national research? *International Business Review*, 18(4), 417–432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2009.03.001>
- Hinkin, T. R., Tracey, J. B., & Enz, C. A. (1997). Scale Construction: Developing Reliable and Valid Measurement Instruments. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 21(1), 100–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109634809702100108>
- Huei, O. K., Rus, R. C., & Kamis, A. (2019). Validity and Reliability of the Design and Technology Instrument. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 23.
- Kaplan, R. M. ., & Saccuzzo, D. P. . (2018). *Psychological testing : principles, applications, & issues*. Cengage Learning.
- Kaur, K. (2021). Psychology: The Science of Mental Processes. Dalam *Criminal Psychology and the Criminal Justice System in India and Beyond* (hlm. 1–19). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-4570-9_1
- Liddell, T. M., & Kruschke, J. K. (2018). Analyzing ordinal data with metric models: What could possibly go wrong? *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 79, 328–348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2018.08.009>
- Linacre, J. M. (1994). *Sample Size and Item Calibration [or Person Measure] Stability*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313203399_Sample_size_and_item_calibration_stability_Rasch_Measure
- Rangkuti, A. A. (2017). *Statistika Inferensial untuk Psikologi & Pendidikan*. Kencana.

- Regmi, P. R., Waithaka, E., Paudyal, A., Simkhada, P., & Van Teijlingen, E. (2017). Guide to the design and application of online questionnaire surveys. *Nepal Journal of Epidemiology*, 6(4), 640–644. <https://doi.org/10.3126/nje.v6i4.17258>
- Rosalinda, I., & Michael, T. (2019). *PENGARUH HARGA DIRI TERHADAP PREFERENSI PEMILIHAN PASANGAN HIDUP PADA WANITA DEWASA AWAL YANG MENGALAMI QUARTER-LIFE CRISIS*. 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.21009/JPPP>
- Stefana, A., Damiani, S., Granzio, U., Provenzano, U., Solmi, M., Youngstrom, E. A., & Fusar-Poli, P. (2025). Psychological, psychiatric, and behavioral sciences measurement scales: best practice guidelines for their development and validation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1494261>
- Stevens, S. S. (1946). On the Theory of Scales of Measurement. *Science*, 103(2684), 677–680. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.103.2684.677>
- Stratton, S. J. (2021). Population Research: Convenience Sampling Strategies. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 36(4), 373–374. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X21000649>
- Sugiyono. (2019). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Alfabeta.
- Tennant, A., & Küçükdeveci, A. A. (2023). Application of the Rasch measurement model in rehabilitation research and practice: early developments, current practice, and future challenges. *Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fresc.2023.1208670>
- Yuhanna, W. L., Al Muhdhar, M. H. I., Gofur, A., & Hassan, Z. (2021). Self-reflection assessment in vertebrate zoology (Sravz) using rasch analysis. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 10(1), 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v10i1.25603>
- Ziegler, M., & Hagemann, D. (2015). Testing the Unidimensionality of Items. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 31(4), 231–237. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000309>