

Aini, A. N., & Wardhana, M. (2025). *Designing Interface and User Experience of Elementary School Learning Applications Using Design Thinking*. 13(1), 129–139. <https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v13i1.3067>

Arikunto, S. (2018). *Dasar-Dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan (Edisi 3)*. Bumi Aksara.

Barton, M. D. (2013). *Classroom for the conceptual age: A developmental approach to design thinking*. ie21, LLC.

Boggan, M., Harper, S., & Whitmire, A. (2010). Using manipulatives to teach elementary mathematics. *Journal of Instructional Pedagogies*, 3(1), 1–10. <http://www.aabri.com/manuscripts/10451.pdf>

Burkhardt, H., Pead, D., & Stacey, K. (2024). Teaching for Mathematical Literacy. In *Learning and Teaching for Mathematical Literacy*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003303503-4>

Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. C. (1963). *EXPERIMENTAL AND QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS FOR RESEARCH*. Houghton Mifflin Company.

Cope, L. (2015). Math manipulatives: making the abstract tangible. *Delta Journal of Education*, 5(1), 10–19. https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Math+manipulatives%3A+making+the+abstract+tangible.+&btnG=#d=gs_cit&t=1691143919847&u=%2Fscholar%3Fq%3Dinfo%3A1JQYTc2MnDoJ%3Ascholar.google.com%2F%26output%3Dcite%26scirp%3D0%26hl%3Den

Corneille, B. (1995). *Getting More From Math Manipulatif*.

Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational Research. Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative Research*.

Djaali. (2020). *Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif*. Bumi Aksara.

Ebbes, R., Schuitema, J. A., Koomen, H. M. Y., Jansen, B. R. J., & Zee, M. (2024). Studies in Educational Evaluation Self-regulated learning: Validating a task-specific questionnaire for children in elementary school. *Studies in Educational Evaluation.*, 81(October 2022), 101339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2024.101339>

Ekawati, R. . S. C. J. (2020). *Primary Students Mathematical Literacy: A Case Study*. <https://doi.org/10.22460/infinity.v9il.p49-58>

Firdaus, F. M. ., Wahyudin,, & Herman, T. (2017). Improving primary students mathematical literacy through problem based learning and direct instruction. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 12(4), 212–219. <https://doi.org/10.5897/err2016.3072>

Goldman, S., & Zielezinski, M. B. (2022). *Design Thinking for Every Classroom: A Practical Guide for Educators*.

Gultom, F., & Sitepu, E. (2025). *Model-Model Pembelajaran*. PT Literasi Nusantara Abadi Grup.

He, W., Zheng, Y., Xingyu, Z., Liao, L., & Xiaoyong, H. (2025). *Application of Design Thinking Model for the Improvement in Engagement Among Primary Students for STEM Courses*.

Heward, W. L., & Twyman, J. S. (2021). *Whatever the Kid Does Is the Truth: Introduction to the Special Section on Direct Instruction*. 131–138.

- Jones, J. P., & Tiller, M. (2017). Using Concrete Manipulatives in Mathematical Instruction. *Dimensions of Early Childhood*, 45(1), 18–23. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1150546>
- Kemendikdasmen. (2025). *Data Rapor Pendidikan Indonesia 2025*. Badan Kebijakan Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah. <https://data.kemendikdasmen.go.id/dataset/p/rapor-pendidikan-indonesia/data-rapor-pendidikan-indonesia-2025>
- Koh, J. H. L., Chai, C. S., Wong, B., & Hong, H. Y. (2015). Design thinking for education: Conceptions and applications in teaching and learning. In *Design Thinking for Education: Conceptions and Applications in Teaching and Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-444-3>
- Kolar, V. M., & Hodnik, T. (2021). Mathematical Literacy from the Perspective of Solving Contextual Problems. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 10(1), 467–483. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.10.1.467>
- Kristianawati, I., & Budiyanto. (2023). *Alur Tujuan Pembelajaran Mata Pelajaran Matematika Fase C*.
- Lantz, J., & Miller, C. (2020). The Effectiveness of Manipulatives During 1 : 1 Mathematics Intervention. *The Alpha Chi Journal of Undergraduate Scholarship*, 5(1), 1–12.
- Larbi, E., & Mavis, O. (2016). *The Use of Manipulatives in Mathematics Education*. 7(36), 53–61.
- Lee, D. (2018). *Design Thinking in the Classroom: Easy-to-Use Teaching Tools to Foster Creativity, Encourage Innovation, and Unleash Potential in Every Student*.
- Liu, W., Huang, R., Wang, J., Chen, Y., Ohashi, T., Li, B., Liu, Y., Qiu, D., Yu, R., Zhang, J., Mahmud, A. Al, & Leifer, L. (2024). Empathy Design Thinking: cultivating creative minds in primary education. *Frontiers in Education*, 9(1376305). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1376305>
- Nurmasari, L., Budiyo, Nurkamto, J., & Ramli, M. (2024). Realistic Mathematics Engineering for improving elementary school students' mathematical literacy. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 15(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.v15i1.pp1-26>
- OECD. (2019). PISA 2018 Assessment and Analytical Framework. In *OECD Publishing*.
- Pintrich, P. R. (2000). The Role of Goal Orientation in Self-Regulated Learning. *Handbook of Self-Regulation*, 451–502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-012109890-2/50043-3>
- Pintrich, P. R., & De Groot, E. V. (1990). Motivational and Self-Regulated Learning Components of Classroom Academic Performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 3(2), 13–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02338175>
- Preacher, K. J. (2015). *Extreme groups designs*. Dalam R. L. Cautin & S. O. Lilienfeld (Ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Clinical Psychology*. John Wiley & Sons. 2, 1189–1192. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118625392.wbecp190>
- Shipepe, A., Uwu-Khaeb, L., Villiers, C. De, Jormanainen, I., & Sutinen, E. (2022). *Co-Learning Computational and Design Thinking Using Educational Robotics: A Case of Primary School Learners in Namibia* Annastasia. 22(8169). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/s22218169> Academic
- Stacey, K., & Turner, R. (2015). Assessing mathematical literacy: The PISA

- experience. In *Assessing Mathematical Literacy: The PISA Experience*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10121-7>
- Sukardi. (2018). *Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan: Kompetensi dan Praktiknya*. PT Bumi Aksara.
- Susanta, A., Susanto, E., Rusnilawati, & Stiadi, E. (2023). Mathematical Literacy Skills for Elementary School Students: A Comparative Study Between Interactive STEM Learning and Paper-and-Pencil STEM Learning. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 12(4), 1569–1582. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.12.4.1569>
- Susanta, A., Susanto, E., Rusnilawati, Sumardi, H., & Ali, S. R. B. (2025). Literacy skills through the use of digital STEAM-inquiry learning modules: A comparative study of urban and rural elementary schools in Indonesia. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 21(4), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/16170>
- Swan, P., & Marshall, L. (2010). *Manipulative Materials*. 15(2), 13–19. [file:///C:/Users/Lenovo/Documents/Semester 5/seminar pendidikan/jurnal internasional/EJ891801.pdf](file:///C:/Users/Lenovo/Documents/Semester%205/seminar%20pendidikan/jurnal%20internasional/EJ891801.pdf)
- Wathani, D. H., Irawati, R., & Iswara, P. D. (2022). Development of Meme Learning Media with PMRI to Implement Mathematics Literacy in Students Elementary School. *Mathematics Education Journal*, 16(3), 339–350. <https://doi.org/10.22342/jpm.16.3.15249.339-350>
- Wicaksono, A. (2022). *Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan: Pengantar Ringkas*. Garudhawaca.
- Wingard, A., Kijima, R., Yang-Yoshihara, M., & Sun, K. (2022). A design thinking approach to developing girls' creative self-efficacy in STEM. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 46(December), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101140>
- Worwood, M. J., & Plucker, J. A. (2017). *Domain generality and specificity in creative design thinking*. In F. Darbellay, Z. Moody, & T. Lubart (Eds.), *Creativity, design thinking and interdisciplinarity* (pp. 83–97). Springer. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=1663932> <http://rave.ohiolink.edu/ebooks/ebc/9789811075247> <https://public.ebookcentral.proquest.com/choice/publicfullrecord.aspx?p=5205525> <https://doi.org/10.1007/978>
- Yıldız, B. T. (2012). *Bir özel okulda ilk öğretim ikinci kademe matematik derslerinde materyal kullanımı üzerine bir durum çalışması: Öğretmen ve öğrenci görüşleri/ A Case Study of the Use of Manipulatives in Upper Elementary Mathematics Classes in a Private School: Teachers'*. February.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2010). Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner: An Overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 5841(2002), 64–70. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102>