

REFERENCES

- Adu-Yeboah, C., & Kwaah, C. Y. (2018). Preparing Teacher Trainees for Field Experience: Lessons From the On-Campus Practical Experience in Colleges of Education in Ghana. *SAGE Open*, 8(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244018807619>
- Aimah, S., Purwanto, B., Mulyadi, D., & Wiyaka. (2023). Peer Feedback or Supervisor Feedback: How do Pre-Service English Teachers Perceive Feedback? *Journal of Asia TEFL*, 20(1), 156–165. <https://doi.org/10.18823/asiatefl.2023.20.1.12.156>
- AITSL, & E4L. (2017). *Reframing feedback to improve teaching and learning*.
- Allen, D., & Katayama, A. (2016). Relative second language proficiency and the giving and receiving of written peer feedback. *System*, 56, 96–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2015.12.002>
- Alqassab, M., Strijbos, J. W., & Ufer, S. (2018). Training peer-feedback skills on geometric construction tasks: role of domain knowledge and peer-feedback levels. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 33(1), 11–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-017-0342-0>
- Altstaedter, L. L., & Doolittle, P. (2014). Students' perceptions of peer feedback. *Argentinian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 2(2). www.faaapi.org.ar
- Amran, W., Sulaiman, R., Syamsu, A., Ramadhana, M. A., Industri, A. T., & Palopo, D. (2023). *The Microteaching Course Benefits towards the Students of English Education Study Program in Teaching Practice Universitas Muslim Indonesia*. <https://jurnaldidaktika.org>
- Amrullah, A., Apriani, E., & Idris, M. (2025). *Enhancing Pedagogical Competence of Pre-Service Islamic Education Teachers through Peer Assessment and Constructive Feedback*. 14(1), 191–208. <https://doi.org/10.14421/jpi.2025.141.191>
- Aptoula, N. Y. (2021). Pre-service teachers' perceptions about the efficacy of different types of feedback on micro-teaching activities. *Kuramsal Eğitimilim*, 14(2), 79–92. <https://doi.org/10.30831/akukeg.752214>
- Arsal, Z. (2015). The effects of microteaching on the critical thinking dispositions of pre-service teachers. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 40(3), 140–153. <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2014v40n3.9>
- Arts, J. G., Jaspers, M., & Joosten-ten Brinke, D. (2016). A case study on written comments as a form of feedback in teacher education: so much to gain. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 39(2), 159–173. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2015.1116513>
- Arts, J. G., Jaspers, M., & Joosten-ten Brinke, D. (2021). Enhancing written feedback: The use of a cover sheet influences feedback quality. *Cogent Education*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2021.1901641>
- Asregid, D., Mihiretie, D. M., & Kassa, S. A. (2023). Teacher educators use of feedback to facilitate reflective practice among pre-service teachers during microteaching. *Cogent Education*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2257121>
- Austen, L. (2018). *What students' want in written feedback: praise, clarity and precise individual commentary*. <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2837-8297>

- Azarnoosh, M. (2013). *Peer assessment in an EFL context: attitudes and friendship bias*. <http://www.language-testing-asia.com/content/3/1/11>
- Bellhäuser, H., Dignath, C., & Theobald, M. (2023). Daily automated feedback enhances self-regulated learning: a longitudinal randomized field experiment. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1125873>
- Beltran, J. (2021). *STUDENTS' PERCEPTION ON THE PRESENCE OF EFFECTIVE FEEDBACK PRACTICES IN ONLINE DISTANCE LEARNING*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.22342.40009>
- Boud, D., Lawson, R., & Thompson, D. (2013). *Does student engagement in self-assessment calibrate their judgement over time?*
- Brooks, C., Carroll, A., Gillies, R. M., & Hattie, J. (2019). A matrix of feedback for learning. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 44(4), 14–32. <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2018v44n4.2>
- Carless, D. (2019). Feedback loops and the longer-term: towards feedback spirals. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 44(5), 705–714. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1531108>
- Carless, D., & Boud, D. (2018). The development of student feedback literacy: enabling uptake of feedback. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(8), 1315–1325. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1463354>
- Carless, David. (2015). *Excellence in university assessment : learning from award-winning teaching*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Cendani, I. A. S. A., & Purnamaningwulan, R. A. (2023). Exploring Challenges of Peer Feedback in an EFL Micro Teaching Class. *Elsya : Journal of English Language Studies*, 5(3), 335–347. <https://doi.org/10.31849/elsya.v5i3.15651>
- Chand Dayal, H., & Alpana, R. (2020). Secondary pre-service teachers' reflections on their microteaching: Feedback and self-evaluation. *Waikato Journal of Education*, 25(1), 73–83. <https://doi.org/10.15663/wje.v25i0.686>
- Chand, S. P. (2025). Methods of Data Collection in Qualitative Research: Interviews, Focus Groups, Observations, and Document Analysis. *Advances in Educational Research and Evaluation*, 6(1), 303–317. <https://doi.org/10.25082/aere.2025.01.001>
- Chou, T.-C. R. (2014). A Scale of University Students' Attitudes toward e-Learning on the Moodle System. *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design*, 4(3), 49–65. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijopcd.2014070104>
- Cinici, A., Ebenezer, J., & Gursay, G. (2019). Student Teachers' Views of Science Microteaching: Experiences, Abilities, and Reflective Feedback. In *International Journal of Research in Teacher Education* (Vol. 10, Number 4). www.penpublishing.net
- Cohen Zilka, G. (2020). The Experience of Receiving and Giving Public Oral and Written Peer Feedback on the Teaching Experience of Preservice Teachers. *Proceedings of the 2020 InSITE Conference*, 001–013. <https://doi.org/10.28945/4502>
- Compagna, K., Ross, S., & Lee, A. S. O. (2025). An Exploration of Feedback Using Hattie and Timperley's Feedback Levels. *Family Medicine*, 57(7), 508–512. <https://doi.org/10.22454/FamMed.2025.362243>

- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Sixth Edition*.
- Darmayenti, D., Besral, B., & Kustati, M. (2019). English Skills Based Microteaching: An Effective Model in Enhancing English Student Teachers' Teaching Skills. *Al-Ta Lim Journal*, 26(1), 23–37. <https://doi.org/10.15548/jt.v26i1.556>
- Derham, C., Balloo, K., & Winstone, N. (2022). The focus, function and framing of feedback information: linguistic and content analysis of in-text feedback comments. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 47(6), 896–909. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2021.1969335>
- Diasti, K. S. (2020). Pre-service Teachers' Responses to Peer Spoken Feedback in Micro Teaching Class. *Journal of English Education*, 5(1), 40–48. <https://doi.org/10.31327/jee.v5i1.1211>
- Dzulkhriyah, S., & Rini, S. (2023). Genre-Based Approach Effectiveness in Writing Descriptive Text. *LITE*, 19(2), 120–126. <https://doi.org/10.33633/lite.v19i2.8520>
- Ellard-Gray, A., Jeffrey, N. K., Choubak, M., & Crann, S. E. (2015). Finding the Hidden Participant: Solutions for Recruiting Hidden, Hard-to-Reach, and Vulnerable Populations. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 14(5). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406915621420>
- Erbilgin, E., Robinson, J. M., Jarrah, A. M., Johnson, J. D., & Gningue, S. M. (2023a). Exploring the Type and Quality of Peer Feedback in a Graduate-Level Blended Course. *Education Sciences*, 13(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13060548>
- Erbilgin, E., Robinson, J. M., Jarrah, A. M., Johnson, J. D., & Gningue, S. M. (2023b). Exploring the Type and Quality of Peer Feedback in a Graduate-Level Blended Course. *Education Sciences*, 13(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13060548>
- Erdemir, N., & Yeşilçınar, S. (2021). Reflective practices in micro teaching from the perspective of preservice teachers: teacher feedback, peer feedback and self-reflection. *Reflective Practice*, 22(6), 766–781. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2021.1968818>
- Fki, N. (2023). *Towards More Effective Feedback Strategies to Enhance Microteaching for Pre-service Teachers at ISEAH Mahdia* (Vol. 23, Number 2).
- Gheyle, N., & Jacobs, T. (n.d.). Content Analysis: a short overview Unpacking (de)politicization View project Trade policy with the lights on. The origins, dynamics, and consequences of the politicization of TTIP View project Content Analysis: a short overview. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.33689.31841>
- Goold, E. (2016, November 3). *ENHANCING STUDENT LEARNING BY NARROWING THE GAP BETWEEN FEEDBACK GIVING AND FEEDBACK RECEIVING*. <https://doi.org/10.20472/tec.2016.003.010>
- Guba, E., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1985). Naturalistic Inquiry. *SAGE, Thousand Oaks*, 289–331.
- Halilu, M., Shehu, Y., Adamu, I., Haroun, H. G., & Ayuba, I. (2024). Enhancing Pedagogical Competencies In Pre-Service Teachers Through Microteaching: A Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Innovative Social & Science Education Research*, 12(3), 172–182. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13731928>
- Harris, L. R., Brown, G. T. L., & Harnett, J. A. (2015). Analysis of New Zealand primary and secondary student peer- and self-assessment comments: applying Hattie and Timperley's

- feedback model. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*, 22(2), 265–281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2014.976541>
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. In *Review of Educational Research* (Vol. 77, Number 1, pp. 81–112). SAGE Publications Inc. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>
- Henderson, M., Ryan, T., Boud, D., Dawson, P., Phillips, M., Molloy, E., & Mahoney, P. (2021). The usefulness of feedback. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 22(3), 229–243. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787419872393>
- Hidayah, N., & Indriani, L. (2021). Real Time Feedback in English Microteaching Practice: A Case Study on Online Learning. *Metathesis: Journal of English Language, Literature, and Teaching*, 5(2), 155. <https://doi.org/10.31002/metathesis.v5i2.4004>
- Holstein, A., Weber, K. E., Prilop, C. N., & Kleinknecht, M. (2022). Analyzing pre- and in-service teachers' feedback practice with microteaching videos. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2022.103817>
- Ibarra-Sáiz, M. S., Rodríguez-Gómez, G., & Boud, D. (2020). Developing student competence through peer assessment: the role of feedback, self-regulation and evaluative judgement. *Higher Education*, 80(1), 137–156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00469-2>
- Irawan, E., & Salija, K. (2017). *This research*. 4.
- Jacobsen, L. J., Weber, K. E., Prilop, C. N., Huang, Y., Geske, A., & Richter, E. (2025). Enabling Peer Feedback in Teacher Education: The Use of Virtual Reality-Based Microteaching. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331251390698>
- James, Dr. W. B., Cobanoglu, Dr. C., & Cavusoglu, Dr. M. (2024). *Advances in Global Education and Research: Volume 5*. USF M3 Publishing, LLC. <https://doi.org/10.5038/9781955833127>
- Jatoi, Z. A., & Kabilan, M. K. (2025). Exploring teacher educators' and pre-service teachers' belief and practices on written feedback. *Journal of Nusantara Studies (JONUS)*, 10(1), 22–44. <https://doi.org/10.24200/jonus.vol10iss1pp22-44>
- Jong, Y., & Kim, G. (2021). *ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING Pre-service Teachers' Microteaching Experiences based on Self-evaluation and Peer Feedback Pre-service Teachers' Microteaching Experiences based on Self-evaluation and Peer Feedback*. 33(4). <https://doi.org/10.17936/pkelt.2021.33.4.4>
- Kallio, H., Pietilä, A. M., Johnson, M., & Kangasniemi, M. (2016). Systematic methodological review: developing a framework for a qualitative semi-structured interview guide. In *Journal of Advanced Nursing* (Vol. 72, Number 12, pp. 2954–2965). Blackwell Publishing Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13031>
- Kapelela, C., Mislay, M. A., & Manyengo, P. R. (2025). The politics of school governance in the context of education decentralisation policy reforms in selected public secondary schools in Tanzania. *Cogent Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2442251>
- Karakaya Ozyer, K. (2024). the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY NC SA 4.0) License. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> Navigating Feedback in Higher

- Education: Insights from University Students in Turkiye. *Education Reform Journal*, 9, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14554571>
- Kastberg, S. E., Lischka, A. E., & Hillman, S. L. (2016). *EXPLORING PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS' WRITTEN FEEDBACK ON MATHEMATICS TASKS*.
- Kerman, N. T., Banihashem, S. K., & Noroozi, O. (2023). *The Relationship Among Students' Attitude Towards Peer Feedback, Peer Feedback Performance, and Uptake* (pp. 347–371). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29411-2_16
- Kerman, N. T., Banihashem, S. K., Noroozi, O., & Biemans, H. J. A. (2022). The effects of students perceived usefulness and trustworthiness of peer feedback on learning satisfaction in online learning environments. *International Conference on Higher Education Advances, 2022-June*, 263–271. <https://doi.org/10.4995/HEAD22.2022.14445>
- Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). *This content downloaded from 128.230.234.162 on Fri* (Vol. 33, Number 1).
- Lipnevich, A. A., & Panadero, E. (2021). A Review of Feedback Models and Theories: Descriptions, Definitions, and Conclusions. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 6). Frontiers Media S.A. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.720195>
- Lipsch-Wijnen, I., & Dirkx, K. (2022). A case study of the use of the Hattie and Timperley feedback model on written feedback in thesis examination in higher education. *Cogent Education*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2022.2082089>
- Lira-Gonzales, M. L., Nassaji, H., De Tejada, M. L., Vasquez, D., & Saenz, K. (2024). The differential effect of oral and written corrective feedback on learners' explicit versus implicit knowledge. *Language Teaching Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688241248440>
- Lizzio, A., & Wilson, K. (2008). Feedback on assessment: Students' perceptions of quality and effectiveness. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 33(3), 263–275. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930701292548>
- Machin, T. M., & Jeffries, C. H. (2017). Threat and opportunity: The impact of social inclusion and likeability on anonymous feedback, self-esteem, and belonging. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 115, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.11.055>
- Malecka, B., Boud, D., Tai, J., & Ajjawi, R. (2022). Navigating feedback practices across learning contexts: implications for feedback literacy. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 47(8), 1330–1344. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2022.2041544>
- Masali, Z., & Kougiourouki, M. (2021). *Does "Microteaching" Meet Pre-Service Teacher's Expectations?* 4(1).
- Mishra, S. D., Rebitch, C. B., & Choi, I. (2020). Exploring student perceptions and attitude towards various aspects of peer feedback in a pharmacotherapy course. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 12(6), 701–708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2019.10.007>
- Misiejuk, K., Wasson, B., & Egelanddal, K. (2021). Using learning analytics to understand student perceptions of peer feedback. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106658>

- Mkandawire, S. B. (2019). *The Text-based Integrated Approach to Language Teaching: Its Meaning and Classroom Application*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333907314>
- Msimanga, M. R. (2020). The Impact of Micro Teaching Lessons on Teacher Professional Skills: Some Reflections from South African Student Teachers. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 10(2), 164. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v10n2p164>
- Muhammad, S., Nadeem Assistant Professor, M., Hussain, S., & Qahar, A. (2023). Comparison of the Impact of Oral and Written Feedback on the Students' Academic... Comparison of the Impact of Oral and Written Feedback on the Students' Academic Achievement. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, IV. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10500287>
- Murillo-Zamorano, L. R., & Montanero, M. (2018). Oral presentations in higher education: a comparison of the impact of peer and teacher feedback. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(1), 138–150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2017.1303032>
- Murphy Odo, D. (2023). Perceptions of Preservice English Teachers Regarding Peer Reaction Video Feedback on Their Microteaching. *SAGE Open*, 13(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231210652>
- Muzari, T., Nevers Shava, G., & Shonhiwa, S. (2022). *Indiana Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences INDIANA PRODUCTIVE AND QUALITY RESEARCH Qualitative Research Paradigm, a Key Research Design for Educational Researchers, Processes and Procedures: A Theoretical Overview the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)*. <https://indianapublications.com/Journals/IJHSS>
- Nam, N. T. H., & Binh, V. H. (2015). AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE LEVELS OF FEEDBACK AND REFLECTION DURING PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' MICRO-TEACHING PRACTICES. In *Can Tho University Journal of Science* (Vol. 1).
- Natasha, H., & Firdaus, R. Y. (2022). *THE BENEFITS OF MICRO-TEACHING COURSE ON PRE-SERVICE ENGLISH TEACHERS' PERFORMANCE IN TEACHING AT SCHOOL*.
- Neuendorf, K. A., & Kumar, A. (2015). Content Analysis. In *The International Encyclopedia of Political Communication* (pp. 1–10). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118541555.wbiepc065>
- Noroozi, O., & De Wever, B. (2023). *The Power of Peer Learning* (O. Noroozi & B. De Wever, Eds.). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29411-2>
- Nurlina, S. L. (2025). The Implementation of Teacher Feedback to Improve Students Writing Skills in Junior High School. *DUTIES: Education and Humanities International Journal*, 1(1), 34–44. <https://doi.org/10.70152/duties.v1i1.173>
- Nurul, P., Regina, R., Dwi, R., Rahayu, A., & Eni, R. (2023). An Analysis on The Teacher's Oral Feedback in Teaching English (A Descriptive Qualitative Research at SMK Negeri 6 Pontianak in Academic Year 2022/2023). In *JSRET (Journal of Scientific* (Vol. 2, Number 2).
- O'Connor, C., & Joffe, H. (2020). Intercoder Reliability in Qualitative Research: Debates and Practical Guidelines. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406919899220>

- Özonur, M., & Kamışlı, H. (2019). Evaluation of pre-service teachers' views related to microteaching practice. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 7(5), 1226–1233. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2019.070508>
- Playfoot, D., Horry, R., & Pink, A. E. (2025). Fairly useful feedback: characteristics of feedback comments perceived as fair by students. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2025.2586836>
- Prilop, C. N., Weber, K. E., Prins, F. J., & Kleinknecht, M. (2021). Connecting feedback to self-efficacy: Receiving and providing peer feedback in teacher education. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.101062>
- Prins, F. J., Sluijsmans, D. M. A., Kirschner, P. A., & Strijbos, J. W. (2005). Formative peer assessment in a CSCL environment: A case study. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 30(4), 417–444. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930500099219>
- Putra, D. A., Syafitri, W., Roza, V., & Putri, H. P. (2023). *conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License-(CC-BY-SA) Analysis of Students' Teaching Skills in Micro-Teaching Course*. <https://doi.org/10.30983/biced.v1i1.313>
- Raes, A., Vanderhoven, E., & Schellens, T. (2015). Increasing anonymity in peer assessment by using classroom response technology within face-to-face higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 40(1), 178–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2013.823930>
- Ragawanti, D. T. (2019). *Text-based learning in EFL classrooms: Problems and strategies viewed from the sociocultural approach*.
- Rahmawati, E., Vadilla, N., Hairani, Z., & Hasibuan, K. (2024). The Implementation of Text-Based Approach Within The K-13 Curriculum In Junior High School. In *JKIP : Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan* (Vol. 5, Number 1). <http://journal.al-matani.com/index.php/jkip/index>
- Rees, E. L., Davies, B., & Eastwood, M. (2015). Developing students' teaching through peer observation and feedback. *Perspectives on Medical Education*, 4(5), 268–271. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-015-0213-9>
- Rohmah, I. I. T. (2021). *Microteaching: Preparation and Performance*. www.pustakalearningcenter.com
- Sequeira, A. R., Bruce, M., & Paull, M. (2024). Feedback format preferences of international post-graduate students in Australia: an exploratory mixed methods study. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 49(2), 203–219. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2023.2199955>
- Shatri, Z. G., & Zabeli, N. (2022). Implementation of verbal and written feedback classroom practices by teachers. *South African Journal of Education*, 42. <https://doi.org/10.15700/saje.v42ns1a2262>
- Shava, G. N., & Nkengbeza, D. (2019). *NAMIBIA CPD JOURNAL FOR EDUCATORS QUALITATIVE RESEARCH PARADIGM: A DESIGN FOR DISTANCE EDUCATION RESEARCHERS*.
- Sihite, D., Jaya, A., Veto Mortini, A., Pratiwi, E., & Negeri, S. (2024). *MICROTEACHING LESSON ON INCREASING THE STUDENT TEACHERS' ABILITY IN TEACHING ENGLISH*.

- Strijbos, J. W., Pat-El, R., & Narciss, S. (2021). Structural validity and invariance of the feedback perceptions questionnaire. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.100980>
- Struyven, K., Dochy, F., & Janssens, S. (2005). Students' perceptions about evaluation and assessment in higher education: A review. In *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education* (Vol. 30, Number 4, pp. 325–341). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930500099102>
- Tanaka, M. (2025). Friendship bias in peer assessment of EFL oral presentations. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*, 32(5–6), 515–537. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2025.2570248>
- Thangaraju, P., & Medhi, B. (2023). Microteaching: Overview and examination evaluation. *Indian Journal of Pharmacology*, 55(4), 257–262. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijp.ijp_912_21
- Thurmond, V. (2001). *The Point of Triangulation*.
- Tian, L., & Li, L. (2018). Chinese EFL learners' perception of peer oral and written feedback as providers, receivers and observers. *Language Awareness*, 27(4), 312–330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2018.1535602>
- Vida Tayebi, V. T., Armat, M. R., Tavakoli Ghouchani, H., Khorashadizadeh, F., & Gharib, A. (2017). Oral versus written feedback delivery to nursing students in clinical education: A randomized controlled trial. *Electronic Physician*, 9(8), 5008–5014. <https://doi.org/10.19082/5008>
- Weidlich, J., Fink, A., Frey, A., Jivet, I., Gombert, S., Menzel, L., Giorgashvili, T., Yau, J., & Drachsler, H. (2025). Highly informative feedback using learning analytics: how feedback literacy moderates student perceptions of feedback. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-025-00539-9>
- Wilkerson. (2024). Advances in Global Education and Research: Volume 5. In *Advances in Global Education and Research: Volume 5*. USF M3 Publishing, LLC. <https://doi.org/10.5038/9781955833127>
- Wisniewski, B., Zierer, K., & Hattie, J. (2020). The Power of Feedback Revisited: A Meta-Analysis of Educational Feedback Research. In *Frontiers in Psychology* (Vol. 10). Frontiers Media S.A. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.03087>
- Wu, Z. (2019). Lower English proficiency means poorer feedback performance? A mixed-methods study. *Assessing Writing*, 41, 14–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2019.05.001>
- Xia, B. S. (2017). An In-Depth Analysis of Teaching Themes and the Quality of Teaching in Higher Education: Evidence From the Programming Education Environments. *International Journal of Teaching*, 29(2), 245–254. <http://www.isetl.org/ijtlhe/>
- Yan, Z., & Arumugam, N. (2025). An Integrated Feedback Model for English Writing Instruction in Vocational Colleges. *Journal of Social Science Humanities and Literature*, 8(4), 87–97. [https://doi.org/10.53469/jsshl.2025.08\(04\).13](https://doi.org/10.53469/jsshl.2025.08(04).13)
- Zhu, Q., & Carless, D. (2018). Dialogue within peer feedback processes: clarification and negotiation of meaning. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 37(4), 883–897. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2018.1446417>

Zink-Özkan, T., Hahnel, C., Kroehne, U., Deribo, T., Artelt, C., Goldhammer, F., Naumann, J., & Schoor, C. (2025). Who benefits motivationally? How the direction of performance feedback affects feedback perception and motivation to improve multiple document comprehension. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 40(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-025-01033-6>

