

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdani, R., Rokhmat, J., & Rahayu, S. (2018). Pengaruh pendekatan berpikir kausalitik berscaffolding dengan pemberian tugas pendahuluan terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah Murid SMA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Teknologi*, 4(1), 1–8.
- Adnan, Mulbar, U., Sugiarti, & Bahri, A. (2021). Biology science literacy of junior high school students in South Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1752(1), Article 012084. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1752/1/012084>
- Arends, R. I. 2012. *Learning to teach* (9th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Arikunto, S. (2013). *Dasar-dasar evaluasi pendidikan (Edisi revisi)*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara
- Aupa, E. M., & Yefterson, R. B. (2022). E-modul sejarah Indonesia untuk melatih kemampuan berfikir kausalitas peserta didik. *Jurnal Kronologi*, 4(2).
- Assaraf, O. B. Z., & Orion, N. (2010). System thinking skills at the elementary school level. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47(5), 540–563. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20351>
- Bahri, A. (2016). Strategi pembelajaran Reading questioning and Answering (RQA) pada perkuliahan fisiologi hewan untuk meningkatkan hasil belajar kognitif mahasiswa. *Jurnal Bionature*, 17(2), 107–114.
- Beni, B., Bustami, Y., & Leliavia, L. (2019). Implementasi model pembelajaran kooperatif tipe JiRQA terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis Murid pada materi pertumbuhan dan perkembangan tumbuhan. *Edubiotik: Jurnal Pendidikan, Biologi dan Terapan*, 4(1), 9–15. <https://doi.org/10.33503/ebio.v4i01.308>
- Bisra, K., Liu, Q., Nesbit, J., Salimi, F., & Winne, P. H. (2018). Inducing self-explanation: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-018-9434-x>
- Boniolo, G., & Campaner, R. (2019). Causal reasoning and clinical practice: Challenges from molecular biology. *Topoi*, 38(2), 423–435. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-017-9518-0>

- Braaten, M., & Windschitl, M. (2011). Working toward a stronger conceptualization of scientific explanation. *Science Education*, 95(4), 639–669. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20449>
- Bustami, Y., Wahyuni, F. R. E., Syafruddin, D., Marsela, M., & Nur, T. D. (2021). JiRQA learning model of based gender: Cognitive learning students in environmental pollution material. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(1), 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2021.1412a>
- Bustami, Y., Wahyuni, F. R. E., & Ege, B. (2023). Pemberdayaan kemampuan berpikir kritis melalui model pembelajaran JiRQA pada pembelajaran biologi. *Biosfer: Jurnal Biologi & Pendidikan Biologi*, 8(2), 82–88.
- Bustami, Y., Corebima, A. D., Suarsini, E., & Ibrohim. (2017). The social attitude empowerment of biology students: Implementation JiRQA learning strategy in different ethnics. *International Journal of Instruction*, 10(3), 15–30. <https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2017.1032a>
- Cuzzolino, M. P. (2019). An agentive focus may limit learning about complex causality and systems dynamics: A study of seventh graders' explanations of ecosystems. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 56(8), 1042–1067. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21549>
- Delacre, M., Lakens, D., & Leys, C. (2017). Why psychologists should by default use Welch's *t*-test instead of student's *t*-test. *International Review of Social Psychology*, 30(1), Article 92-101. <https://doi.org/10.5334/irsp.82>
- Facione, P. A. (2015). *Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts*. Measured Reasons LLC.
- Faizatuluhmi, S., Komala, R., & Rusdi, R. (2020). JiRQA learning strategies: Influence student learning outcomes based on motivation level. *International Journal for Educational and Vocational Studies*, 2(8), 652–656. <https://doi.org/10.29103/ijevs.v2i8.2763>
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Gillies, R. M., Nichols, K., Burgh, G., & Haynes, M. (2014). Primary students' scientific reasoning and discourse during cooperative inquiry-based science activities. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 63, 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2013.01.001>

- Grotzer, T., & Tutwiler, M. S. (2014). Simplifying causal complexity: How interactions between modes of causal induction and information availability lead to heuristic-driven reasoning. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 8(3), 121–134. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mbe.12054>
- Grotzer, T. A. (2003). Learning to understand the forms of causality implicit in scientifically accepted explanations. *Studies in Science Education*, 39(1), 1–74.
- Grotzer, T. A. (2012). *Learning causality in a complex world: Understandings of consequence*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics*, 66(1), 64–74. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.18809>
- Harwandini, I., Yulianti, P. T., Esa, J., Firdausy, A., Wahyuni, S., Yusmar, F., & Nuha, U. (2024). Pengaruh model pembelajaran *reading questioning answering (RQA)* untuk meningkatkan keaktifan belajar IPA murid SMP. *Jurnal Ilmiah Wahana Pendidikan*, 10(6), 491–501. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10643863>
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., & Chinn, C. A. (2015). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based and inquiry learning: A response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). *Educational Psychologist*, 50(4), 237–247. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2015.1075226>
- Inayah, A. D., Ristanto, R. H., Sigit, D. V., & Miarsyah, M. (2020). Analysis of science process skills in senior high school students. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(4A), 15–22. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.081803>
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365–379.
- Jonassen, D. H., & Kim, B. (2010). Arguing to learn and learning to argue: Design justifications and guidelines. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 58(4), 439–457.
- Kahlke, R., & White, J. (2013). Critical thinking in health sciences education: Considering “three waves”. *Creative Education*, 4(12), 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2013.412a1004>

- Kraft, M. A. (2020). Interpreting Effect Sizes of Education Interventions. *Educational Researcher*, 49(4), 241-253. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X20912798>
- Kuhn, D. (2015). Thinking together and alone. *Educational Researcher*, 44(1), 46–53.
- Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: A practical primer for t tests and ANOVAs. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4, Article 863. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00863>
- Mohd Razali, N., & Yap, B. W. (2011). Power comparisons of *Shapiro-Wilk*, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling tests. *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics*, 2, 21–33.
- Nurmala, R. S., Corebima, A. D., & Ibrohim. (2015). Pengaruh strategi Problem Based Learning dipadu Jigsaw terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis dan hasil belajar kognitif siswa kelas X SMA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 3(3), 130–136. <https://doi.org/10.17977/jps.v3i3.8110>
- O'Donnell, C. L. (2008). Defining, conceptualizing, and measuring fidelity of implementation and its relationship to outcomes in K-12 curriculum intervention research. *Review of Educational Research*, 78, 33–84. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654307313793>
- Perkins, D. N., & Grotzer, T. A. (2005). Dimensions of causal understanding. In D. R. Perkins, J. Salomon, & T. A. Grotzer (Eds.), *Making thinking visible*. Harvard Graduate School of Education.
- Puspita, L., Asih, D. N. R., Oktafiani, R., & Komarudin. (2025). Bridging technology and pedagogy: How TPACK-based PBL shapes critical thinking in human anatomy education. *Biosfer: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 18(2), 386–397. <https://doi.org/10.21009/biosferjpb.59050>
- Putri, Y. R., Sikumbang, D., & Rakhmawati, I. (2020). Analisis kemampuan berpikir tingkat tinggi peserta didik pada materi perubahan lingkungan di SMA Negeri 1 Gadingrejo. *Jurnal Bioterdidik*, 8(3), 39–45. <http://dx.doi.org/10.23960/jbt.v8i3.21505>
- Ramdani, D., Susilo, H., Suhadi, S., & Sueb, S. (2022). The effectiveness of collaborative learning on critical thinking, creative thinking, and metacognitive skill ability: Meta-analysis on biological learning. *European*

Journal of Educational Research, 11(3), 1607–1628.
<https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.11.3.1607>

Reski, F. I., Daud, F., Taiyeb, A. M., Pallenari, M., & Hiola, S. F. (2024). The correlation of higher order thinking skills and scientific literacy of public high school students. *Biosfer: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 17(2), 602–612.
<https://doi.org/10.21009/biosferjpb.42940>

Ristanto, R. H., Zubaidah, S., Amin, M., & Rohman, F. (2017). Student-centered learning in biology education: The effectiveness of JiRQA learning model. *International Journal of Instruction*, 10(3), 1–16.
<https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2017.1031a>

Ristanto, R. H., Ahmad, A. S., & Komala, R. (2022). Critical thinking skills of environmental changes: A biological instruction using guided discovery learning–argument mapping (GDL-AM). *Participatory Educational Research*, 9(1), 173–191. <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.22.10.9.1>

Ross, L. N. (2018). *Causal concepts in biology: How pathways differ from mechanisms and why it matters*. Springer.

Ruxton, G. D. (2006). The unequal variance t-test is an underused alternative to Student's t-test and the Mann–Whitney U test. *Behavioral Ecology*, 17(4), 688–690. <https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ark016>

Sandoval, W. A. (2014). Science education's need for a theory of epistemological development. *Science Education*, 98(3), 383–387.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21113>

Sandoval, W. A., & Reiser, B. J. (2004). Explanation-driven inquiry: Integrating conceptual and epistemic scaffolds for scientific inquiry. *Science Education*, 88(3), 345–372. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.10130>

Saputra, M. D., Joyoatmojo, S., Wardani, D. K., & Sangka, K. B. (2019). Developing critical-thinking skills through the collaboration of jigsaw model with problem-based learning model. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(1), 1077–1094. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2019.12169a>

Sarkity, D., Fernando, A., Pratama, Y., & Irawan, B. (2025). Global warming's effects on marine and coastal environments: Students' knowledge, attitudes, and environmental behaviour. *Journal of Environment and Sustainability Education*, 3(2), 250–261. <https://doi.org/10.62672/joease.v3i2.81>

- Sawilowsky, S. S. (2009). New effect size rules of thumb. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 8(2), 597–599. <https://doi.org/10.22237/jmasm/1257035100>
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2019). *Using multivariate statistics* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Trianggono, M. M. (2017). Analisis kausalitas pemahaman konsep dengan kemampuan berpikir kreatif murid pada pemecahan masalah fisika. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Keilmuan (JPFK)*, 3(1), 25–30. <http://e-journal.unipma.ac.id/index.php/JPFK/article/view/1296>
- Yoon, S. A., Goh, S.-E., & Park, M. (2018). Teaching and Learning About Complex Systems in K–12 Science Education: A Review of Empirical Studies 1995–2015. *Review of Educational Research*, 88(2), 285–325.
- Zangori, L., Ke, L., Sadler, T. D., & Peel, A. (2020). Exploring primary students' causal reasoning about ecosystems. *International Journal of Science Education*, 42(11), 1799–1817. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2020.1783718>
- Zohar, A., & Levy, S. T. (2019). Scientific reasoning. In J. Dunlosky & K. A. Rawson (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of cognition and education* (pp. 437–460). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108235631.018>